

**No. 10450**

---

**United States**  
**Circuit Court of Appeals**  
**For the Ninth Circuit.**

---

**HARRY BRIDGES,**

**Appellant,**

**vs.**

**I. F. WIXON, as District Director, Immigration  
and Naturalization Service, Department of  
Justice,**

**Appellee.**

---

**Transcript of Record**

**VOLUME XV**

**Pages 6721 to 7233**

---

**Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States  
for the Northern District of California,  
Northern Division**





Court Room 276,  
Federal Building,  
San Francisco, California  
June 5, 1941

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:00 A.M.

[6374]

PROCEEDINGS

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Gladstein, you have the witness—or Mr. Grossman.

Mr. Gladstein: I will continue with the examination, your Honor.

MAURICE J. CANNALONGA

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:

Cross Examination (Resumed)

The Witness: Here is that subpoena that you were asking me about yesterday.

(The subpoena referred to, was passed to Mr. Gladstein through the reporter.)

Mr. Gladstein: Your Honor, I have been handed indirectly from the witness, Mr. Cannalonga, a subpoena.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I will ask you, Mr. Cannalonga, whether this document is the one which you say was served on you on May, 30th? A. It is.

Mr. Gladstein: I will offer it for identification.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Canmalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: It may be marked for identification.

(The subpoena referred to was marked for identification as Alien's Exhibit No. 49.)

By Mr. Gladstein: [6375]

Q. Now, I want to direct your attention to April 17, the day that you testified for the first time in this proceeding. After you got off the stand what, if anything, took place?

A. After I got off the stand I went in that side room and stayed there five or ten minutes. From there I went right down to the Ferry Building and I got my ticket for the train, and went directly north.

Q. While you were in that side room was there any conversation? A. Not that I recall.

Q. Who was in the room with you?

A. There was two FBI men and, I think, a couple of Immigration Inspectors, these fellows with uniforms. There was quite a crowd in there.

Q. What took place in that room, anything?

A. No.

Q. Did you collect—

A. (Interposing): Oh—I asked about my transportation, and they asked me if I wanted to wait until the next day. I said No, I had plenty of money on me, and that they could mail it up to me up north.

Q. Did anything else take place?

A. No. Well, I had them call and make a reservation for the train for me. [6376]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. What else? A. That is about all.

Q. Did you collect your witness fees?

A. No, not that day.

Q. When did you collect your witness fees?

A. It was mailed up to me. I asked them to mail it up to me. I didn't want to wait around for it.

Q. Who made the reservations for you on the train? A. Who made it?

Q. Yes.

A. I believe one of the FBI men made the reservation for me.

Q. This was in your presence?

A. In my presence; yes.

Q. He used the telephone to do so?

A. Yes.

Q. Did he make the reservation under your own name? A. Yes. [6377]

Q. And what were the reservations that he made?

A. I asked him to make reservations first class as that train was an express going North and to get an upper berth, and I got aboard the train and decided that I wanted a lower berth.

Q. And to where did these reservations take you? A. To Seattle.

Q. That's what you asked them to reserve for you? A. Yes.

Q. And that is what they did?

A. They did.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Did they ask you where you were going to go in Seattle? A. No.

Q. Did you tell them? A. No.

Q. After the reservations were made did you leave here?

A. Yes. They drove me down to the Ferry Building.

Q. Who drove you down?

A. The FBI boys.

Q. And what happened then?

A. Well, I picked up my reservation at the ticket office and then went into the gate through that ferry that goes across to the Bay and then boarded my train.

Q. Did you have any conversation with the FBI men on [6378] the way down to the train?

A. No, no, I didn't.

Q. Did you tell them how they could get in touch with you if they wanted you again?

A. No.

Q. Did they ask you for an address?

A. No.

Q. Now, you said you—

A. (Interposing) Pardon me. I believe I did mention that I was going to wait for my—for that ship, if I could make it, the Clevdon.

Q. You told them that you might wait for the ship? A. Yes.

Q. Now, you say that when you were in the side room here you didn't want to wait around for the check to be made out?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. Is that right? A. Right.

Q. So you told them to mail the check to you?

A. Yes, the check to me in Seattle.

Q. What address did you tell them?

A. 3033 - 115th Street, Seattle.

Q. So you did tell them where to mail the expenses to you? A. Yes. [6379].

Q. Did you tell them that that was your home?

A. Yes.

Q. So you did tell them where you lived?

A. Well, it's on the—I did.

Q. What happened when you caught the train? What happened after that?

A. Well, I caught the train and rode up to Seattle, and I got into Seattle about eight o'clock in the evening the following day. I left at five on the 17th and got there on the 18th at eight o'clock, and I got into a cab and then went home.

Q. Did anything happen the next day?

A. I went down to the Union hall the next day.

Q. What for? A. To register.

Q. What time did you go down there?

A. Oh, I believe it was in the morning.

Q. What were you going to register for?

A. So I could ship out and be able to get back on that same ship. If I didn't register I couldn't ship.

Q. What do you have to do in order to register and ship out?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Well, you go down to the hall and present your discharge, and that's about all, and show your Union book, that your dues are paid and you are in good standing.

Q. Did you do that? [6380].

A. Oh, yes.

Q. Is there any other purpose in registering except to ship out? A. No.

Q. In other words, if a man wants to ship out he has to register, is that right?

A. He has to register; correct.

Q. And if he doesn't want to ship out he doesn't register, is that right?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. You went down the hall, you say, and you registered? A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. What did you register for? A. What?

Q. What did you register for? Anything specific?

A. You don't register for anything specific.

Q. You just put in a—

A. (Interposing): You show them your register and your Union book and they make out a card for you, a shipping card, and then when jobs come up they call them out on the hour, see.

Q. They use the rotation system?

A. Yes, they use a rotation system. And, say, for instance, if a job will come out on a ship, electrician, see. Well, they will call for an electrician. Then if you throw in for the job you have got to produce your Certificate of Efficiency showing that

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

you have been qualified as an electrician [6381] by the Steamboat Inspectors or discharges.

Q. If there is a man who has the same qualifications who has been out of work longer than you he gets the first choice?

A. He gets the first choice, yes.

Q. When you register you don't register for any specific job? A. No.

Q. What happened at the time you registered that morning?

A. Oh, I went in the office and talked to Bert Coleman, and he—we got talking and he says I was in a “pretty tough spot”.

Q. Who was he talking about?

A. We got talking about the Bridges case.

Q. How did that happen?

A. Well, he knew I had been on the stand and testified. My name was in all the papers.

Q. How did the conversation come out about the Bridges case? Who spoke about it first?

A. I believe he mentioned it first.

Q. What did he say?

A. Like I told you: That I was in a “pretty bad spot”. [6382]

Q. Were those his words?

A. As far as I remember; yes.

Q. He said to you, “You are in a pretty bad spot?” A. Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q. That was Mr. Coleman?

A. Mr. Coleman.

Q. Who else was there at the time?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Well, there was a couple or three other fellows, I believe.

Q. Who were they?

A. I don't recall the names.

Q. Where did this conversation take place?

A. In the Union office.

Q. That is, in Mr. Coleman's office?

A. Yes.

Q. Were there people working in that office?

A. No. I don't think anybody was working at the time. You see, in the Firemen's Hall we have access to the business agent's office. In other words, if we want to go in and chew the fat with the business agent, there is no locked doors or privacy. We just go in and get a chair and sit down and talk.

Q. And there were other people in that room?

A. Yes; like I say, I believe three or four.

Q. Did you talk to any of them? [6383]

A. Well, I wouldn't say I talked to anybody directly. It was just the ordinary conversation where four or five fellows get together and talk.

Q. Was there a general conversation in which four or five people participated? A. Yes.

Q. Who were these other people?

A. I don't recall who they were.

Q. Were they members of your union?

A. Yes; members of our union.

Q. How do you know that?

A. Well, it is just like in here—you get four or five fellows and you know definitely that they



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

belong to the organization; otherwise they wouldn't be in the office. After all, in Seattle there are 800 or 900 fellows that ship out steady and you get so you know faces and you don't know their names.

Q. Had you ever shipped out with any of these other men?

A. No, I don't recall shipping with any of them.

Q. Do you remember the name of any of those men?

A. Well, I don't believe I do.

Q. All right. Now, when Mr. Coleman said, "You are in a spot"—that is what he said?

A. Yes; words to that effect.

Q. What did you say? [6384]

A. I told him, I said, "I don't think I am."

Q. What did he or anybody else say to that?

A. Well, they kind of grinned and—there was general rumors around there that by appearing on the stand here I wasn't doing myself any good. It is like I said, that the Voice of the Federation had branded me as a stool pigeon and as a company agent.

Q. That was before you registered for this job?

A. No. Your see, they don't get up—that paper up in the union hall. They—

Q. (Interposing); At the time you were talking to Mr. Coleman, had the Voice of the Federation branded you as a stool pigeon?

A. I believe it did on Friday. It comes out on a Friday, I think the Voice does, Friday or Saturday,

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

and I think I had been branded then some kind of a stool pigeon.

Q. Before you actually talked to Mr. Coleman and registered?

A. Well, the paper wouldn't be up there by then, but down here it was.

Q. Where had you seen the paper before you talked to Mr. Coleman?

A. Well, I didn't see the paper before I talked to Mr. Coleman.

Q. How did you know that it had come out before you [6385] talked to Mr. Coleman?

A. The paper that came out, dated that following Friday, had an article on me appearing against Bridges.

Q. I don't want the paper that came out the following Friday. I want—

A. I didn't say "the following Friday". I said the Friday after I got off the stand.

Q. You got off the stand on Thursday?

A. Yes. And the paper comes out Friday or Saturday, and that issue had a spread in regard to me. I don't recall just what it said.

Q. That was the spread on the Friday, the day after you finished testifying? A. Yes.

Q. And you had seen that paper before you talked to Mr. Jackman in order to register?

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): He didn't say he talked to Jackman.

Mr. Gladstein: Excuse me—I withdraw the question.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I didn't say I saw this paper. Let us get it straight——

Mr. Gladstein: I withdraw the question.

Mr. Del Guercio: Let the witness get it straight. You misstated the evidence and the witness is entitled to straighten it out.

Mr. Gladstein: I withdrew the question because I realized [6386] I used an incorrect name.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. At the time you were talking to Mr. Jackman—I mean Mr. Coleman, that was a Saturday morning? A. I believe it was; yes.

Q. All right. At the time you talked to Mr. Coleman on Saturday morning had you already seen or heard about this spread in the Voice of the Federation calling you a stool pigeon?

A. No, not when I talked with Mr. Coleman.

Q. Did any of them talk about it?

A. I don't know if they had seen the paper or not.

Q. You say there had been some rumor about your being a stool pigeon? A. Yes.

Q. At the time you talked to Mr. Coleman, you mean? A. Yes.

Q. Where did you learn about those rumors?

A. Around the hall. You see, the Communist Party has quite a set-up in the Marine Fireman, and they have means of communicating with each other up and down the coast. They have a regular underground system of communication. One of

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

their main methods of breaking down a fellow is that they immediately start a slanderous campaign against him. That is one of the main methods of the Party. If anybody had broke away from the [6387] Party they brand him as a Trotzkyite, or a stool pigeon, or a pimp, or what have you. They start building up right off the bat. They call them everything under the sun.

Q. From whom had you heard, Mr. Cannalunga, from whom had you heard that there were rumors against you before you talked to Mr. Coleman?

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please, I submit this question has been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. I think it has been covered generally.

A. I couldn't say just who it was. You get around a union hall and maybe there are a hundred fellows in the hall and somebody says "Hello, Blackie. I heard this and I heard that,"—and I don't know what his name is.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Can you name one person from whom you heard that there was a rumor that you were a stool pigeon before you talked to Mr. Coleman?

A. No, I couldn't name any name.

Q. Did Mr. Coleman tell you that? A. No.

Q. Did any of the men who were there in Mr. Coleman's office at the time you were talking to Mr. Coleman tell you that?

A. No. I believe I had mentioned it to the boys in the [6388] office there at the time that I was quite

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

sure that seeing that I had been in the Party, I had a general idea on how they worked, and I was quite sure they would start a campaign of villifying my name and calling me a stool pigeon, and what have you.

Q. You said that to Mr. Coleman?

A. Yes; I said that to Mr. Coleman.

Q. Is Mr. Coleman a Communist?

A. No; no, he is not.

Q. All right. Now, when he said "You are on a spot," and you said "No," you are not, what happened in the conversation after that?

A. I can't recall. It was just a general conversation. You get a bunch of fellows talking together and you are not going to write everything down or try to remember everything you talk about.

Q. How long did you talk with him?

A. Oh, about fifteen minutes or so.

Q. Can you remember anything at all that was said besides what you have already testified to?

A. Yes. He mentioned about the job on the West Cussetta and he mentioned about the permit man. I think it is, on the Cape Alva—

The Reporter: Spell it, please.

The Witness: I don't know how to spell it. It is one [6389] of the new Maritime ships that has just been put into commission. It is being operated by the American Mail Line.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did he say about that?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Well, this fellow was a permit man. It seems like he got drunk and didn't show up on the job. The ship sailed to Victoria, and the West Cussetta was going to Victoria. And when that Cape Alava was due—they had wired the ship for Charlie Danielson to take the job because she was heading for the Orient and the West Cussetta was heading for Seattle. He wanted me to take the job and I told him "No," I says, "I am going to wait for the Clevdon to come back. She was due back to Seattle after—she was due at Everett and loading for Honolulu. And he had mentioned that they were having quite a hard job getting electricians, as most of the electricians at the hall had been either working at the shipyards or working ashore. All over the country there was a quite a shortage of electricians. So he say, "Well," he says, "If you won't take it we will have to shanghai you on it."

I said, "No, I don't want it."

Then he mentioned the position that the organization was in if they couldn't get an electrician for it; that they had a signed contract with the steamship companies.

So I told him that I was going back out to the house, and I needed a little vacation, and I would think it over [6390] and come back Monday and if he hadn't got anybody by Monday that I would take the job. [6391]

Q. Let me ask you this: You say that Charlie Danielson—is that the name?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. (Continuing): —had been the electrician on the West Cussetta? — A. Yes.

Q. But he had transferred to this other ship that you named? — A. Yes, in Victoria.

Q. In Victoria? A. Yes.

Q. And the reason he did was because the electrician on this other ship—what is the name of that ship? A. The Cape Alava?

Q. The Cape Alava?

A. I think that is the name of it.

Q. The reason that Danielson took that job on the Cape Alava was because the electrician on the Cape Alava got drunk?

A. And never showed up.

Q. And that left an opening on the West Cussetta? A. Yes.

Q. And they wanted you to take the job on the West Cussetta? A. Yes. [6392]

Q. As an electrician? A. Yes.

Q. As Chief Electrician? A. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: That has all been gone over. The witness testified to that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Well, you took the job on the following Monday, is that right? — A. Yes.

Q. Did you have any assistants on that job?

A. No.

Q. You were the only one?

A. The only one.

Q. What kind of a ship is the West Cussetta?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. She is a Diesel job.

Q. That means a motor ship?

A. Yes, a motor ship.

Q. As distinguished from steamship?

A. Yes.

Q. On the West Cussetta do they have winches?

A. Yes.

Q. How many of them?

A. Oh, you have got your windlass forward. That is electric. Then you have two winches for each hatch. There is twelve, thirteen, fourteen winches on deck. You see, you [6393] have a capstan aft and windlass forward tying up the ship and hoisting anchor, and then two winches for each hatch.

Q. How are those winches operated?

A. By electricity.

Q. Anything else on the ship operated by electricity?

A. All your auxiliaries down in the engine room are electric. Well, everything is electric. And there was three generators on the ship.

Q. Dynamo? A. Yes.

Q. And you were the only one in charge of all the works? A. All that works, yes.

Q. While you were on that job after you took it did you have any trouble with the winches?

A. Yes. There was—off and on there was different winches going haywire and I'd fix them up and get them back in working order.

Q. Was the ship operating pretty steadily?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Yes, it was.

Q. Was it operating an unusually long number of hours?

A. Well, I wouldn't say unusually long number of hours. Since the war that Britain has had the American ships, they have been what they call working "around the clock", all the American ships, so that they could move the cargo. [6394]

Q. Well then, the ship was really operating, with respect to the moving of cargo, practically day and night from the time that you went to work?

A. Yes.

Q. Is there any danger in the handling of any of those electrical appliances on that ship?

A. No.

Q. None at all? A. No.

Q. What is the voltage? A. 230.

Q. Would you call it a responsible job?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, you say that there was some trouble getting an electrician and that's why Mr. Coleman insisted that you take it? A. Yes.

Q. Do you have to have special qualifications of any kind to handle that type of job?

A. Yes.

Q. What special qualifications?

A. Well, you are rated by the Steamboat Inspectors. They rate you as a ship's electrician by giving you examination and they rate you as Chief Electrician, as Electrician and as Assistant Electrician.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Not every electrician could handle that kind of a [6395] job? A. No.

Q. In other words, that job involves special difficulties for which you have to have special qualifications? A. Yes.

Q. Did you work a lot of overtime after you took that job? A. I did.

Q. Yesterday you had an overtime sheet with you. Do you have that with you now?

A. No, I haven't.

Q. Where is it?

A. I believe the FBI has it.

Mr. Gladstein: It was used for identification, I think, your Honor. I am wondering whether counsel would be good enough to produce it. Your Honor will remember that the witness used it to fix the dates.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: To refresh the witness' recollection.

Presiding Inspector: What is that?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will give it to the witness.

Presiding Inspector: Give it to Mr. Gladstein.

(The documents referred to were passed to Mr. Gladstein.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, I show you some documents here which you used. [6396]

Presiding Inspector: It was used for identification, although it is not received in evidence.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Can you identify these documents?

A. Yes.

Q. What are they?

A. This is my overtime record while I was on that ship.

Q. Is it a correct record?

A. Yes. It has to be. It is in my handwriting.

Q. Is it a correct record? A. Yes.

Q. It covers the complete period you were on the West Cussetta? A. Yes.

Q. And it shows the overtime hours only?

A. Yes.

Q. In addition to the overtime hours shown on these documents you worked regular hours, did you?

A. Yes.

Q. What did they consist of?

A. Eight in the morning to five in the evening.

Q. Every day?

A. Every day but Saturdays and Sundays, and Saturday is from eight to noon.

Q. And Sunday is— [6397]

A. (Interposing): Sunday is the day off.

Mr. Gladstein: I offer these for identification, your Honor. They haven't been marked.

Presiding Inspector: Oh. I understood they had been.

Mr. Del Guercio: No, they have not.

Presiding Inspector: I beg your pardon. I thought they had been. I took the statement that was made here yesterday as though they had been

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

marked for identification. They may now be marked for identification.

(The documents referred to were marked Alien's Exhibit No. 50 for identification.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you remember the date when you took that job on the West Cussetta?

A. It was on a—— (Examining calendar):——April 20th. April—pardon me. Wait a minute. April 21st, Monday morning.

Q. Monday morning, April 21st? A. Yes.

Q. Where was this ship when you took it?

A. In Seattle.

Q. And have you worked continuously on that ship until you left on——

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): If the Court please, those time sheets were offered for identification. They show the hours and the day he started on the West Cussetta, and I think the witness should have them. [6398].

Presiding Inspector: If he wants to refresh his recollection from them he may have them, of course.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Have you worked continuously since April 21st of this year on the ship the West Cussetta until you got off on a Wednesday in May of this year? A. Yes.

Q. When is the first time that you saw Rosco Chaycraft on that ship?

A. I could tell better by those overtime sheets.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

(Whereupon Alien's Exhibit 50 for identification was passed to the witness.)

A. (Continuing): I believe it was Wednesday.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Wednesday. What date? A. The 30th.

Q. What helps you fix that date from that schedule, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Well, nothing in particular. Just gives me an idea of where the ship was. You see, the ship arrived at Portland on 7:00 P.M.

Q. On what day?

A. On the 25th; Friday the 25th.

Q. And did it remain in Portland until the 30th?

A. Yes. It remained in to Portland until the 7th.

Q. All right. So the first time that Craycraft [6399] actually saw you on that ship was nine days after you took the job?

A. Something like that.

Q. Do you remember the time of the day?

A. Yes. It was in the late afternoon or early evening.

Q. Somebody had been on that ship and asked you earlier that day whether you would care to speak to Roseo Craycraft, is that right?

A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Do you know a man named Rodman?

A. Rodman? No. I'm still trying to place that Rodman's name.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Do you remember a man who came down to the ship and said he was from the Bridges Defense Committee and wanted to know if you would speak to Craycraft? A. No.

Q. You don't say that didn't happen?

A. No, I don't say it didn't happen.

Q. All right. Did you talk to Rodman at all at any time?

A. Well, I will tell you. It's like I say. There was quite a few fellows that come aboard ship. It seemed like it was a steady stream of them and I do recall when Craycraft come aboard and when he left, and he said that he was going to a meeting. And then there was a fellow by the gangway that [6400] asked him—asked Craycraft what my status was as he was going to some meeting and he wanted to inform the membership; and Craycraft says to hold it until he got through talking with me and he would see me that night.

Q. Did you talk to a man named Rodman at any time? A. I might have, yes.

Q. Do you remember anything that was said in that conversation?

Mr. Myron: Conversation with whom?

Mr. Gladstein: With Mr. Rodman.

Mr. Myron: He said he "might have" spoken to him.

Presiding Inspector: He said "I might have, yes". I don't know what he means by that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What do you mean by that, Mr. Cannalonga?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. It's like I say. I don't know the guy personally or good enough to know his—you know, know him by the name.

Presiding Inspector: Well, there was someone there that you talked with?

The Witness: Yes. There has been, like I told him—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Well now, have you got any particular person in mind?

The Witness: I haven't.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Well, did anybody come from the Bridges Defense [6401] Committee? Did anybody come in and introduce himself as being from the Bridges Defense Committee?

A. Yes. I remember somebody introducing themselves from the Bridges Defense Committee.

Q. Didn't he say that his name was Rodman?

A. Well, I didn't take particular note at the time.

Q. Did you see Mr. Rodman at any time other than such occasion?

A. Well, if I am not mistaken, at two different times fellows said that they were from the Bridges Defense Committee.

Q. The same fellow or different fellows?

A. I don't even recall whether it was the same fellow or not.

Q. Well, didn't somebody on the afternoon of Wednesday April 30th, come to the ship and tell

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

you that he was from the Bridges Defense Committee and ask you if you would care to talk to Rosco Craycraft?

A. In the afternoon? Do you know what time about?

Q. In the later afternoon.

A. Late afternoon? No. I recall talking to Craycraft because I knew him a lot better than, you know, being on the Strike Committee with him. We had been working together quite a while on that Strike Committee during the '36-'37 strike, and I remember Rosco quite well and I remember when he came aboard the ship, and I'm quite sure it was late afternoon. [6402]

Presiding Inspector: Now, we are talking about the man who said he was from the Bridges Defense Committee.

The Witness: I don't recall.

Presiding Inspector: You remember someone was there.

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: We are calling that man "Rodman" in this examination.

That is right?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't he say—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) Are you "calling" him "Mr. Rodman"?

Presiding Inspector: For the sake of identification.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Myron: He might not have been. We don't know. Of course, the witness can't remember.

Presiding Inspector: Well, he doesn't know who Rolman is. Well, call him "Gregory", if you want.

Mr. Gladstein: I shan't call him anything, your Honor. That will be better.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, before you ever saw Rosco Craycraft in the late afternoon or early evening of Wednesday, April 30th didn't a man come down and tell you that he was from the Bridges Defense Committee and ask you whether you would care [6403] to talk to Rosco Craycraft?

A. No, I don't remember.

Q. It could have happened?

A. Well, let's see. On that Wednesday there was three or four fellows that come down.

Q. Were you sober that day?

A. Well, yes.

Q. All that day? A. Yes.

Q. All that evening?

A. Well, I had a couple of drinks.

Q. Were you sober? A. I believe I was.

Q. When you had a couple of drinks that doesn't make you completely drunk, does it?

A. Oh, no. Certainly not.

Q. So all that day, including the evening and the night, you were sober, were you?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. That's April 30th? A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Wednesday, April 30th.

A. Wednesday, April 30th.

Q. Now, in the evening or late afternoon Rosco Craycraft did come down and see you on the ship, didn't he? A. He did. [6404]

Q. You were at the forward end of the ship, weren't you? A. No. I was in my room.

Q. Weren't you at the forward end when he say you for the first time?

A. I was at the forward end, you say?

Q. Yes.

Presiding Inspector: He is asking you if you weren't at the forward end.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't this what happened, Mr. Cannalunga? Weren't you at the forward end and didn't Craycraft come up and talk with you and then you suggested that both you and Craycraft go to your room? A. No.

Q. Did you go to your room with Craycraft?

A. I believe I met him in a passageway just outside of the room along the deck there.

Q. What were you doing just before you saw Craycraft for the first time that day?

A. Well, that's pretty hard to say because there was four or five shore side electricians working on the ship and I had been doing quite a bit of running around back and forth and checking up on their work, and— (Pause. [6405])

Q. Weren't you pretty busy on the winches at about that time? A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Some of the winches at the forward end had broken down, hadn't they?

A. I recall some of the winches breaking down forward. There was one set there that was giving quite a bit of trouble that day and—

Q. (Interposing) What part of the ship was that on?

Mr. Del Guercio: Let the witness finish. He hasn't finished.

A. And that was up in the forward end, and I think it was No. 2 hatch, the winches for No. 2 hatch; and finally, after making a few adjustments and I corrected what was wrong, there was a couple of wires that had burned out.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And isn't that where you were, on the forward end when Craycraft came up there and saw you for the first time on April 30th?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. You are not sure about it? A. No.

Q. Do you remember that you and Craycraft went at your invitation into your stateroom?

A. Yes. I asked him into the room, and there was a couple of fellows in there drinking. [6406]

Q. How long did Craycraft spend with you on that occasion?

A. Oh, about five, ten minutes.

Q. What was the conversation between you and him?

A. He would ask me about the Bridges case.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

and said he had a meeting to go to and he would come back later that night or the next day, and he wanted to have a good talk with me, and words to that effect.

Q. What did you say to that?

A. I says "O.K."

Q. Any other conversation?

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Now, he and you were pretty good friends, weren't you?      A. Yes, we had been.

Q. You had worked pretty close during the strike of 1936 and '7?      A. Yes.

Q. And you and he had been on the same side of a pretty important argument on the question of relief to the Firemen in the '36 strike?

A. Correct.

Q. Didn't you talk about old times at all?

A. Oh, yes. We talked about the '36-'37 strike, and—[6407] (Pause).

Q. What else?

A. That's about all, and then he mentioned that he had to go to a meeting; some kind of a meeting.

Q. A meeting of his Union, wasn't it?

A. I believe it was, yes.

Q. Didn't he tell you that he had just come from a convention of the ILWU and he was a delegate to that convention and he was supposed to make a report to a meeting of his Local Union?

A. I believe he did mention that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Now, you offered him a drink on that occasion, didn't you? A. Yes.

Q. He took one, didn't he?

A. Yes. I believe he took one of two. [6408]

Q. In the five minutes you think he took two?

A. I believe so; one or two. I wasn't—

Q. (Interposing) Did you take any drinks?

A. Yes, I took some.

Q. How many did you take?

A. The same amount he did.

Q. Are you sure?

A. No, I only took one.

Q. As a matter of fact, you didn't take any because you were busy on the winches, isn't that true? A. It might be so.

Q. All-right. Now, he told you—withdraw that. Before he left it was understood, wasn't it, that after his union meeting he would come back and talk with you again?

A. Yes. He said he wanted to come back and talk with me.

Q. Did he come back that evening?

A. Well, I walked to the gangway with him and then ~~that~~ incident I told you about a little while ago, about that other official of the union asking what my status was.

Q. Who was that person?

A. I don't know his name.

Q. What union did he belong to?

A. I don't recall. It was affiliated with—some CIO affiliation. [6409]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. What does he look like?

A. Oh, he is sort of, I would say, about five foot eight and kind of dark complexion and wears glasses.

Q. Had you ever seen him before?

A. I believe I had seen him around the ship.

Q. Was he working on the ship? A. Yes.

Q. What job did he have?

A. I don't recall what job he had.

Q. Don't you know whether he was doing long-shore work or whether he was a cook, or whether he was in a black gang?

A. He didn't belong to the ship's crew. He belonged to the gang—there were quite a few gangs on the ship working. They were doing a lot of work.

Presiding Inspector: Shore gangs?

The Witness: Yes. There were quite a few shore gangs there. I don't know what union he belongs to.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What time that night did Craycraft come back?

A. Oh, I would say about eight or nine o'clock, —somewhere in that vicinity. I don't know—it was kind of late.

Q. Where did you meet him?

A. I was in my room and he came into the room. There was a couple of other fellows in it.

Q. In your room? [6410] A. Yes.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Just before that there had been an accident right near your ship, hadn't there? A. Yes.

Q. A load had broken in the side of a building, isn't that right? A. Yes.

Q. They were taking a load out of the ship and it swung into the side of a building and broke it, isn't that true? A. Yes.

Q. And Craycraft came to your private state-room, didn't he? A. Yes.

Q. Where was that located?

A. That is on the starboard side of the ship. It used to be a passenger room and they put the electrician in there because it was quiet and larger accommodations.

Q. You didn't have to bunk the way the other sailors did?

A. No. I had a private room all to myself with running water in it.

Q. And there were a couple of men in this state-room at the time Craycraft came? A. Yes.

Q. Isn't it true that you and Craycraft then left that room and went to your little workshop?

[6411]

A. Well, when he saw the fellows in the room he says, "Well, we can't do any talking in here." He said, "Let's go some place where we can talk."

I told him, "I have a little work to do in the shop and if you want to come back there you can."

Q. You say he suggested it? A. Huh?

Q. You say he suggested that you go to your workshop?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: The witness has testified that Craycraft said that he didn't want to talk in the presence of the other individuals.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is quite clear. If you want to have the answer read, all right.

Mr. Gladstein: I will withdraw that last question.

Presiding Inspector: All right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you have any drinks with Craycraft then in the stateroom?

A. Yes; I believe we had one apiece.

Q. You think you had one apiece?

A. I believe so.

Q. Had you had any before that?

A. Did I have any before?

Q. Yes. A. I had a couple. [6412]

Q. Were you sober? A. Yes.

Q. Where did you go with Craycraft?

A. We went back to the workshop and——

Q. (Interposing) Where is that located?

A. That is in the after part of the ship between the hatches.

Q. Between No. 4 and No. 5 hatches, isn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. And you sat down on the work bench there, didn't you?

A. No, I didn't sit down on no work bench. I think I had a motor apart on that work bench.

One of the first words he asked me he——



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. (Interposing) Wait just a minute, we will get to the conversation.

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute. I think the witness should be permitted to answer the question.

Presiding Inspector: He has answered the question. Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. At any time were you sitting down on that work bench, or any place in that work shop?

A. Well, yes, I could have been sitting down.

Q. You didn't have any drinks in that work shop, did you? [6413]

A. No.

Q. You were there with Craycraft about 20 minutes to half an hour that time, weren't you?

A. I don't recall just exactly how long.

Q. Was it about that long?

A. I would say it was.

Q. You would say it was?

A. Yes, I believe so.

Q. Now, did Craycraft tell you that he was able to get back and see you a little bit early because there wasn't a quorum at the longshore meeting?

A. Well, yes, I believe he did mention something about the reason why he got back early.

Q. I just want to ask another question about when you were back in your stateroom just before you came to the work shop with Craycraft that evening. Did you have a radio in your room?

A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And when these shipmates of yours were in that stateroom of yours with you, when Craycraft came in that evening, Wednesday, April 30th, the radio was playing, wasn't it? A. Yes.

Q. Wasn't that the reason that you and Craycraft left your stateroom so you could go somewhere so you could talk [6414] undisturbed?

A. No.

Q. What was the reason?

A. He didn't want to be seen with too many fellows.

Q. He didn't want to be seen?

A. He didn't want to be seen on the ship.

Mr. Myron: He already stated that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did he tell you that?

A. He called me aside and out in the passageway and he says, "I don't want too many people to see me aboard. I have got no business down here."

Q. Had he been in your stateroom before he did that?

A. Yes. He had been in and then called me out.

Q. How long afterwards?

A. Oh, not very long.

Q. How long was he in your stateroom before he called you out?

A. Just long enough to have a drink.

Q. Did you introduce him around to the other men? A. I don't believe I did.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Gannalunga.)

Q. Did everybody have a drink?

A. No. I just poured one out. The others had been helping themselves to the bottle.

Q. How big is that stateroom? [6415]

A. Oh, I couldn't say exactly how big, but it is quite a large stateroom. On the bulkhead to the starboard side of the ship there was a settee; then there was a dresser, and a bunk, and a writing table.

Q. Can you give the dimensions, approximately?

A. No, I couldn't. I am just describing it and that would give you a fair idea of the size of the room. There was a wash basin in there, and this desk was a writing desk with pigeon holes in it.

Q. When Craycraft—

A. (Interposing) And two large clothes lockers.

Q. What were you drinking then?

A. Rum.

Q. Where was the bottle of rum?

A. Sitting on top of the dresser.

Q. And were the other men, your shipmates, seated nearby?

A. No, sir; they were seated down near the after end of the room.

Q. How long is that room?

A. Oh, I should say about from the edge of this stand (indicating) to where you are at.

Q. The edge of the stand to where I am. My best guess is about ten feet—maybe more—what do you think?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object. Let's measure the space.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6416]—

Q. Maybe it is 15 feet. Do you have any idea of whether it is 10, 15 or 50 feet?

Mr. Del Guercio: He stated what he thought it was.

A. It would be like me asking you if you knew the size of your hotel room.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You mean the one you were in, in my hotel room?

Presiding Inspector: He asked for your best judgment.

A. I thought maybe by describing the different articles in the room it would give him a fair idea.

The bunk is 6½ feet long, and then from the foot of the bunk to the forward bulkhead I would say there is a door which opens at the foot of the bunk, an ordinary size ship's door, which is about three feet or so. That is nine and one half feet. Then there is a big clothes locker from the door to the forward bulkhead, and that is about two feet or so deep. So that would be 6, 6½, 9½—about 10 or 11 feet, or 12 feet.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. These shipmates of yours, were they in plain view of Craycraft when he was in that room for the five minutes or so? A. Yes.

Q. And he was in plain view of them, of course?

A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Now, going to your workshop, when you and Craycraft [6417] were there on the evening of Wednesday, April 30, what did you talk about?

A. Well, he mentioned the Bridges case.

Q. Who mentioned it? A. Craycraft.

Q. What did he say? A. He said—

Q. (Interposing) What did he say, Mr. Cannalunga? A. Huh?

Q. What did he say? A. I started—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) He started to tell you.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You say he mentioned the Bridges case but I want to know what the words were.

A. I was starting, going into it to the best of my recollection of what he said.

Q. What did he say?

A. He said that Harry was worried about me and that they figured that the FBI had a rap over my head and they were exerting pressure, and that he had heard from one of the waterfront taverns—

Q. (Interposing) Did he say what tavern it was?

Mr. Del Guercio: The witness here hasn't finished.

Presiding Inspector: I think he has. If he hasn't [6418] finished you can bring it out on redirect.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did he say where the waterfront tavern was located? A. No.

Q. Did he say in what city?

A. In San Francisco here—and that somebody had told him that they figured that there was a Mann Act charge hanging over my head. I turned around and told him, I said, "Hell, you are crazy. I was never involved in any Mann Act."

He said, "Well, that is what the rumors are," and, he says, "Now, if they have got anything like that we will try to"—

Q. (Interposing) "We will try to" what?

A. ——"We will try to protect you, or see what we can do for you."

And so I told him, I says, "There is no such thing." I says; "My record can be checked," I says, "to way back." So I says, "I don't see how they can have a Mann Act charge on me."

He kept insisting they did have a Mann Act charge and talked on at quite a length.

I told him I couldn't see how they had a Mann Act charge because I was never involved in one. It was just around that mostly.

Q. Was anything else said? [6419]

A. And that—let me see—then he mentioned would I be willing to see you and I says "What for?"

He said, he says, "Harry is worrying about you



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

and he is asking about you, and if you would talk to Gladstein maybe he can help you out."

I told him, I said, I says, "The only thing that I would like to know is why the Voice has branded me as a stool pigeon and a labor spy," because I had a fairly good, a good record during the 1934 and the 1935 tanker strike, and the 1936-1937 strike on the waterfront.

I thought it was—well, I used some nasty language then.

Q. You had seen the Voice of the Federation before Wednesday, April 30th?

A. No.

Q. How did you know about it if you hadn't seen it?

A. How did I know about it? Well, how do you know when people start spreading rumors? You don't know how they start, or who talks about it, but I know, and I knew the workings of the Communist Party, and I could practically predict the day I got off the stand what was going to be done, and how they were going about it. It is a method the Communist Party uses.

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, on Wednesday, April 30th, when you were talking to Craycraft, you just got through saying that you [6420] talked to Craycraft about how the Voice of the Federation had called you a stool pigeon?

A. Yes.

Q. Did that happen?

A. I am quite sure it did.

Q. That evening?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Well, not that evening.

A. Well—if I could get ahold of the files of the Voice of the Federation maybe I could tell you better.

Q. Have you ever seen an article in the Voice of the Federation calling you a stool pigeon or as having been intimidated by the FBI?

A. I saw an article in the Voice of the Federation, a picture of me, and other witnesses, and right down at the bottom of the whole thing, saying "Stool pigeons, rats and labor spies."

The Reporter: The word after "Stool pigeons"?

Presiding Inspector: The other word was "rats"?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Where did you see it?

A. In the Voice of the Federation.

Q. Where were you when you saw it?

A. Aboard ship.

Q. And is that the article that you had reference to in [6421] your discussion with Craycraft on Wednesday, April 30th?

A. Well, I am not quite sure whether it was that article or not.

Q. What other article could it have been?

A. Well, it could have been, like I say—rumors had already started to spread and different ones, aboard ship had mentioned that they heard others call me a stool pigeon, and words to that effect, for appearing against Bridges.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. When you talked to Craycraft on the night of Wednesday, April 30th, did you talk to him then about the article in the Voice of the Federation calling you a stool pigeon?

A. Well, I am not quite sure whether I mention that particular article. I did mention the fact that there was quite a few rumors going around that I was a stool pigeon, a labor spy, and a rat, and everything else that goes with it.

Q. You know, as a matter of fact, that no such article appeared or was seen by you until several days later, isn't that true?

A. I am not saying about the Voice of the Federation. I am telling you about the rumors that were going around.

Q. When was the first time you saw the article in the Voice of the Federation?

A. There was a couple of articles, wasn't there?

Q. When was the first time you saw any article in the [6422] Voice of the Federation in which there was a group of pictures, all of whom were labeled, as you have said "stool pigeons, rats and labor spies," and which included your picture among among them—when was the first time you saw that?

A. I don't recall when I first saw it. I know there were two articles, there were two issues—the issues right after I left the stand, and the following issue, so I don't know what issue it was.

Q. Well, that Wednesday night that you talked to Craycraft, you have just finished saying that

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Craycraft asked you whether you would talk to me. Do you remember giving that testimony just now?

A. What is that, sir?

Q. On that Wednesday night when you were talking to Craycraft do you say that Craycraft asked you whether you would talk to me, is that right?

A. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, that is not what the witness said. I don't believe counsel should——

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that what happened?

Mr. Myron: He already stated.

Mr. Del Guercio: He stated what happened.

Presiding Inspector: I think we will hear it again. There seems to be some misunderstanding.

[6423]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that what happened, Mr. Cannalunga?

The Witness: Will you read that back, please?

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as follows:

“On that Wednesday night when you were talking to Craycraft do you say that Craycraft asked you whether you would talk to me, is that right?”

“A. Yes.”)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you say “Yes”?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: As the reporter read it, yes.

Mr. Gladstein: To the last question?

Presiding Inspector: The one before. The last question is, "Is that so?"

Mr. Gladstein: The reason I asked that was because there was an objection that I had misstated evidence.

Presiding Inspector: Have the question and answer read again.

(The question and answer referred to were reread by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did you say when Craycraft--withdrew that. What did Craycraft say that I wanted to talk to you about?

A. It is like I told you previous to this, that he [6424] mentioned the fact that Bridges was worried and stated that you could help me out in regards to the FBI rap that they supposed that the FBI had hanging over my head.

Q. What did you say to that?

A. And I told him, I said, "Well, I want to get a lot of things clear." I said, "Rumors have been spread around the waterfront here about me being a stool pigeon and a labor spy"---

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) We have been over and over this matter.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, we have.

Mr. Gladstein: Please don't interrupt the witness.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Go ahead, Mr. Cannalunga.

Mr. Del Guercio: I have an objection here, if the Court please.

Presiding Inspector: What is the objection?

Mr. Del Guercio: That the matter has been gone over at least——

Presiding Inspector: I will let him answer. We haven't been very strict upon this. Questions have been asked by both counsel repeatedly.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Will you continue?

Presiding Inspector: It is very bad practice.

The Witness: You will have to read it back now.

[6425]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. The last question I asked you want read, is that right?

The Witness: And the answer.

Mr. Myron: The question and part of the answer.

Mr. Gladstein: You want the question?

Mr. Myron: You asked him to continue.

Mr. Gladstein: Do you want the reporter to read back to you the last question I asked and all of the answer that you have given so far?

The Witness: Yes.

Will you do that, Mr. Reporter?

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as above recorded.)

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: Is there anything ~~else~~ you want to say on that?

The Witness: No.

Mr. Del Guercio: May we have a recess, your Honor?

Presiding Inspector: Yes; surely.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

[6426]

Presiding Inspector: All right, Mr. Gladstein. You may continue.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, at the time on Wednesday, April 30, 1941 in the evening or night time when you and Rosco Craycraft were in your little workshop on the ship West Cussetta, what did you say to Craycraft when he suggested that you talk to me?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that as having already been answered at least twice.

Presiding Inspector: I am not sure. I don't remember that direct question being asked. I will take it.

The Witness: Would you read that question back, please?

Presiding Inspector: The general conversation was asked for.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Well, it was mostly a general conversation.

Presiding Inspector: No. There was some time



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

when he suggested "Will you speak to Mr. Gladstein"? What did you say when he said that?

The Witness: I said "All right."

Q. What did he say that he wanted you to talk to me about?

A. It's like I told you before: in regards helping [6427] me out on that rap that the FBI had re the Mann Act.

Q. And you said that there wasn't any such rap?

A. I told him that there was no such rap.

Q. Then why did you say "Yes," you would want to speak to me on that subject?

A. I didn't say I wanted to see you on that subject.

Q. What subject were you willing to see me on?

A. In fact, I told him he was crazier than hell that the FBI had a Mann Act rap on me, that there was no such thing. And I kept telling him that they had nothing on me and he kept insisting that they did. And, like I just told you, that was my answer. But I was willing to speak to you.

Q. What were you willing to speak to me about?

A. Well, to see how this rumor started against me and why it was started.

Q. The rumor that you were a stool pigeon?

A. Yes.

Q. Did Craycraft tell you that I was willing to come to Portland to talk to you about a rumor that you were a stool pigeon?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. No. There was nothing mentioned about why you was willing to come to Portland.

Q. Did you tell Craycraft that you were willing to see me only to find out why there was a rumor that you were a stool pigeon?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered, if [6428] your Honor please.

Presiding Inspector: He wants to conclude this. I think he is within his rights on that.

The Witness: What was that, please?

Presiding Inspector: I don't remember the exact form of the question.

Mr. Gladstein: Will you read it, please?

The Witness: I believe I have answered it.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Well, your Honor, if he looks back, I have answered that about——

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) He has already given the entire conversation.

Presiding Inspector: No. I don't know whether it is justified by the evidence or not, but he is inquiring whether you told him that you were willing only on account of the rumors to talk with Mr. Gladstein.

The Witness: Well, your Honor——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) You told him that you were willing to talk to Mr. Gladstein?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Now, did you go any further than that?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The Witness: No. [6429]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you have any discussion with Mr. Craycraft as to what I would be interested in talking to you about?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, I submit, your Honor, he has given the complete conversation.

Presiding Inspector: I think you have been all over it, haven't you?

Mr. Gladstein: I don't think I have asked that question, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Well, very well.

The Witness: Read the question again, please.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Well, it's like I said before: that Craycraft was insisting that the FBI had something on me and that they had this here Mann Act charge.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. Now, go on from there and answer it. Did he tell you what Mr. Gladstein wanted to talk with you about?

The Witness: No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you ask him?

A. No. I don't recall ever asking him why you wanted to come up.

Q. Did you think I had something to do with these [6430] rumors against you?

A. I don't know.

Q. At that time did you think so?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I don't know. You could of and you couldn't of.

Q. Did you tell that to Mr. Craycraft?

A. No, I didn't tell him that.

Q. What else was said in that conversation?

Mr. Del Guercio: He has already given the entire conversation.

Presiding Inspector: If there is anything more he can give it.

A. I don't remember any more, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You don't recall anything else?

A. No.

Q. Now, isn't it a fact, Mr. Cannalunga, that on that evening Mr. Craycraft told you that the Bridges Defense Committee was interested in knowing why you had given testimony which they knew to be false?

A. No, I don't recall that.

Q. Did it take place or not?

A. I don't believe it did.

Q. You can't answer for sure?

A. Well, it seems funny to me that you have all this stuff verbatim and, you take the average fellow when he is talking, he can't remember. [6431]

Presiding Inspector: Well, he is asking you if you can't say positively to say to the best of your recollection.

The Witness: No, I couldn't, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: You couldn't? You haven't any recollection or you mean to the best of your rec-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

ollection you didn't have? What do you mean? I don't understand.

The Witness: Well, the way he put it, your Honor, I don't ever recall making any such statement.

Presiding Inspector: Or his making any such statement to you?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: He says he doesn't recall making any such statement, Mr. Craycraft making any such statement to him.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it true that you told Mr. Craycraft that the FBI had "put the heat" on you?

A. No.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Mr. Craycraft that Ed Fisher had turned you in to the FBI?

A. No.

Q. Do you know Ed Fisher?

A. Yes, I know Ed Fisher.

Q. Who is Ed Fisher?

A. He used to be a member of the Communist Party in Seattle at the time I was, and I don't know whether he is a [6432] member of the Party now or not.

Q. Do you know whether he is related to Mr. Honig?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Did you ever tell anybody that he was?

A. No. I do know that he got married and I think the girl he married had that name "Honig."

Q. She was the sister of Nat Honig, isn't that right?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't know. I have never met the woman.

Q. Isn't that what you heard?

A. Well, I don't recall. I don't believe I had heard, or did I?

Q. Isn't it true that you said—

Excuse me. I don't know whether he finished that answer or not. Will you read the last question and answer?

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as above recorded.)

The Witness: You see, I wasn't particularly interested in the man and I might have heard that he married this Honig, is it. Honig's sister or not. I might have heard it, you know, in general conversation along the waterfront and I wasn't interested enough in the man to take a note of it.

Q. Didn't you ever say to Rosco Craycraft that you understood that Ed Fisher had turned you in?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you had heard that Ed Fisher was a brother-in-law of Nat Honig?

[6433]

A. No.

Q. Did you tell that to Craycraft?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. In the Clyde Hotel in my presence?

A. No.

Q. You are sure about that?

A. I am quite positive it didn't happen.

Q. Are you sure that didn't happen?

A. I am quite positive it didn't happen.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Are you sure that there was no discussion about Fisher in the Clyde Hotel?

A. There might have been some discussion. I couldn't say whether there was or not.

Q. What was the discussion?

A. I don't know.

Q. Do you know anything about the discussion in the Clyde Hotel about Ed Fisher?

A. No, I don't recall that.

Q. Then how do you know that the discussion about Ed Fisher wasn't along the lines that you said Ed Fisher had turned you in?

A. That I don't know. It's like I said when I first came on the stand, the day I went up to that hotel of yours I had been drinking pretty heavy and I could have said a lot of things and not remember it. It's like I say, I had been drinking pretty heavy and I could have said black was white. [6434]

Q. How can you be sure now that you didn't say—

A. (Interposing): It's like I said.

Q. Just a minute. (Continuing)—in the Clyde Hotel that Ed Fisher had turned you in to the FBI?

A. I am not sure.

Q. And how can you be sure now that you didn't say in the Clyde Hotel that you had checked and learned that Ed Fisher was a brother-in-law of Nat Honig?

A. You just got through telling me that I said that on the night of the 30th. Now, is this the night of the 30th or in the hotel?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. I am asking you about the first time you came to the Clyde Hotel. Do you remember that?

A. Yes, yes. I remember going up to the hotel.

Q. I think you said yesterday it was about three o'clock Saturday afternoon?

A. Somewheres around that.

Q. Can you be sure now, are you positive that you didn't say in the Clyde Hotel on that day in the presence of Craycraft and myself that Ed Fisher had turned you in and that you had checked on Fisher and learned that he was a brother-in-law of Nat Honig?

A. No, I couldn't be positive.

Q. You mean that you were so drunk on Saturday afternoon in the Clyde Hotel when you saw me that you don't know [6435] what took place there?

A. It's like I said the first day on the stand: Everything was pretty hazy; my memory was pretty well fogged up as I had been hitting the bottle pretty heavy and I could have made those statements, yes, and I couldn't have.

Q. Were you drunk or not?

A. I was drunk.

Q. Were you so drunk that you don't know what what took place?

A. Like I said: I was able to walk and do a lot of things automatically and I might have said these statements, and I might have not.

Q. Were you so drunk that you don't know what took place that Saturday afternoon in the Clyde Hotel?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: I think he has answered that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Was the same thing true the following morning? A. Yes.

Q. You were just as drunk on Sunday morning in my hotel room and in the lawyer's office as you were were the previous day?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you sure of anything that was said by anybody in the Clyde Hotel on the afternoon of that Saturday when you [6436] were in my room?

A. I am not positive.

Q. Are you sure of anything that was said by anybody on the following Sunday morning in the Clyde Hotel?

A. No, I'm not positive.

Q. Are you sure of anything that was said by anybody in the lawyer's office on the Sunday morning when you, a shorthand reporter, another attorney, Rosco Craycraft and myself were in an office building?

A. No. I'm not sure what happened there either.

Q. Let's go back to this Wednesday night, April 30th. Have you given all the conversation on all the subject matters that you remember were discussed that evening?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I believe I have.

Mr. Del Guercio: I believe that question is improper, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: No. I think that is perfectly proper. He wants to conclude it now.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did Craycraft say anything as to what he was going to do about getting me to the North to talk with you?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over a number of times.

Mr. Gladstein: I don't think so. [6437]

Presiding Inspector: No, I don't think that has, about getting him up.

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: I didn't hear it. I don't recall it.

Mr. Gladstein: I am sure I didn't ask that.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

Did Craycraft say that to you on Wednesday night or not?

A. I believe he mentioned something about phoning and flying.

Presiding Inspector: He mentioned something about phoning and flying.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did he say.

A. Well, I don't—I think he mentioned that he was going to phone you and that you would fly up.

Q. What else did he say about me?

A. I believe that's all I can recall.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did he say that you were to see me the next day?

A. No. He said he would see me the next day.

Q. Did he see you the next day?

A. Thursday? No.

Q. When did he say—on Wednesday night when did he say that he was going to see you the next day?

A. He said he'd come down to the ship. [6438]

Q. What was he going to come down to the ship for? To tell you whether I would come up, and, if so, when?

A. No. He wanted to talk to me some more.

Q.—Was he going to give you a report on the following morning when he came down to the ship about phoning me? A. No.

Q. He said nothing like that?

A. No. I don't think he—he said he was going to make out a report.

Q. Did Craycraft tell you on that Wednesday evening when he was going to phone me?

A. No.

Q. Did he tell you or indicate to you that he was going to do it pretty quick?

A. No, no. I don't recall whether he mentioned when he was going to phone or why or how.

Q. Did you get the impression from Craycraft that he was anxious to have you talk to me?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you get the impression from him as to why he was anxious to have you talk with me?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No. The only impression I got was he seemed to have an idea that the FBI had a Mann Act charge hanging over my head, and I don't know where he ever got that idea. And that's [6439] about the whole thing that I can recall.

Q. Was anything else said that Wednesday evening between you and Craycraft?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Now, as a matter of fact, he told you, didn't he, that he had to go to Seattle the next day in order to make a report to another Union meeting?

A. Yes. Since you—I believe he did mention Seattle and the meeting.

Q. Isn't it true that you told him that if he wanted to he could drop in on Doctor Buckner and check upon the name of the pills that you had been taking at the time you came out of the Marine Hospital last year?

A. No.

Q. Was the name Doctor Buckner mentioned?

A. Yes, the name Doctor Buckner was mentioned.

Q. Who mentioned it?

A. He did. He asked me who was taking care of me when I was sick in Seattle, and—and he seemed—his impression was that I hadn't been sick and he wanted to check up on me. That's the impression I got. And I told him, I said "Well, you go to Seattle and see Doctor Buckner and he has got a record of me in the Marine Hospital when I threw my hip out and what they did for me," and all he



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

would have to do is to go to Seattle and check up with this doctor Buckner. [6440]

Q. Then you did tell him the name of Doctor Buckner, didn't you? A. Why, yes.

Mr. Myron: He said that on the record.

The Witness: Sure I told him the name of Doctor Buckner.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And you did suggest that he could check with Doctor Buckner about something regarding your injury, is that right?

Presiding Inspector: He has just said that.

A. I just got through telling you that.

Mr. Del Guercio: He said it on direct and cross.

Presiding Inspector: Well, he has a right to ask him on cross if he said it on direct.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Had you been using any kind of dope of any kind in the hospital?

A. Now, will you clarify "dope"?

Q. Well, I mean pills, that, to use your language, would have a tendency to "dope you up", in any way. Do you understand me?

Mr. Del Guercio: Used whose language? He hasn't used any language like that, if your Honor please.

A. I don't understand you. Is it morphine or what, or sleeping tablets?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein: [6441]

Q. Did you take pills to kill the pain in your hip last year?

A. No. You see, when I hurt myself on the ship it happened out at sea and the captain of the ship went ashore and saw the doctor, and I had gone ashore in Juneau and saw the doctor there, and then the ship just pulled in long enough so that I could see the doctor and the doctor said that I would be better off down in Seattle in the Marine Hospital in Seattle, I would get better care. So he wrote out a prescription and part of it was filled in Juneau and on the way down stopped at Ketchikan. They had to pick up some cannery workers in Ketchikan, and he went ashore and bought me the other half of the prescription, and it was sleeping tablets. A dozen and a half he bought. I think they were "ambytols". And so I took them as it was directed, and when we got down to Seattle I had eleven of them left.

Q. Do you have any difficulty remembering the name of that tablet?

A. "Ambytol".

Q. I say, have you had any difficulty in remembering that name?

A. No.

Q. You have always remembered it well?

A. Yes. It's a coal tar by product.

Q. Where did you get that prescription?

A. In Ketchikan. [6442]

Q. From whom?

A. The prescription, I got that from the doctor in Juneau and it was—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. (Interposing): What doctor?

A. Marine doctor.

Q. What is his name?

A. Oh, I don't recall his name. I know that he handles all the marine cases.

Q. Describe him in a general way.

A. Oh, he's an elderly fellow, white hair, and I believe he wears a goatee.

Q. When did you get the prescription from him?

A. When?

Q. Yes.

Q. Well, I wouldn't recall the exact day.

Q. Approximately,

A. Oh, about the middle of September.

Q. Last year? A. Yes.

Q. And you got about 18?

A. Not there. I got—the prescription was partly filled in Juneau and the rest of it filled in Ketchikan.

Q. How many tablets or pills all together did you get from that prescription?

A. A dozen and a half. [6443]

Q. And have you ever had any other prescription or used any other prescription for those tablets? A. No.

Q. Or any similar tablets? A. No.

Q. How many of those 18 tablets or pills have you ever used?

A. Well, I got 11 left, so I used 7 of them.

Q. Now, that Wednesday evening on the West

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Cusseta, didn't you tell Roscoe Craycraft that when you were coming out of your Union hall a Mr. Boyd from the Immigration Department got hold of you last October?

A. No. I don't believe I ever said that to Craycraft.

Q. Are you sure that you didn't?

A. I'm quite positive I didn't because, in the previous testimony about Mr. Boyd contacting me and then going up to the FBI office—oh, no; I never recall making that statement to Craycraft.

Q. What did you tell him about Mr. Boyd?

A. I don't believe I have said anything to him about Mr. Boyd.

Q. Did you tell him how the FBI happened to get hold of you? A. No.

Q. Did he ask? [6444]

A. No, he didn't ask me.

Q. Did he ask you about the first time that you were with the FBI Agents?

A. No. I don't recall him asking anything about the FBI. The only thing his mention of the FBI was that they had a rap on me and it involved the Mann Act. That's about the only thing that I can recall referring to the FBI.

Q. When he said that to you, when he mentioned the Mann Act did you ask him where he got his information?

A. Yes. Like I told you half a dozen times, he claims he got it out of a tavern on the waterfront here in San Francisco.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you ask him anything else about it?

A. No. I thought——

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Your Honor, I submit that we have gone over that, surely.

Presiding Inspector: I think we have been over that, haven't we?

Mr. Myron: Three times, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I wouldn't be sure about the "times".

Mr. Del Guercio: The record, I think, will show that we have been over it at least that.

Presiding Inspector: Haven't you been over it?

Mr. Gladstein: Not this question. I have the previous question, [6445]

Presiding Inspector: Ask the one you haven't been over.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. After there was this talk about Craycraft learning about a rumor in a waterfront tavern in San Francisco about a Mann Act charge did you ask him anything further about it?

A. No. I thought it was pretty fantastic, because I knew in my own mind that I had never traveled across any state lines with a woman, and so the Mann Act just covers going across state lines, if I am not mistaken. There is enough publicity about it. And so, like I said, I couldn't figure out where he ever got that idea.

Q. Did he ask you anything at all about the times that you had been with the FBI Agents?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: Now, this is on Wednesday night?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, your Honor.

A. On Wednesday night?

Mr. Del Guercio: We have gone back to Wednesday night there at least four or five times.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. But after he has exhausted his recollection it may suggest things.

The Witness: Will you repeat that, please?

Presiding Inspector: I think he has given his recollection. Now you can ask him direct questions to refresh his recollection.

Mr. Gladstein: Yes. [6446]

Presiding Inspector: Was anything said about that matter?

The Witness: No. It is like I stated, the only thing I recall in regards to the FBI was this rap they held—so-called rap they held over my head.

By Mr Gladstein:

Q. You mean there was no discussion between you and Craycraft as to whether or not you had given false statements to the FBI?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, if your Honor please, I will object to the question.

Mr. Myron: It has been gone over before.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. He is suggesting. He is trying to refresh his recollection.

A... No, not that I recall.

Presiding Inspector: From his standpoint.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You say not that you recall. You mean that you are uncertain about it?

A. No. I am not uncertain about it. I do recall him mentioning that I could have made false statements and I denied it to him, but as far as specially bringing in the FBI into the conversation it's like I say, about the—I recall him mentioning the FBI in regard to that Mann Act.

Q. Then you do recall some talk about false statements, do you? [6447]

A. He was the one that mentioned it, yes.

Q. What did he say?

A. Like I just told you. Will you read back that answer.

Q. No, no; what did he say to you about false statements?

Mr. Del Guercio: What was it that you wanted?

A. Oh, I just got through telling him in regards to how he mentioned it I could have made some false statements in the first deposition, and I told him, no, I didn't.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did he say to you about false statements? A. I just got through telling you.

Q. Did he say to you "Cannalunga, you lied under oath"? A. No.

Q. What did he say?

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, your Honor, we are going back again.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. He is pressing him now to find out what was the conversation about false statements.

A. Well, it's like I said: Craycraft insisted that I could make some false statements and I told him, No, I hadn't made any false statements and that everything I had [6448] said I thought was the truth.

Q. Do you say that Craycraft insisted that you "could" make false statements or that you "did"?

A. That I could have or did.

Q. Did you ask him what false statements he claims you made?

A. No, because I figured that—

Q. (Interposing): Did he tell you?

A. No, I don't believe he did.

Q. When he told you that you had made false statements, what did you do?

A. I just laughed it off because it was kind of silly.

Q. Did you feel mad?

A. No. Why should I feel mad? I just thought it was silly to—to suggest anything of the sort.

Q. Now, before he left you that Wednesday night Craycraft told you that he was going up to Seattle to report to a longshore union meeting and he was going to be back in Portland on Friday, didn't he?

Mr. Del Guercio: We have gone into that. We are going over every situation at least three or four times, if the Court please.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: No. That hasn't been gone over. At least part of it hasn't. The first part has been gone over about going to this meeting, but not that he would be back Friday, as I remember.[6449]

Did he tell you after going to this meeting he was going to be back Friday?

The Witness: No, I don't recall when he—  
(Pause).

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did he tell you that he was coming back to see you after he did his work for the union in Seattle?

A. Yes. He did mention that he was going to see me again, but he didn't specify when or where or—(Pause).

Q. Well, he saw you again on Friday, Friday evening, didn't he?

A. Yes, I believe he did.

Q. 8:00 o'clock about, wasn't it?

A. Well, I couldn't say the exact time.

Q. And the ship had moved in the meantime from the Irvin Dock to the Sunset Oil docks, hadn't it?

A. Yes. Sunset was—that's down about 12 miles out of Portland.

Q. And when he saw you that evening the two of you walked back to the after deck, didn't you?

Mr. Del Guercio: Well now, have we gone over this?

Presiding Inspector: No, I think not, not on cross examination.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Have you been over this?

Mr. Gladstein: No. This is an entirely different evening. [6450]

Presiding Inspector: You went over it, I think (addressing Mr. Del Guercio).

A. No, I don't recall going back.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Were you sober?

A. Yes, I was sober.

Q. All that evening?

A. I'm quite sure I was.

Q. And you knew what was being said and what was taking place?

A. I believe so.

Q. Up to this point you hadn't been drunk at any of the times that you had seen Craycraft, is that right?

A. No.

Q. Is that right?

A. That's right.

Q. And as you were walking back to the after deck there, you and Craycraft, you saw a man named Burt Nelson, didn't you?

A. Well, that wasn't on the after deck.

Q. No. But you saw him as you were leaving with Craycraft, isn't that right; when Craycraft was leaving the ship.

Presiding Inspector: After the conversation.

A. Oh, yes. I believe Burt Nelson did come aboard. [6451]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You were walking Craycraft up to the gang-plank and that's where you saw Nelson, isn't that right?

A. Yes, that could have been.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And all three of you stood around the gang-plank and talked for a minute before Craycraft and Nelson left, isn't that right?

A. Yes, I think so.

Q. All right. Now, before that happened Craycraft and you were alone in your room, isn't that true?

A. Alone in my room?

Q. Yes.

A. Well, that's hard to say. I don't remember the both of us being alone in that room at that time.

Q. Well now, isn't it a fact that Craycraft told you then that he had been talking on the telephone to me and that I had asked Craycraft to find out from you whether you would talk with me? Didn't he tell you that?

The Witness: Will you repeat that again?

Presiding Inspector: Read the question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. He might have said it that way.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And didn't you tell him that you were willing to do so? [6452]

A. Yes. I believe Wednesday I told him I was willing to talk to you.

Presiding Inspector: We have got down to Friday now.

The Witness: Yes, I knew.

Presiding Inspector: Did you tell him on Friday that you were willing to talk with Mr. Gladstein?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

The Witness: Yes, I did. Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And didn't he ask you if you were willing to repeat the same things to me that you had told to him? A. No.

Q. You are sure that didn't happen?

A. I am positive.

Q. What makes you so positive about that, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Huh? Well, there was nothing to repeat. The way you ask that question you might think that he took shorthand notes of our conversation. That's the way I get it. Now, if you will read that question back again, Mr. Gladstein, maybe I'll—

Mr. Gladstein: (Interposing) Certainly.

Mr. Myron: He could be positive of what he said or what he knows he said.

Mr. Gladstein: We will read it back.

Presiding Inspector: Read the question. [6453]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Which question do you wish read back?

A. This last question and the answer.

Presiding Inspector: And the answer.

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as follows:

“Q. And didn't he ask you if you were willing to repeat the same things to me that you had told to him? “A. No.

“Q. You are sure that didn't happen?

“A. I am positive.”)



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The Witness: Yes, I am still positive about it, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I am asking you now what is it makes you positive about that?

Mr. Myron: He says nothing happened. I suppose he could be positive.

Presiding Inspector: I think he has answered it.

Mr. Gladstein: I suppose he will adopt the answer that Mr. Myron just gave him.

Mr. Myron: That is the only answer he could give.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that your answer, the one that Mr. Myron just gave? [6454]

Mr. Myron: Is that your question now? I object to the question.

Presiding Inspector: Did you get what Mr. Myron said in the record?

The Reporter: Yes, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Then I will allow the question.

A. No. I answered his question, the question of Mr. Gladstein. [6455]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't Craycraft tell you that he would try to phone me that night, and would let you know the next day whether I could come up?

A. No.

Q. He didn't say that?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. You are positive of that too?

The Witness: I don't get this word "positive," your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Are you sure?

The Witness: Yes, I am sure.

Presiding Inspector: Certain?

The Witness: Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You are absolutely certain?

The Witness: "Positive"—that is being infallible, isn't it? After all—

Presiding Inspector: Well, I suppose there are degrees of it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Don't you know what the word "positive" means? A. Well, I understand—

Q. (Interposing) Do you know what it means or not? A. Yes—you are infallible. [6456]

Q. Is that what you meant when you said a while ago that you were positive?

A. No, I didn't mean that—now, you are bringing up infallibility. Well, there is only one man that is infallible.

Q. Who brought up "infallibility"?

Presiding Inspector: Don't wrangle with the witness. Ask the next question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How long were you with Craycraft that evening, that Friday evening?

A. Oh, I don't know how long I was with him.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

I couldn't definitely state whether I was with him five minutes or five hours. It was like I said, there was a pretty steady stream of fellows coming aboard ship to talk to me about the Bridges case, and it was a continuous stream, and every time I turned around there was some people asking me about the case.

Q. You mean that you couldn't, can't now tell whether you spent five minutes or five hours with Craycraft on Friday evening, May 2?

A.—Oh, I would say approximately from 5 to 15 or 20 minutes.

Q. All right. What did you talk about with him?

A. Well, I don't recall every word that we said.

Q. Do you recall anything? [6457]

Presiding Inspector: He has recalled some of it, hasn't he?

Mr. Gladstein: He has been asked some specific questions.

Presiding Inspector: You don't want to go over it all again?

Mr. Gladstein: No.

Presiding Inspector: Was there anything else?

The Witness: No, there isn't.

Presiding Inspector: Now, if you want to suggest anything to him.

By Mr. Gladstein: ✓

Q. Did Craycraft tell you that he was going to phone me and let you know the next day whether I was coming?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. You asked that question before.

Presiding Inspector: You have been over that.

The Witness: And I answered that.

Presiding Inspector: I think you have been over that.

Mr. Gladstein: All right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When was the next time you saw Mr. Craycraft after that Friday evening, May 2?

A. Saturday.

Q. When?

A. Oh, I believe it was in the afternoon some time.

Q. You saw him in the morning about ten o'clock, [6458] didn't you?

A. I don't recall seeing him in the morning.

Q. Didn't the ship move over to the Crown Mills?

A. I remember the ship moving; yes.

Q. Didn't Craycraft come up to the ship and see you that morning?

A. No, I don't recall seeing him that morning.

Q. Didn't Craycraft tell you that I would arrive on the 1:30 plane that afternoon?

A. No. I recall calling him up at some grocery store.

Q. When did you call him up at a grocery store?

A. Saturday morning. It is like I say, maybe I didn't call him up. I don't know.

Q. Did you or didn't you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Like I say, I don't know.

Q. Why don't you know?

A. Well, that was my birthday and I was celebrating.

Q. When did you begin celebrating?

A. Oh, about eight o'clock in the morning.

Q. When did you think you called him up?

A. Well, I thought maybe I called him up some time during the morning, about ten o'clock or so.

Q. Where do you think you called him?

A. The way I remember, I thought I called him at some grocery store. [6459]

Q. Maleti's grocery? A. Maleti's.

Q. What did you call him up for?

A. To make a date with him, if I am not mistaken.

Q. A date of what kind?

A. To meet him out on the dock at three o'clock.

Q. What for?

A. We were going to see you, I think; yeah.

Q. That is what you called up for on Saturday morning?

A. I believe that is what I did Saturday morning.

Q. That is, to find out when you could see me?

A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: He didn't say that.

The Witness: (Interposing) To see him.

Mr. Del Guercio: He already testified why he called.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: He wants him to explain what "make a date" is.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You mean an appointment by "date"?

A. Appointment to meet a fellow.

Q. Well, you called up on Saturday morning to find out from Craycraft what time you could see me?

A. No.

Q. What did you call him up for?

A. What time I could see him, Craycraft. [6460].

Q. What did you want to see him about?

A. He told me to call up.

Q. When did he tell you that?

A. I think it was a couple of days before that.

Q. What did he tell you to call up for?

A. Well, he told me if I could get off the ship and see him, and if I could to call him.

Q. What for? A. I don't know.

Q. Didn't you ask him what he wanted you to call for?

A. No. He said just to make a call, so I called.

Q. What did you say to him when you called him?

A. I had in mind we were going to meet you.

Q. Where did you get that idea?

A. From the Wednesday previous. He said you were going to fly up and get here Saturday, I think.

Q. He told you that on the previous Wednesday?

A. Oh, it would have been Wednesday or Thursday or Friday—I don't know.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. All right. So you called up on the phone, called him up on the phone and you asked what?

A. I asked for Craycraft.

Q. Did you get him? A. Yes.

Q. What did you say to him when you got him?

[6461]

A. I said, "Well, I will see you at three."

Q. Who said that?

A. I did; I think I said it.

Q. Was that the first thing you said?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you tell him where you would see him?

A. I think I told him I would see him out on the dock.

Q. Did he ask you what for? A. No.

Q. Was there any talk about me?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Well, you said that you intended to call him regarding the appointment with me. Isn't that what you intended?

Mr. Del Guercio: That isn't what the witness' testimony is.

Presiding Inspector: He called to make a date.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. To make a date, is that right?

A. With Craycraft. You see, this whole thing on Saturday—let me see—

Presiding Inspector: We know what you said. There is no use going over that. We know it was your birthday and that you were celebrating on your birthday. [6462]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The Witness: A lot of this stuff is hazy, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: We understand that you said that too.

Go on.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did you say to him on the phone?

A. It is like I told you, I might have phoned, and I don't know. I am just assuming that I phoned him.

Q. What would you be phoning him for?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over.

Presiding Inspector: He said he phoned him to make a date.

A. In the afternoon, meet him in the afternoon.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did you want to meet him in the afternoon for, Mr. Cannalonga?

A. Well, he said he wanted to see me.

Q. When did he tell you he wanted to see you?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Was it before you phoned him?

A. It could have been.

Q. Was it before you started celebrating?

A. Now, you have got me on that.

Presiding Inspector: You say, Mr. Cannalonga, I think, it was on Wednesday you had been asked to call him up at that [6463] time, at this grocery store. Isn't that right?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The Witness: No, your Honor. You see, I might have met him that morning, and I might not have made this phone call, you see—I don't know.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you remember anything at all about it, do you remember a phone call?

A. It was either—it might have been a phone call for me to call back. It could have been that way.

Presiding Inspector: Well, now, you have said a number of times about this grocery store, that there was to be a telephone conversation. You didn't put it in that language.

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Now, when was it that it had been arranged that there should be some telephone conversation, either you were to call him or he was to call you—when was that?

The Witness: Well, your Honor, I don't know whether I made that phone call Saturday or not. I remember making some kind of a phone call to a grocery store, and I don't remember whether somebody called up the ship for me to call back that number, or I called.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is there a phone on the ship?

A. Out on the dock. [6464]

Q. You don't remember whether you were responding to a phone call or whether you made the phone call yourself?

A. Right.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. But you remember that you made a phone call? A. Well, I think I did.

Q. If you made the phone call do you remember what you said?

A. Well, if I made the phone call, I remember making a date to meet somebody at three o'clock.

Q. Now, isn't it true that at ten o'clock that morning Craycraft came down to the ship, which was at the Crown Mills, and told you that I was going to be in town on the 1:30 plane that afternoon?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. You don't remember it? A. No.

Q. It could have happened, but you don't remember it, is that it? A. It could have happened.

Q. And isn't it true that Craycraft asked you, "How shall I meet you, Cannalonga?"

A. No.

Q. What is that?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. You don't remember it. It could have happened, but [6465] you don't remember it?

A. Yes, it could have happened.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Craycraft that the ship was going to shift at noon to Terminal No. 1? A. It could have happened.

Q. Did the ship shift at noon to Terminal No. 1?

A. Yes, it did.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Craycraft that you thought the FBI might be watching the ship

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

so you would walk over and meet Craycraft on the street at about 2:45 P.M.?

A. No, I don't recall making that statement to him.

Q. What did you say about meeting Craycraft?

A. I don't even recall meeting Craycraft that morning.

Q. What did you say to Craycraft about meeting him that afternoon?

A. It is like I say, to the best of my recollection I thought I was phoning.

Q. Whether you were phoning or talking in person, what arrangements did you make with Craycraft regarding meeting him that afternoon?

A. I remember meeting him outside the dock at three o'clock, or somewhere around that time.

Q. About 2:45, wasn't it?

A. It could have been 2:45.

Q. You had walked off the ship and you met him out on [6466] Front Street, didn't you?

A. Out on the dock; yes.

Q. Outside the dock where Front Street is, isn't it?

A. Sure, the dock runs into the streets.

Q. Front Street is the street that is alongside that dock at Terminal 1, isn't it?

A. Front Street? I believe it is.

Q. That is where you met him, isn't it?

A. Yes; in front of the dock.

Q. And you had told him that he could meet you there at a quarter to three, hadn't you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't know the exact time, or whether I told him over this phone, or talked to him that morning.

Q. All the time that you were putting in these overtime hours of work on the ship, were you in a constant state of intoxication?

A. It being my birthday—during my birthday and the following day; yes.

Q. On all other days how were you?

A. Sober.

Q. You say on the day of your birthday and the following day you were drunk all of both those days?

A. Yes, I would say I was.

Q. Did you do any work at all?

A. Now—when you call it “working”—in the electrical [6467] game that has a long explanation. If you want me—

Q. (Interposing): Did you do any work at all on those two days? You can answer “Yes” or “No” and then you can explain.

Mr. Del Guercio: The witness can explain.

A. Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now explain.

A. All right. You see, when a ship packs one electrician, it is his job—as long as those winches are turning he has to see that they keep running, that they don't break down. That is his main function. And there is a lot of little things he can do. He can be dead drunk and as long as he can walk on



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

his two feet he is still on the job. The engineers on the ship, they decide whether you can handle a job or not. They make a little allowance like for birthdays, and so forth. So the Chief, and the First Assistant, they liked me and thought I was a good man. I had done a lot of work on there. I had rebuilt half a dozen big motors, and did quite a bit of jobs, correcting a lot of work that needed correcting, and so when my birthday came around I told them I was going to start hitting the bottle and I was going on a couple of days' spree. So the Chief said, "O.K. We will keep an eye on things."

I believe I turned in that night about midnight.  
[6468].

Q. What night?

A. And got three hours' sleep.

Q. What night?

A. Saturday night. I woke up, had the night mate give me a call at three o'clock Sunday morning, and I repaired a winch that had broken just as the longshoremen were knocking off. So it just happened to be a lucky break for me that she broke down as they were knocking off. They reported it. So the night mate gave me a call at three in the morning so I could repair it and get it ready for seven o'clock. So I got up at three and took a cold shower and repaired this panel board.

Q. How long did it take you to repair it?

A. About an hour and a half.

Q. What did you do after that?

A. Well, I started hitting the bottle again.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. How long did you continue to hit the bottle?

A. Oh, until late Sunday night, until I passed out.

Q. Continuously?

A. Well, I managed to go through a couple of quarts of rum that day.

Q. Did you do any work, any more work on Sunday? A. No.

Q. Now, on Saturday when did you start hitting the bottle then? [6469]

Presiding Inspector: He told you that.

Mr. Gladstein: That was on Sunday, your Honor.

A. I told you Saturday at eight o'clock in the morning.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you do any work at all on Saturday?

Mr. Del Guercio: He has gone over that.

Presiding Inspector: You have gone over all that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What kind of work did you do on Saturday?

Mr. Del Guercio: He has gone over that.

Mr. Gladstein: I am not sure.

A. I just got through telling you that I was on the payroll as working, and so forth. I got a lucky break. The Chief and the First Assistant said they would keep their eyes on the equipment.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it true that you didn't have to do a

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

thing on Saturday from eight o'clock in the morning until the time that the winch broke down when the longshoremen went off shift around 3:00 A.M. the following morning?      A. No; no.

Q. How was it?

A. Give me those overtime slips you got there and I will show you how it was.

(The overtime slips referred to were passed to the [6470] witness.)

A. (Continuing): On Saturday they worked until nine o'clock.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And finished when?

A. Saturday evening. They worked cargo and knocked off. That is when that winch had broken down, just as they were knocking off.

Q. Could I interrupt for a second? Is that the first piece of work that you had to do on Saturday, the repairing of the winch that broke down at nine o'clock?      A. No.

Q. What did you do—

A. (Interposing): I am—well, they knew that the winch was broke, and I was pretty drunk at the time, so the first assistant notified the night mate to wake me up in the morning. I had passed out. So he woke me up about three o'clock in the morning, Sunday morning.

Presiding Inspector: The question is, what work did you do during Saturday before this winch broke down from 8:00 o'clock in the morning, when you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

began drinking, as you have said, until three o'clock the next morning? Did you do any work? I mean by "work", any work with your hands?

The Witness: I might have; yes.

Presiding Inspector: Did you? [6471]

The Witness: I don't know.

Presiding Inspector: That doesn't show (overtime sheets) does it?

The Witness: Well, you see—

Presiding Inspector: Does it show that?

The Witness: It shows that I got paid for that time.

Presiding Inspector: That is all it does show?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Does it show that you were off the ship during that time?

The Witness: No.

Presiding Inspector: Although you were?

The Witness: Yes, sir. The way they work it is like this: When there is one man in charge of the electrical work, one electrician, they make a little allowance, if you notify the Chief Engineer, so you can go off an hour or so.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, you have already said, concerning this exhibit, this time sheet, which is a record of your overtime work, that you made it out in your handwriting, is that correct? A. Yes.

Q. And that is a truthful answer?

A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Now, it shows on Saturday, May 3, that from 12:00 [6472] noon until 1:00 o'clock you put in an hour testing the steering engine. Did you do that—"yes" or "no"?

A. Yes. But then there is an explanation for that too.

Mr. Del Guercio: He can explain.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Explain it if you want to.

A. When you test a steering engine here is what you do: You take the deck cadet back with you, and the Mate up on the bridge, he turns it hard over to port and hard over to starboard, and back to midship. That is to see if it needs repairing.

Q. That doesn't require any manual work?

A. No.

Q. Did you do any of the testing of that steering engine?

A. I went back and just stood up while he worked the wheel from the bridge.

Q. Is this a truthful statement on this time sheet of yours, that you spent one hour testing the steering engine?

A. Yes, it is true.

Q. And it says from one o'clock until two o'clock you checked and helped with the circulator. What is that?

A. The circulator is a motor down in the engine room. That had stopped on them. They wanted me to go down, and I [6473] went down, and the Engineer on watch wanted to know what was wrong

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

with it. He couldn't get it started. I said, "There is a button there. Push it." He pushed the button——

Presiding Inspector: This was on your birthday?

The Witness: Yes. He pushed the button and she started.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did it take one hour to do that?

A. You see——

Q. (Interposing): Did it take an hour to do it?

A. Well, will you let me explain?

Q. First answer "yes" or "no", and then explain. A. No.

Q. But you put down claiming an hour overtime?

A. Yes. The rule is——

Q. (Interposing): Just——

Mr. Del Guercio. (Interposing): He wanted to explain.

A. (Continuing): I want to explain that. The contract signed between the organization and the shipowners provides that any part of an hour is an hour.

Presiding Inspector: All right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Also, on Saturday, May 3, your record shows, made by you, that you put in three hours, between 2:00 o'clock and 5:00 o'clock, that afternoon. Is that (indicating) "cargo"?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. That is cargo. [6474]

Q. What does that mean?

A. That means standing by on the winches.

Q. Standing by, to do what?

A. Nothing.

Q. Well, what were you supposed to do standing there?

A. In case the winches break then you are supposed to fix them. You know what I mean by "standing by"?

Q. You actually weren't standing by on that ship for those three hours, were you? A. No.

Q. You were away about two hours of that time, one hour in my room in the Clyde Hotel, and the other hour going to and coming away from the hotel, isn't that true?

A. It could be possible.

Q. Is it true or not? A. I suppose so.

Q. All right. Now, on Sunday, May 4th, from 3:00 A.M. to 7:00 A.M. you spent four hours repairing the cargo winch, is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that winch the one that broke down at nine o'clock Saturday night? A. Yes.

Q. Did you finish repairing it by 7:00 A.M.?

A. Yes. [6475]

Q. And you started hitting the bottle again?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you start hitting the bottle before or after 7:00 A.M.?

Mr. Del Guercio: I think the witness is enti-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

tled to see the time slips here to refresh his memory.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did you start hitting the bottle?

That don't show on the record when he started hitting the bottle.

Mr. Myron: Let him see the sheets.

Presiding Inspector: You testified as to that?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Let us hear it again.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Was it three o'clock in the morning when you started hitting the bottle again? A. No.

Q. Was it after you finished with the repair?

A. About, I would say about seven o'clock, after I had a little coffee. I took a little shot of rum and

\*Q. (Interposing): You didn't get yourself drunk while you were working four hours repairing this winch? A. No.

Q. You couldn't repair cargo winches if you were drunk, could you? [6476] A. No.

Q. So you got drunk right after seven o'clock?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that right?

A. Yes. I did have a drink before I started to work on the winch to kind of snap me out of it.

Q. It didn't make you drunk? A. Oh, no.

Q. And then after you got yourself drunk, right

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

after seven o'clock Sunday morning, you were drunk all day long until you passed out that night?

A. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: Are you making that as a statement or asking a question?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I am asking you if that is true.

A. What is that again?

Q. When you began drinking at 7:00 o'clock Sunday morning you kept on and got yourself drunk, and didn't know what was taking place in my room, or in the lawyer's office, and you were drunk all day until late that night when you passed out, is that right?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that question. In the first place, it isn't one question. It is many questions.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it. He can divide it. [6477]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that true:

Presiding Inspector: It is on one subject.

Mr. Myron: That is not the evidence.

Presiding Inspector: It doesn't make any difference whether it is the evidence or not.

Mr. Myron: He shouldn't mislead the witness by stating the evidence and asking—

Presiding Inspector: I don't think it is misleading the witness.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Myron: The witness testified he remembers some things that took place.

Presiding Inspector: But he said he was drunk all that time.

Mr. Myron: But he remembers some things that took place.

Presiding Inspector: This doesn't say he couldn't.

Mr. Myron: I so understood the question.

Presiding Inspector: Let's hear the question.

Mr. Gladstein: I will restate it.

Presiding Inspector: No. Let the reporter read the question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Presiding Inspector: You didn't know what was taking [6478] place, anything more than what you have testified to?

I didn't realize it was so positive.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I will put it this way: You began to drink at seven o'clock that morning, on Sunday, after you finished repairing the cargo winch?

A. Yes.

Q. And you say you got drunk, and you were drunk at the time you came to my hotel room that morning?

A. Yes, I was.

Q. And were you drunk at the time you went over to the lawyer's office?

A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And you continued to remain drunk until late that night when you passed out, is that right?

A. No.

Q. When did you sober up?

A. If I am not mistaken I recall doing a little, getting sick in the toilet up in that office, and I went back aboard the ship and talked to the First Assistant, and he made the remark that he didn't see how I could stand up, doing all this drinking, and that I was holding my booze pretty good. And then I went in and I et—I don't recall what we had for dinner that evening—

Q. You were too drunk to know that? [6479]

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Were you drunk or not when you had dinner that evening?

A. Well, I was, yes. I was starting to snap out of it then.

Q. You were starting to snap out of it at 6:00 o'clock in the evening?

A. Yes. Sober up, yes.

Q. When did you actually become sober?

A. Well, that's hard to—hard to say.

Q. Well, were you drink from 7:00 o'clock in the morning until 6:00 o'clock that night, on that Sunday?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, if your Honor please, it is obvious that under those circumstances down there that counsel can't pin him down to hours.

Presiding Inspector: No. Well, he knows approximate hours.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Gladstein: Of course.

A. Well, I would say, Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did you pass out on Sunday night?

A. I didn't pass out Sunday night.

Q. Didn't you pass out on Sunday night?

A. No.

Q. You didn't pass out at all? A. No.

Q. You didn't pass out from being drunk?

[6480]

A. No, not Sunday night.

Q. What night was it that you passed out from being too drunk? A. Saturday night.

Q. What time Saturday night?

Mr. Del Guercio: We have gone all over that.

Presiding Inspector: I think we have been over that.

A. I told him when I passed out on the Saturday, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What time on Saturday night did you pass out? A. It was in the evening some time.

Q. What time?

A. I couldn't say exactly what time.

Q. Early evening or late?

A. No. It was dark.

Q. Early evening or late at night?

Presiding Inspector: Well, Mr. Gladstein, can a man tell the hour when he is drunk and passes out?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga)

Mr. Del Guercio: If he knows.

Mr. Gladstein: My recollection of the testimony was that he previously said that he passed out on Sunday.

The Witness: No, I said "Saturday".

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You meant Saturday night? [6481]

A. And I said "Saturday night".

Q. Now, the record shows that on Sunday, May 4th you worked from 7:00 A.M. to 12:00 noon, repairing cargo winches. Is that true?

Mr. Del Guercio: Is that what the time sheet says?

A. No. It says "cargo". That's just cargo here (indicating). Here's (indicating) where I made the repair work, where I put "winches" and then "repair". But the rest of it is cargo.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. From 7:00 to 12:00 noon is cargo?

A. Yes.

Q. What were you doing then?

A. I don't know.

Mr. Del Guercio: We have gone over that, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What were you doing?

A. I don't remember what I was doing. I was drinking. I had been drinking.

Q. You mean that you were standing on the deck of that ship drunk for those five hours?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. On the Sunday?

Q. Yes.

A. No. I wasn't standing on no deck. [6482]

Presiding Inspector: "Standing by".

The Witness: That don't mean "standing".

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What does it mean that you were doing?

A. That means that you are subject to call where they can get ahold of you. I could be in the bridge.

Presiding Inspector: Ready to do the service you are called upon to do?

The Witness: What, sir?

Presiding Inspector: Ready to do the service you are called upon to do.

The Witness: Yes, sir.

Presiding Inspector: That is what is called in the parlance of the sea "standing by".

The Witness: "Standing by"; yes, sir.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And you did no work that day?

Mr. Del Guercio: Does the time sheet show? Why don't you give him the time sheet and let him see.

Presiding Inspector: No. If he has any recollection he can tell.

A. No. I don't recall doing any work.

Presiding Inspector: The next question.

Mr. Gladstein: Just one second, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. [6483]

Mr. Gladstein: Do you want to recess now?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: Were you going to take up some new matter?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, I was thinking of it.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. We will recess until two o'clock.

(Whereupon at 12:25 P.M. a recess was taken until 2:00 P.M. of the same day.) [6484]

---

After Recess

2:00 o'Clock P. M.

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Gladstein.

Shall we wait for Mr. Del Guercio?

Mr. Myron: He will be in shortly. We can go ahead.

Presiding Inspector: You are willing to proceed?

Mr. Myron: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: All right. We will wait for him if you wish?

Mr. Myron: He suggested we go right ahead.

Presiding Inspector: Very well.

---

MAURICE J. CANNALONGA

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:

Cross Examination (Resumed)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, on those overtime sheets of

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

yours, which are an exhibit for identification, regarding the work that you did on Sunday, those hours when you were standing by, would you call it "standing by" when you were not on the ship?

A. Well, it is like I explained. Any time that I left the ship I generally saw the Chief Engineer and First Assistant and asked permission.

Q. Have you always done that? [6485]

A. Oh, yes.

Q. So you asked permission to leave on Saturday and Sunday when you left? A. I did.

Q. Who did you ask permission of on Saturday?

A. Oh, I think the First Assistant was in the Chief's room, and the Chief was in there, and I asked if I could go.

Presiding Inspector: You call the Chief the Master of the ship?

The Witness: No. He is in charge of the engines.

Presiding Inspector: The engineer?

The Witness: The Chief Engineer.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What was his name?

A. I don't recall his name. It is Mack something.

Q. The First Mate, you talked to him about that?

A. Not the first mate—the First Assistant.

Q. The First Assistant Chief Engineer?

A. Well, I will explain the rating of the Engineers.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Certainly.

A. There is the Chief Engineer, a First Assistant, a second Assistant Engineer, a third Assistant Engineer, a fourth Assistant Engineer.

Q. All right. Now, on Saturday before you left the ship to see Mr. Craycraft and me, you told the Chief Engineer [6486] and the First Assistant that you were going to leave and you wanted permission? A. Yes.

Q. And they gave you permission to leave?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you tell them where you were going?

A. No.

Q. Did they ask you? A. No.

Q. Did you ask them for permission to charge the company, your employer, for those hours that you were away from the ship?

A. Well, it's like I said.

Presiding Inspector: Well, did you ask them specifically? A. (Continuing) No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you ever ask anybody about that?

A. No. You see, I would like to explain a little on that, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: You claim that is the custom.

The Witness: Yes, that is the custom.

Presiding Inspector: That is your testimony: that that is the custom.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6487]

Q. Now, did you tell the Chief Engineer and

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

the First Assistant on Saturday how long you were going to be gone? A. I don't believe I did.

Q. Did they ask you?

A. No, I don't believe they did, because I had previous like when I first went aboard in Seattle, I told them I wanted to go ashore for a while and I believe I went ashore in Tacoma the same way.

Q. Did you consider that you were available for duty on that ship during the couple of hours that you were ashore? A. No.

Mr. Myron: He has already testified to all this, your Honor.

Mr. Gladstein: I didn't ask him that.

Mr. Myron: What difference does it make?

Mr. Gladstein: It wasn't answered.

Mr. Grossman: He didn't answer.

The Witness: I did answer it. I said "No".

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Why did you claim that overtime in your work sheets?

A. Oh, for Christ's sake! I told you once—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Well now, just tell him again.

A. (Continuing) —that it has always been the custom on any ship where there is one electrician, the company [6488] doesn't expect a man to stay on that ship all the while it is in port for the 24 hours and every day that the ship is in port. They make leeways and the figure that, after all, a person is human and he likes to get ashore



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

for an hour or so and providing he tells the proper ones that he is going to leave for a while, why, it is all right with the company. They just turn their head aside on it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, these hours on Saturday that you were not available for duty you charged overtime, did you not? A. Yes.

Q. Is that the custom?

A. Yes, sure it is the custom.

Q. The custom on ships belonging to the Maritime Commission? A. Yes.

Q. You know the Maritime Commission—

A. (Interposing) Yes, I know what you are trying to get at. You are trying to get that I swiped a couple of hours of overtime on the company. Is that what you are driving at?

Mr. Myron: I believe he testified that his day was over Saturday at noon.

Mr. Grossman: He is claiming overtime, Mr. Myron, for that. [6489]

Presiding Inspector: I don't know, I guess you have all there is to it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What happened on Sunday? Did you get permission to leave then? A. Yes.

Q. Who did you ask permission of?

A. Either the Chief or the First. I am hazy on it. I know I asked them.

Q. Where were they when you asked them?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. You see, the Chief's room was just one door down from mine.

Q. Well, did you go there?

A. I believe I did.

Q. Don't you know whether you asked permission?

A. If I didn't ask him permission he would have, no doubt, told me about it.

Q. Did he ever tell you about it?

A. No. So I must have asked him for permission.

Q. Do you have a distinct recollection of asking for permission?

A. No.

Q. On Sunday?

A. No.

Q. How about Saturday? [6490]

A. I am quite sure I did on Saturday.

Q. Now, where did you go to get permission on Saturday?

A. The same place.

Q. What's "the same place"?

A. In the Chief's room.

Q. Who was there at the time?

A. I believe the Chief and the First Assistant and possibly one of the other engineers.

Q. And what were they doing?

A. Just sitting around talking.

Q. What time of the day was that?

A. I don't recall the time of the day.

Q. Well, was it morning or afternoon?

A. I don't remember that.

Q. What did you ask him?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I assume that I asked him if it was all right with him if I went ashore for a while.

Q. Well, did you or didn't you ask him that?

A. It's like I made the first testimony on the stand here. There are a lot of things that is very vague. After all, I had been doing quite a bit of drinking and a lot of that stuff isn't clear in my memory.

Q. When on Saturday did you ask him, do you remember? A. I don't. [6491]

Mr. Myron: He has been over this over and over again.

Presiding Inspector: I think we have been over it three or four times.

Mr. Gladstein: No, Your Honor; not this.

Presiding Inspector: Well, we have had a general statement that he asked. Of course, you are pressing for very great details. It may be interesting to see how much he can remember.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What was said to you when you asked for permission on Saturday to leave the ship?

A. I don't know.

Q. Don't you know whether they said "Yes" or "No"?

A. Well, if they didn't say—if they said "No", I would not have went ashore, and if they said "Yes" I went ashore.

Q. Do you know what they said?

A. No, I don't.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, that's at least six times, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is pretty repetitious. Of course, it is implicit that they have to say something.

Mr. Gladstein: Excuse me, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I say it may have been implicit in the conversation.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6492]

Q. As a matter of fact, on that Saturday afternoon, Mr. Cannalonga, you left that ship and met Mr. Craycraft a little bit early on the street, didn't you?

Mr. Myron: At little bit early? What do you mean by "A little bit early"?

A. I don't know.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You were supposed to meet him at a quarter of three, weren't you?

A. If I am not mistaken I was supposed to meet him some time in the afternoon.

Q. At a quarter of three so that you would then meet with me at three o'clock, isn't that correct?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over.

A. I don't remember the specific time.

Presiding Inspector: I know, but I will allow him to press him.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What's the answer, please?

A. I don't remember the specific time.

(Testimony of Matrice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. As a matter of fact, you met Craycraft on the street at about 2:25, didn't you? 2:25 or 2:30?

A. I don't know.

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you know where you met him? [6493]

A. Yes. I have a recollection of meeting him outside the dock.

Q. Right out at Front Street, wasn't it?

A. Well, I don't know the name of the street out in the dock, in front of the dock.

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that. They pressed him on that this morning.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. I think this was covered.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you and Craycraft then go—withdraw that.

Where did you and Craycraft go after you met?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to it also on the same ground, your Honor. We might as well start from the beginning again.

Presiding Inspector: I am not sure that that was covered, so I will allow it.

Mr. Gladstein: I know it was not, your Honor.

A. I believe we rode in a car and went up to the hotel. [6494]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What car? A. His car.

Q. Craycraft's? A. Yes.

Q. What kind of a car was it?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't know the make of it.

Q. Well—

A. (Interposing) Well, I don't know whether it was his car or not.

Q. What kind of a car was it you rode in?

A. It was a—let me see—a sedan.

Q. Two door?

A. No, I couldn't say whether it was a two door or a four door sedan.

Q. Did you sit in the front seat with him?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it a new or an old car?

A. Well—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) You mean what model or what year it was?

Mr. Gladstein: I mean exactly what I asked.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Was it a new or an old car, Mr. Cannalonga?

A. I don't know.

Q. Was it a new car? [6495]

A. I don't know.

Mr. Del Guercio: He might as well ask him if it was a used car or a new car.

Presiding Inspector: I think the purpose is perfectly evident. I will allow it. I think it is a proper purpose, I mean, within his right.

A. I don't recollect whether it was a new car or an old one.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Where did you go after you got in the automobile?

A. Well, I remember we drove for quite a while, and I don't know how long we drove, or where we drove to.

Q. Where did you drive to?

A. Well, I remember—

Presiding Inspector: You mean the final place?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Where did you stop—after you had driven where did you stop, get out?

A. The next thing I remember was going in an elevator. There are only certainly things that—

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. (Interposing) Didn't you go in a cafe before you went in the hotel elevator? A. No.

Q. Isn't there a cafe near the Clyde Hotel called the [6496] Virginia Cafe?

A. I believe we did go in a cafe.

Q. Didn't you have a cup of coffee in that cafe? A. Yes.

Q. Didn't you and Craycraft sit in that cafe and have coffee in order to kill time until 3:00 o'clock?

A. No, I don't remember about killing time. But, if I am not mistaken, I do recall that I had coffee, black coffee.

Q. With some ice in it?

A. Well, I don't know whether I had ice or not in it.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Do you do that once in a while?

A. Yes; that is a habit of mine.

Q. When the coffee is hot?

A. Regardless of whether it is hot or not, I always put ice in coffee.

Q. All right. Then you went up in an elevator?

A. Yeah.

Q. To the second floor, wasn't it?

A. I couldn't say what floor it was.

Q. You went to a hotel room in that hotel?

A. Yeah.

Q. This was the Clyde Hotel, wasn't it?

A. I don't even know the name of the hotel.

Q. You testified yesterday it was the Clyde Hotel. [6497] Where did you get that name?

Mr. Myron: I think he was asked.

Mr. Gladstein: I am asking him.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Where did you get that name?

A. Oh—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) You said he testified yesterday it was the Clyde Hotel. Did he testify yesterday it was the Clyde Hotel?

Mr. Gladstein: He did, your Honor.

Mrs. King: If your Honor please, all during the examination of this witness there has been an effort on the part of counsel for the Government; it seems to me, to protect him beyond—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) There has been no such effort.

Mrs. King: (Continuing) —beyond what is

(Testimony of Maurice J. Canhalonga.)

warranted, which has interrupted the examination rather more than has been customary with other witnesses.

Mr. Myron: We have a right to object to questions improperly put.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think there is any objection now.

Mrs. King: It isn't a question of objection.

Presiding Inspector: Counsel shouldn't suggest answers. Of course, I am not passing on that, but it shouldn't be done. [6498].

A. I believe later on Rosco mentioned it was the Clyde.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did he mention that to you?

A. Well, I don't know whether I saw the name on the hotel, or he had told me a couple of days later that it was the Clyde.

Q. Do you remember when, a couple of days later, he mentioned it was the Clyde? A. No.

Q. Do you remember where you were?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Who knocked on the door, you or Craycraft?

A. You got me. I don't know.

Q. One of you did? A. I believe so.

Q. Did I answer the door?

A. I think you did.

Q. And you and Craycraft came into the room; didn't you? A. I believe; yes.

Q. There was nobody else in that room, was there? A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And Craycraft introduced you and me and we shook hands, isn't that correct? [6499]

A. Did we?

Q. I am asking you?

A. I don't recall shaking hands with you.

Q. Did he introduce you and me?

A. I suppose he did.

Q. Didn't he say, "Brother Cannalunga, this is Brother Gladstein"?

A. Not to my recollection.

Q. You don't remember that?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Now, do you remember sitting down in an arm chair in that room? A. No.

Q. Do you remember where you sat?

A. No. I suppose I did sit down.

Q. You sat on a chair, didn't you?

A. I don't know if I sat down or not.

Q. You think you might have stood up?

A. I might have.

Q. Through the whole time that you were there?

A. No, no; I wouldn't have stood up the whole time I was there; naturally not.

Q. You were there about an hour, weren't you?

A. I don't remember how long I was there.

Q. You didn't have any drinks while you were there, did you? [6500] A. No.

Q. Now, isn't it true that Rosco Craycraft said that you had told him that your testimony in the Bridges case had been false? A. No.

Q. You are sure that didn't happen?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Well, the way you put it—I am not sure what happened in that room. Like I said repeatedly, there was certain things that stood out, and others was foggy, and I could have said black and white. I don't know.

Q. Isn't it true that Craycraft said that you had told him, Craycraft, that the reason you had given false testimony in this case was because you had been threatened by the FBI with a Mann Act charge?

A. No; I don't ever recall him saying that.

Q. Do you deny that he said it?

A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge. He might have said it.

Q. Isn't it true that Craycraft then said that he had asked you whether you would be willing to tell me the entire story, as you had told it to him?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. Do you mean you don't remember it, or do you deny that it was said?

Presiding Inspector: If he doesn't remember how can he [6501] deny it?

Mr. Gladstein: Of course, the witness has used various phrases—

Presiding Inspector: When you say—of course, this may call for what he actually remembers, or in light of the whole examination it may mean, could that have happened from the background, and it leaves him doubt, I think, as to that.

Mr. Gladstein: All right.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: He says he has no recollection on the matter.

Mr. Gladstein: All right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't Craycraft say that you had said you were willing to talk with me?

A. That I was willing to talk with you?

Q. Yes.

A. Well, I said that the previous Wednesday, but I don't recall saying that up in the hotel room.

Q. Didn't Craycraft say that up in the hotel room on Saturday?

A. No, I don't remember him saying that.

Q. Didn't Craycraft say that because you had told him you were willing to talk with me he had phoned me and I was there to hear what you had to say?

A. No, I don't remember that.

[6502]

Q. Didn't I ask you to start at the beginning, using your own words, and tell me about the times you had seen the FBI agents?

A. No.

Q. What is the answer?

A. I said, "No, I don't think so."

Q. When you say, "I don't think so," Mr. Cannalonga, do you mean that you don't remember?

A. It is like I said, could have said it, yes; but if I did say it I don't ever recall saying it.

Q. All right. Now, didn't you tell me and Mr. Craycraft that the first time you were contacted



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

by the FBI was through a man named Mr. Boyd, of the Immigration Service, in October of 1940?

A. No, I don't believe I said that.

Q. What do you believe you said about that, if anything?

A. I don't know what I said about it.

Q. Didn't you say that you were in the Marine Firemen's hall at the time, just coming out of the Union hall? A. No.

Mr. Myron: What time is this, may I ask, your Honor?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you understand the time I am talking about, the time the FBI agents first contacted you?

A. Well, that is in the previous statement that I had [6503] made.

Q. I will rephrase the question. We are in the Clyde Hotel now, Mr. Cannalunga, on Saturday afternoon. A. Uh huh.

Q. You are there, Mr. Craycraft was there, and I. Didn't you on that occasion, say that the first time you were contacted in connection with this proceeding by the FBI was indirectly through an Immigration man named Mr. Boyd, who caught you as you were coming out of the Marine Firemen's Hall on a day in October of last year?

A. No, no. That circumstance never happened.

[6504]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't Mr. Boyd get into contact with you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

in October of last year as you were in or leaving the Marine Firemen Hall.

A. Oh, no. No. I think you have got that twisted up with leaving the Out-Patient Station of the Marine Hospital. The United States Public Health Service Out-Patient Station, not the Firemen's Hall.

Q. Were you leaving the Out-Patient Station of the Marine Hospital in October of last year when Mr. Boyd contacted you?

A. I would have to have something to refresh my memory on that.

Q. What do you want to refresh your memory?

A. That previous deposition that I made when I was on the stand here on the 17th.

Presiding Inspector: What is your present recollection on the matter?

The Witness: I don't—to make the—I think it was down in Boyd's office, not in front of any union hall.

Presiding Inspector: You remember Boyd, do you?

The Witness: Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you remember Mr. Boyd?

A. Yes. [6505]

Q. You know he is from the Immigration Office?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. You knew that in 1940? A. Yes.

Q. You know he is sitting in the room here, don't you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Myron: I object to this line of questioning.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it.

Mr. Del Guercio: Where is he sitting in the room?

Presiding Inspector: This tests his recollection.

Mr. Myron. He is testing his recollection in the Clyde Hotel. He says he doesn't recollect anything about Mr. Boyd in the Clyde Hotel.

Presiding Inspector: I know, but he said right after that that he thought that Mr. Gladstein had it confused with meeting him as he was leaving the Out-Patient Department of a hospital. Now, he is pressing him on that.

Mr. Myron: He said he couldn't have said that, regardless of the fact that he didn't recollect what he said, because it wasn't true, that he met him at such and such a place.

Presiding Inspector: He said he met him somewhere else.

Mr. Myron: That is right.

Presiding Inspector: That was only qualifiedly untrue because he did say he got in contact with him, but now he says, recollecting further, that he thinks when he met Mr. Boyd it [6506] was in the office of one of the departments. I think it is proper.

Mr. Del Guercio: Then Mr. Gladstein pointed out to the witness and stated to the witness that Mr. Boyd is in the room. Will you point him out, Mr. Gladstein?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Gladstein: I don't know whether he is or not. I am asking whether he is.

Mr. Del Guercio: You told him he was in the room.

Presiding Inspector: He may have said that.

Mr. Reporter, will you read what Mr. Gladstein said?

(The portion of the record referred to was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q. You know he is sitting in the room here, don't you?")

Mr. Del Guercio: "You know that he is sitting in the room here, don't you?"

Presiding Inspector: That does imply that he is in the room.

Mr. Grossman: He doesn't happen to be, but we have a right to find out if this witness can identify him.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, but not to suggest to the witness that he is in the room and then ask him whether he is *not*.

Mr. Gladstein: I will withdraw the question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You know Mr. Boyd? There is no doubt about that? [6507] A. No.

Q. You knew him before the 1939 hearing, didn't you? A. '39?

Q. The 1939 hearing against Harry Bridges?

A. Yes.

Q. And you met him again in 1940, in October, didn't you? A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. He is the man who contacted you, isn't he?

A. Is he?

Q. Is he?

A. Now, your Honor, I'm getting all confused. We are up in the hotel room now.

Presiding Inspector: We have gone somewhere else now.

The Witness: We have gone somewhere else.

Presiding Inspector: Now, is he the man from the department who first, I suppose, on that occasion got into communication with you?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: He said "Yes".

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And where did he contact you?

Mr. Myron: Now, this is immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. I am not sure that it is immaterial. It may be. [6508]

A. I believe I contacted him down in his office.

Presiding Inspector: "In his office", he said.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Whose office? A. Mr. Boyd's office.

Presiding Inspector: Speak up a little louder so that we can all hear.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How did you happen to go there?

A. I believe there was a phone call asking me to go down there.

Q. Where did you get that phone call?

A. I think it was the union hall.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. So you were contacted by Mr. Boyd through the union hall?

A. Yes, through the union hall.

Q. By telephone?

A. By telephone, leaving a message.

Q. Now, didn't you tell Mr. Craycraft and myself on that Saturday in the Clyde Hotel that Mr. Boyd took you up to the Immigration Station where Mr. Boyd told you that some FBI men were coming down and wanted to talk to you?

A. No, because it never happened that way.

Q. In what way did it happen?

A. I got a phone call to go down to the Immigration [6509] Office and I went down to Mr. Boyd's office at the Immigration Building, and while I was down there in the Immigration Building two of the FBI men came down.

Q. Did Mr. Boyd tell you before the FBI men came that they were coming down and wanted to have a talk with you?

A. I think he mentioned that the FBI men wanted to see me.

Q. Before they came? A. Yes.

Q. And then the FBI men came, is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And then when they came they took you to the FBI Building?

A. Yes. That is all in the previous deposition.

Q. Didn't you tell me those things and Mr. Craycraft those things in the Hotel Clyde?

A. No.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Are you sure about that?

A. No. I'm not sure of anything I did up there.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI men took you a building called the Vance Building on the corner of Third and Union?

A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Did they actually do that? [6510]

A. Well, as near as I can recall, after we left the Immigration Station, I was driving my own car and I parked it at the Four Stores Garage in Seattle and from there walked up to the FBI office, which was in the Vance Building, I think the ninth floor.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you went up to the ninth floor of the Vance Building and were with two FBI men by the name of Madala and Dickstein?

A. No, I didn't say that.

Q. Is that what happened?

Presiding Inspector: What do you mean? Happened at the Clyde Hotel?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did it actually happen that you went up there to the ninth floor of the Vance Building and were with Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein?

A. No. When I got up to the Vance Building there was just Mr. Dickstein. We went in the building together and I went in one of the offices and then Mr. Madala showed up.

Q. That is, Mr. Madala showed up after you were up there with Mr. Dickstein?

A. Yes.

Q. And then you met Mr. Madala afterwards?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Yes.

Q. Didn't you tell us that on Saturday? [6511]

A. No.

Q. Didn't you say that on Sunday the following day in the lawyer's office? A. No.

Mr. Myron: He has answered all these questions. He says "No".

Mrs. King: It does seem to me that the Government continues to suggest things—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing). The Government will have to continue to object.

Mrs. King: It isn't a question of objecting. They didn't object to anything then.

Presiding Inspector: Let Mr. Gladstein go on. Ask the next question.

Mr. Gladstein: Was there an answer to the last question?

Presiding Inspector: I think he is entitled very fully to examine this witness as to what his recollection is of this interview in the Clyde Hotel.

Mr. Myron: I agree, your Honor, but I think he shouldn't reiterate the same questions over and over again.

Presiding Inspector: Well, he shouldn't. One answer is as good as a hundred, but that has been very largely forgotten in this hearing.

Mr. Grossman: If it is the same answer. [6512]

Mr. Gladstein: Was the question answered, Mr. Reporter?

The Reporter: Yes.

Mr. Gladstein: What was the question?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say on Saturday in the Clyde Hotel to Craycraft and myself that Madala and Dickstein began to question you regarding Bridges?

A. No, I don't believe I did.

Q. Didn't you say that you were with Madala and Bridges about an hour and a half or so? Excuse me. I withdraw that.

Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you were with Madala and Dickstein about an hour and a half or two?

A. No, I don't believe I did.

Q. Didn't you say that you had a doctor's appointment that day at either one o'clock or three o'clock in the afternoon?

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. Didn't you say that you were questioned by the FBI Agents for approximately two hours before that appointment with the doctor?

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. Didn't you say that a general conversation took place during that two hours?

A. No, I don't believe I did: [6513]

Q. Didn't you say that the FBI Agents asked you a lot of questions about what you knew about Harry Bridges?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Didn't you say that you were asked by Madala and Dickstein whether you could prove whether Bridges was a Communist?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't think so.

Q. Didn't you say that you were asked by Madala and Dickstein whether you could prove that Bridges was a Communist, and that you answered, "No", you couldn't prove that he was a Communist?

A. No, I never said anything like that.

Q. Didn't you say that Madala and Dickstein told you that they knew you had been a Communist?

A. No. I don't recall discussing either Madala or Dickstein with you—or Dickstine.

Q. Didn't you say that they wanted you to make a statement for them in which you were to say that Bridges was a Communist?

A. No, I don't think so. In fact, I know I wouldn't have said anything like that if I was sober.

Q. Why wouldn't you have said that if you were sober?

A. For the simple reason that it wouldn't be the truth.

Q. You mean that Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein never asked you to make a statement for them in which you were to [6514] say that Bridges was a Communist?

A. No.

Q. They never did that?

A. No, not to make a statement that Bridges was a Communist.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel on that Saturday afternoon that Madala and Dickstein kept asking you questions as to whether you knew if Bridges was a Communist and that you kept denying that you had any such knowledge?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. No.

Q. You didn't say that? A. No.

Q. Isn't it true that that happened?

A. What's that again?

Q. Isn't it true that Madala and Dickstein did ask you such questions and that you did deny—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Now you have left it.

Mr. Gladstein: I will withdraw it. I will withdraw the question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that Saturday afternoon that Madala and Dickstein had asked you whether you had ever attended Communist meetings with Bridges?

A. No, not in the hotel. [6515]

Q. You didn't say that? A. No.

Q. Are you sure that you didn't?

A. Well, I am not sure of anything that happened up there.

Q. You wouldn't have said it if you were sober?

Mr. Myron: Oh, now. Said it to whom?

Presiding Inspector: That is rather argumentative, isn't it?

Mr. Gladstein: All right. I will withdraw it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it a fact that Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein did ask you whether you had ever attended Communist meetings with Bridges?

A. Is this in the—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) This is not in the Clyde Hotel, but when you had the conversation with Madala and Dickstein.

A. (Continuing) Oh, yes, yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it true that you said in the Clyde Hotel that you had not been in any Communist meeting or meetings with Bridges?

A. No, I didn't say that.

Q. Isn't it true that in the Clyde Hotel you said that you had told Madala and Dickstein that you had been in [6516] meetings with Bridges where there were Communists and non-Communists?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. Did you say it or didn't you? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you explained to Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein the structure of the Communist Party, how it works with the rank and file and the progressive groups in the union?

A. At the hotel?

Q. Yes.

A. No, I don't remember saying anything like that. No.

Q. Did you say it or not?

A. Well, I don't know.

Mr. Myron: He says he doesn't remember.

Presiding Inspector: I suppose that is all the way through. When he says "No" he means that he has no recollection of it.

The Witness: I can't say I did.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: He doesn't vary from that statement, as I understand it.

The Witness: And it is like I say, at the time I had been drinking pretty heavy.

Presiding Inspector: I believe we have that all in mind. [6517] You needn't repeat that. We understand it quite fully.

The Witness: I would be just making a liar out of myself if I said "sure".

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you on that Saturday afternoon in the Clyde Hotel in the presence of Craycraft and myself say that you had given untrue testimony and had made untrue statements to the FBI?

A. Not to my recollection, no.

Q. Did you ever say that to Craycraft?

A. No.

Q. Did you say that on Wednesday, April 30th to him? A. No.

Q. Are you sure of that?

A. Yes, I am sure of that Wednesday. I was sober.

Presiding Inspector: Speak up so that we can hear you, Mr. Cannalonga.

The Witness: All right, sir.

Presiding Inspector: I told him to speak up.

[6518]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the reason you had given false statements to the FBI

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

and because they were holding a 20 year sentence on a Mann Act charge over your head?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever say that to anybody?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. Did you say that to Craycraft on Wednesday, April 30th?

A. No.

Mr. Gladstein: I am sorry about the delay, but the pages of the transcript that I am reading contain so many objections that it is difficult to find the testimony of the witness and I wish to confront him with as nearly the exact testimony as I am able to find.

Presiding Inspector: That is all right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you, in the Clyde Hotel on that Saturday afternoon, say you had given false testimony on this witness stand?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell that to Rosco Craycraft on Wednesday evening of April 30th?

A. No. [6519]

Mr. Del Guercio: I want to interpose an objection. We are going back now to what he told Craycraft on that Wednesday night, and we have certainly been over that.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think he has asked that particular question, has he?

Mr. Gladstein: I haven't.

Mr. Del Guercio: I assume he did. He asked everything that occurred on that Wednesday night.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: He asked, refreshed his recollection, or claims to be refreshing his recollection, pressing him on a particular point, after having exhausted his recollection, and that is allowable.

Mr. Del Guercio: I may be mistaken.

Presiding Inspector: You may be right about that, but I don't recall it. He asked him quite fully about that conversation, there is no question about that.

Mr. Del Guercio: That is what I was basing my statement on.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that Saturday afternoon that you left the FBI agents, Madala and Dickstein, on the occasion when you first saw them, in a cab for the doctor's office?

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Q. Did you actually leave there, the FBI agents, in [6520] October of last year after your first interview with them, and take a cab and go to your doctor?

A. No; no; I walked to the doctor's because the doctor's office is just around the building from the—the Vance Building is on Third and Union and the doctor's office was on Fourth and University—just one block.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that when you left the FBI agents on the occasion when you first saw them you had consistently denied that you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

had any knowledge or information to the effect that Bridges was a Communist?

A. No; I don't recall saying that at the Clyde Hotel.

Q. As a matter of fact, on the occasion when you first saw Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein in 1940, October of 1940, didn't you leave them on that first occasion, after having denied that you had any information or knowledge that Bridges was a Communist?

Presiding Inspector: I think that is a little objectionable. You mean, did he state that, did he make that statement?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: You are asking if he left?

Mr. Gladstein: Excuse me.

Presiding Inspector: You put in a subordinate clause. I think you should ask him directly.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. At the first time when you met there with Mr. Madala [6521] and Mr. Dickstein didn't you say to them that you didn't know whether Bridges was a Communist or not? A. No.

Q. Did you tell them that you knew he was a Communist?

A. I told them that I thought that Bridges was a Communist.

Q. Did you tell them that you knew he was a Communist?

A. No, I didn't say—I just told you what I told them.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you tell them why you thought he was a Communist? A. I believe I did.

Q. What did you say?

A. That he had been following the Party line pretty close.

Q. What else?

A. And that it was generally known among the Party members that he was a member of the Party.

Q. What else?

A. But there was no way of proving that he was a member of the Communist Party because I hadn't seen his Party book or seen him pay dues into the Party.

Q. What else did you tell them?

A. I believe that is about all.

Q. That is all the things that you told them which were [6522] the reasons why you thought Bridges was a Communist?

Mr. Myron: On this first occasion?

Mr. Gladstein: On this first occasion.

A. The transcript covers it pretty well.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I am asking you now, Mr. Cannalonga.

A. You would have to give me a little time to kind of—

Q. (Interposing) You take all the time you want.

A. Was that—that was covered by about four pages of that whole testimony.

Presiding Inspector: Never mind that. He is asking for your recollection as to everything you said to meet this question.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. All the reasons, Mr. Cannalunga, that you gave to Madala and Dickstein the first time you saw them as to why you thought Bridges was a Communist, besides those you have given?

Mr. Del Guercio: I would like to interpose an objection right here—all of the reasons? That presupposes—that is what the witness may have in mind—he was asked the question, “What reasons do you have”?

Presiding Inspector: He has given some of them so I don't think there can be any mistake by the witness.

Mr. Myron: Those are the statements he made; not [6523] necessarily reasons.

Presiding Inspector: Statements of reasons.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Have you now given, Mr. Cannalunga, all the statements that you are able to remember that you made to Madala and Dickstein the first time you saw them as to why you thought Bridges was a Communist—“Yes” or “No”?

A. Will you ask that question again?

Presiding Inspector: Read the question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Well, then there was a Frisco meeting here in a private home where I sat in a meeting with Bridges and Schneidermann, and a few others, and that was in the original statement.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you say that the first time you spoke to them—did you tell them that the first time you spoke to them, Madala and Dickstein?

A. Well, I would have to look at some of these statements—

Presiding Inspector: No—your recollection, He is asking about your recollection.

A. (Continuing) My recollection—you see, it might have been in the second statement I made.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. The first time you ever saw Madala and Dickstein, [6524] up in the Vance Building, you told them that you thought Bridges was a Communist, is that right? A. Yes.

Q. But you said you didn't think it could be proved, as far as seeing his Party book, or paying Party dues, is that right? A. Yes.

Mr. Myron: He already testified to that.

Mr. Gladstein: It is preliminary in a sense.

Presiding Inspector: That isn't quite what he said.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is it correct or not?

Mr. Myron: His testimony shows what he said.

Presiding Inspector: I think you must follow his testimony. He said he thought it couldn't be proved because he couldn't show his book.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that what you meant? A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And then when you said you thought Bridges was a Communist did they ask you what you based that on, did they ask you to tell them what you knew about Bridges? A. Yes.

Q. And then did you give them all these things you have just stated?

A. I believe I did. [6525]

Q. They did not suggest these things to you, did they? A. No.

Q. You told them all about these things voluntarily yourself? A. Yes.

Q. You told them about the meeting with Schneidermann and Bridges? A. Yes.

Q. You told them about all the party members knowing that Bridges was a Communist?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will interpose another objection. This was all gone into, and they had a very exhaustive cross examination on this matter at the time the witness was on the stand the last time.

Presiding Inspector: Isn't that so?

Mr. Del Guercio: It isn't fair to the witness or anyone else to go into the same matter again.

Presiding Inspector: This really isn't new matter, is it?

Mr. Grossman: We certainly have a right to go into it again, considering the circumstances. What Mr. Del Guercio means is that they haven't prepared him on this again. That is what he means.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: That is not so at all. We don't have to follow those tactics. [6526]

Mr. Grossman: It is quite obvious that is the reason because——

Presiding Inspector: I think that is an improper statement. Perhaps you ought to be called upon to produce proof before we finish.

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes; and we demand it.

Mr. Grossman: We will produce it. I think we are tending to prove it now. I think, if you will look at the record, and find the number of objections, it is quite obvious that is the reason. Throughout the cross examination they object to every question and the reason is they are afraid to let this witness answer questions.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is a highly improper statement.

Mr. Grossman: It is true, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is a highly improper statement.

Let's go on with the examination.

Mr. Gladstein: Is there a question unanswered?

Presiding Inspector: You can argue your case when you sum it up at the end. If you want to sum it up orally I will give you an opportunity; otherwise, in your written brief.

Go on, Mr. Gladstein.

Mr. Gladstein: Will you read the last question?

[6527]

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Was there a ruling?

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you remember the question? A. No.

Mr. Gladstein: Will you read the question again?

(The question referred to was reread by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Yes, I believe I did.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You volunteered that and told them about it?

A. Yes.

Q. They didn't ask you about it first?

A. Oh, no.

Q. And you volunteered to them that it was generally well known that Bridges was a Party member, is that right? A. Yes.

Q. And they didn't try to force you to make any statements that were untrue, did they? A. No.

Q. And they didn't suggest to you that you had been at a meeting with Bridges?

A. No. [6528]

Q. They simply asked you to give them all the information you had against Bridges? A. Yes.

Q. And you voluntarily and freely told them everything you remembered? A. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: If that isn't repetition I don't know what is.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mrs. King: If your Honor please—

Presiding Inspector: I think we have been all over this.

Mrs. King: It is not repetition. Whether it is repetition or not the answers to the questions are exactly—well, I don't want to go into that at this time, but it seems to me that the evidence that Mr. Gladstein is drawing from the witness at this time is extremely valuable and to cut us off at this time I think would be really to take away our rights.

Presiding Inspector: I think you have covered this.

Mr. Gladstein: My recollection is that the testimony now being given by this witness is almost directly contradictory to the testimony he gave the first time when he was on the stand.

Presiding Inspector: Can you show me the testimony?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, I think so. [6529]

(The transcript referred to was passed to the Presiding Inspector.)

Mr. Del Guercio: What testimony are you referring to?

Presiding Inspector: Page 1085.

We will take a short recess.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

Presiding Inspector: You did go all over this before.

Mr. Gladstein: In the first cross examination, yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: I say, you did.

Mr. Gladstein: During the first cross examination.

Presiding Inspector: What right have you to go over it again?

Mr. Gladstein: I beg your pardon?

Presiding Inspector: What right have you to go over it again?

Mr. Gladstein: Well, if your Honor thinks I shouldn't ask—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): You excused the man. You cross examined here. There are differences now, to be sure, but we can't retry this whole case. I will allow it as far as it has gone and I will let you have this. I think there was one further question, wasn't there?

Mr. Gladstein: Well, I don't remember whether there was.

Presiding Inspector: We can't go over the whole case. [6530]

Mr. Gladstein: But I can summarize that in the form of one question, if you will allow that.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: I think we have a right to recall every witness who testified against Bridges—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): I have expressed my view, Mr. Del Guercio, that we can't retry the whole case.

Mr. Gladstein: You said I could ask one more question?



(Testimony of Mauriee J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: Yes, you may. We have covered this subject pretty nearly fully. It is all in the record. We had better allow this one more question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, the first time you were with Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein, the FBI Agents, is this what happened? Did they ask you about what you knew about Bridges being a Communist? And did you tell them freely and voluntarily that you had been in a meeting with Bridges and Schneidermann and that you had been to a Communist fraction meeting at the Gowman Hotel and that Party members generally knew that Bridges was a Communist, and those other things which were contained in the statements?

Mr. Myron: Now, that has been answered.

Presiding Inspector: Right. But I will allow it. It sums up the testimony.

Mr. Myron: It has been answered. [6531]

Presiding Inspector: Yes, I think so. But he wants to sum up the whole matter and get an answer. I will allow the question.

The Witness: It is kind of confusing, it is so long. I can't keep up with him.

Presiding Inspector: Read it to him.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. Yes.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, coming back to the Clyde Hotel on that Saturday afternoon didn't you say to Mr. Craycraft and to me that Madala and Dickstein had told you the first time that you were with them that they wanted you to come back the following morning?

A. No, I don't remember telling you that.

Q. Didn't you say that Madala and Dickstein had told you not to try to run away because the FBI was a big organization?

A. No.

Q. You didn't say that?

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Presiding Inspector: I don't understand that his answers, the answers that this witness is giving, are that he remembers it and can say that he didn't. His answer is "No. I answered it in the negative because I have no recollection".

Mr. Grossman: Well, your Honor, what are we to say, then, [6532] with reference to answers he gives where he doesn't say "I don't recall" but merely says "No".

Presiding Inspector: What?

Mr. Grossman: Are we able to distinguish between answers that he gives as "No" and—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): I don't know. The whole subject is obscure in the way the questions are put and the way they are answered.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Grossman: This was begun by Mr. Del Guercio because Mr. Del Guercio went through certain questions. He got a "No" answer, which on the record looks as if this witness remembers that he didn't say it. Now, in answer to almost the same questions as to these things being said we now get a "No, I don't remember". What I want to know is this: Are we going to interpret the "No" differently from the "No, I don't remember"?

Presiding Inspector: I don't know.

Mr. Grossman: If not, or, if so, are we going to interpret it any differently when he says "I am positive"?

Presiding Inspector: I am going to say that I don't know.

Mr. Grossman: In other words, we are going to either take the position that none of it is to be trusted because he didn't remember. That would knock out the answers that Mr. Del Guercio got.

[6533]

Mr. Del Guercio: As I sit here I note that they tell half-truths every speech that they make. My questions were "Do you recall? Were these things?" or "Do you recall that they were said at that time", referring to the conversation in the hotel. Why, counsel had to deliberately in all of the statements that he made—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): I don't think that we should have recriminations one side to the other.

Mr. Grossman: This is not a one-sided charge.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

I am prepared right here and now to give Mr. Del Guercio the pages, because I have examined the transcript with that in mind, of questions that were not "Do you remember?" but "Did this take place?". And the answer was "No". And if Mr. Del Guercio wants a test on that I will give him the pages and show that this is not a half-truth. It is the full truth. If that is an issue I will prove it.

Presiding Inspector: Well, wait until the testimony is evaluated on this matter.

Mr. Grossman: All right. I can prove it.

Presiding Inspector: But you go on, Mr. Gladstein, with your examination.

Mr. Gladstein: All right, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. That Saturday afternoon, that afternoon in the Clyde Hotel, Mr. Cannalunga, didn't you tell Mr. Craycraft and me about the second time that you saw the FBI Agents? [6534]

The Witness: What was that again?

Mr. Gladstein: Read it.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the second time you saw the FBI Agents they wanted you to sign a statement to the effect that you had seen Harry Bridges pay dues in the Communist Party?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you refused to sign such a statement? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that Saturday that the FBI Agents on this second occasion when they met you wanted to know whether you had ever been in meetings with William Schneidermann? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you had told the FBI Agents that you had been in meetings with William Schneidermann?

The Witness: Will you read that?

Presiding Inspector: Did you say this in the Clyde Hotel: That you had been in meetings with William Schneidermann? [6535]

A. No, no, not in the hotel.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agents on this second occasion when they saw you wanted to know if you had been in meetings with Harry Bridges?

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you told the FBI Agent you had been in meetings with Harry Bridges?

A. No, I don't recall that—saying that in the hotel.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agents on this second occasion wanted to know whether you had been in meetings with Schneidermann and Bridges present at the same time?

A. No, I don't recall that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you told the FBI Agents that, while you had been in meetings with Harry Bridges and meetings with Schneidermann, you had never been in any meetings with the two of them there at the same time?

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agents told you that they wanted you to sign a statement to the effect that you had been in meetings with Schneidermann and Bridges at the same time, although you had already told them that you had not been in such meetings? [6536]

A. No, I don't recall that.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you told Madala and Dickstein on this second occasion that you would refuse to sign any statement to the effect that you had been in meetings with Schneidermann and Bridges present at the same time because such a statement would be false?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that after you refused to sign a statement for Madala and Dickstein one of them asked you what had become of the girl you picked up in Reno?

A. No.

Q. You didn't say that in the Clyde Hotel?

A. No.

Q. Are you sure of it?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, if the Court please, certainly that is objectionable.

Presiding Inspector: No, I will allow it.

A. Well, it's like I told you, Mr. Gladstein, when I first come on the stand. I gave a brief out-



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

line of what had happened to the best of my recollection up at that hotel and it seemed to me like you and what's his name, both of you seemed to be bringing up this point about the Mann Act. Now, I don't know where you people ever got the idea and it seems like all through this, to me, it looks like that I am trying to [6537] be made out as a pimp.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Are you sure that you didn't say that in the Clyde Hotel? A. I'm quite sure.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that when the FBI Agent mentioned this girl you said "What girl"? and denied that you knew any girl or had picked up any girl?

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agent said "You know the girl we are talking about"? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agent mentioned the name of the girl?

A. No, I don't recall that.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agent told you at what places you stopped with the girl? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say at the Clyde Hotel that the FBI Agent described your itinerary from Nevada to California to Oregon to Washington? A. No.

Q. Didn't they tell you the name of the girl?

A. No. How could they tell me the name of the girl when I was never involved in any Mann Act?

Q. Didn't you tell the name of the girl to Craycraft? [6538]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't recall telling Craycraft the name of any girl.

Q. Didn't you give the name of Duval to Craycraft?  
A. No.

Q. Have you ever mentioned that name to him?

A. No.

Q. Do you know a girl by that name?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Have you ever?  
A. No, I never.

Q. Didn't you tell Craycraft on Wednesday, April 30th that the FBI Agent told you that that was the girl that you had taken through these various states?

A. Is this in the hotel now or back—

Q. Do you want to hear the question again?

A. Please. We are in the hotel one minute and back and forth.

Presiding Inspector: No. We are somewhere else now.

The Witness: I want to make sure where we are at.

Presiding Inspector: We are back on the ship.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Presiding Inspector: That is now on the ship.

The Witness: No, I never did. [6539]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't I ask you in the Clyde Hotel, in the presence of Craycraft and yourself, whether the

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

FBI agents, on this second occasion that they saw you and talked to you about this girl, had you cold?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. Didn't you nod your head to say "yes" in answer to that question?

A. I don't remember nodding my head either.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that after a discussion with the FBI agents concerning this girl that the FBI agents then went back to questioning you about Bridges? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you continued to tell the FBI agents that you knew nothing about Harry Bridges' membership in the Communist Party and that you had never attended any Communist Party meetings with him?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you state in the Clyde Hotel—

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): Just a minute. I think at this time that the record should show that counsel is looking at a transcript of Craycraft's testimony—I assume it is—although he was present at that hotel at that time.

Presiding Inspector: That doesn't make any difference. This testimony is in through the oath of Craycraft, and he is [6540] negating this so as to cover the contradictory statements which Craycraft testified that the witness made. I think it is proper. Probably it should have been before the testimony of Craycraft and Shoemaker, but—

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): I don't remember Craycraft mentioning the name of any girl.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: I think that is so; but perhaps that will come out later.

Mr. Gladstein: It will.

Presiding Inspector: It doesn't make any difference whether Mr. Craycraft stated—this is your witness and this is cross examination to lay a basis for contradiction. Now, in the exigencies of this hearing we have allowed contradiction in large part to come in first, because Mr. Cannalunga was not for a time produceable.

Mr. Del Guercio: I thought I should note it because it is unusual.

Presiding Inspector: You are quite right.

Mr. Gladstein: I think I should note my feeling that the statement of Mr. Del Guercio was not intended as the basis of an objection, but it was intended merely to convey to the witness something Mr. Del Guercio felt he wanted the witness to know.

Presiding Inspector: No, that is not intended. That doesn't advance anything.

Ask the next question. [6541]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI agents then went back and started again to try to get you to sign a statement to the effect that you had been in a Communist Party meeting with Bridges? A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel—

Presiding Inspector: What?

The Witness: I said "No".

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. (Continuing): —you told the FBI agents that you had never been at such meetings with Bridges and you could not sign such a statement?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that the FBI agents then told you to return the following day?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you did return the following day and saw Mr. Madala and Dickstein?

A. No; I don't recall it.

Q. Didn't you say that the FBI agents, on the third occasion that you saw them, had a prepared typewritten statement for you to sign?

A. No. I am quite sure I didn't say that for the simple reason that, if I am not mistaken, the third statement was [6542] written in pen and ink.

Q. —I am talking about the third time you saw them, Mr. Cannalonga, and not the third statement. Do you understand?

A. I understand what you mean now.

Q. All right. With that understanding, did you say that in the Clyde Hotel or not?

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. Did you say in the Clyde Hotel that you refused to sign the statement because it contained falsehoods?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. Did you say in the Clyde Hotel that one of the FBI agents then turned to the other and asked, "How much will he get under the Mann Act?"

A. I don't think so.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you say in the Clyde Hotel that the two FBI agents, on this third occasion when you saw them, computed your imprisonment on charges under the Mann Act at probably 20 years?

A. No, I don't think so.

Q. You didn't say that?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Did you say in the Clyde Hotel that after this discussion of 20 years imprisonment the FBI agents handed this typewritten statement to you to sign?

A. No, I don't think I did. [6543]

Q. Didn't you say in the Clyde Hotel that you then signed a statement that the FBI agents gave you?

A. I don't think I did.

Q. Didn't you say that you went through the statement and made some unimportant notations or corrections?

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. Did I ask you in the Clyde Hotel whether the second statement that you signed for the FBI was also prepared in advance by the FBI?

A. I don't believe you did.

Q. Did you tell me that the second statement was prepared in advance by the FBI before you signed it?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Did I ask you whether the second statement also contained false statements?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Did you tell me that the second statement that you signed for the FBI also contained false statements?

A. I don't believe so.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Did I ask you whether the third statement signed by you for the FBI was also prepared in advance by the FBI agents?

Mr. Del Guercio: "Signed by you for the FBI?"

Presiding Inspector: I didn't hear that.

Mr. Gladstein: I will ask that the question be read and [6544] if it is ambiguous I will rephrase it.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Mr. Del Guercio: "Signed by you for the FBI."

Presiding Inspector: That is just his manner of speech.

A. I don't think so.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you say to me that it was prepared in advance by the FBI? . . . A. I don't believe so.

Q. Did I ask you whether the third statement was in your handwriting? . . . A. I don't think so.

Q. Did you tell me that it was not in your handwriting? . . . A. I don't believe so.

Q. Did I ask you whether the third statement also contained falsehoods?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Did you say that the third statement did contain falsehoods? . . . A. I don't think so.

Q. Do you remember anything about what was discussed in the Clyde Hotel that afternoon?

A. That I said previous on the stand this morning, I [6545] remember that incident, one incident, in regard to the Mann Act.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. What was the discussion on that?

Mr. Del Guercio: We have been all over that.

Mr. Gladstein: I haven't come to this meeting at all, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: What?

The Witness: Yes, your Honor, I answered that about three times this morning.

Mr. Gladstein: On direct; not on cross, that I know of.

Mr. Myron: He answer it very recently this afternoon.

Presiding Inspector: He has asked about specific statements this afternoon.

Mr. Gladstein: Yes; about specific. Now, I would like—

Presiding Inspector: Ask him what he does remember.

Mr. Gladstein: That is what he does remember.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. Go ahead. You have given the answer to Mr. Del Guercio. Mr. Gladstein has a right to re-ask the question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. This is on Saturday afternoon at the Clyde Hotel—do you have that in mind? A. Yes.

Q. How much do you recollect about that—or what was [6546] said?

The Witness: Your Honor, it is like I said this morning, I had been drinking and I got up to the Clyde Hotel, and I remember the incident where somebody brought up in regards to the Mann Act, and I told them that they were crazy, words to

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

that effect; that I couldn't possibly be involved in it.

Then another incident I recall was in regards, I believe it was Craycraft or Gladstein, at the time had stated that they had the backing of John L. Lewis, and Murray, and the CIO, and that they had financial backing, as well as physical backing.

I think I got in an argument with Craycraft about this "physical backing" and told him if he wanted to work me over he could right then and there.

There was mention of—I believe they mentioned Schneidermann and Bridges. The rest of the time up there—that is about all I can recall just now.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Was anything said about your having given false testimony?

A. No; I don't recall anything about false statements or testimony.

Q. Was anything said about your having given false statements to the FBI?

A. No; I don't recall that. [6547]

Q. All right; what was said about the Mann Act?

A. Well, like I said, I didn't pay much attention to it because I knew that the thing was all haywire.

Q. What was said about it?

Mr. Myron: He has already given that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Like I told you, either you or Mr. Craycraft mentioned the Mann Act.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What was said when we mentioned it?

A. Oh, something about the FBI putting the pressure on me—words to that effect.

Q. How was it said—you don't mean that somebody just got up and said "Mann Act?"

A. I don't recall exactly the words. I have a faint recollection of the Mann Act being mentioned.

Q. In what way was it mentioned?

Mr. Del Guercio: That is what the witness has said for the last—

Presiding Inspector: He just said that he has a faint recollection of a charge being made, that the FBI had used an accusation of a violation of the Mann Act. That is what he said; as I understood it. I haven't phrased it in his words.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is there anything else that you remember that was said by anybody concerning the Mann Act?

A. No. [6548]

Q. That is all?

A. Yes; I believe that is all.

Q. What was said about the backing of the CIO?

A. Well, just the way I just told you.

Q. Well, what was said, who said it, and in what way?

Mr. Myron: He testified to that a few minutes ago.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: I think he has testified it was Craycraft. A. Craycraft.

Presiding Inspector: He said they had the backing of these gentlemen whom he has named, and that they had physical and financial backing.

Mr. Myron: Yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. In what way was that raised, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I don't recall how it was raised. It is like I say, most of that stuff is not clear and there are certain incidents that I happens to remember.

Q. That is all you remember about the backing of the CIO? A. I believe it is.

Q. What was said there in connection with that argument, where you said to Craycraft something about working you over?

A. That followed right after, if I am not mistaken—I can picture it now—it was why I remember it—right after [6549] he said that I was getting hostile and told him if he wanted he could start working me over.

Q. You say who got hostile?

A. I did. I said, "If you want to work me over you can start."

Q. What made you hostile?

Mr. Del Guercio: He just got through stating, if your Honor please, that it was what Craycraft said.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is true.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What happened, Mr. Cannalunga, that made you feel hostile?

A. After he got through making that statement about—what got my goat was in regard to when he mentioned the physical backing.

Q. What did you understand by that?

A. Well, just like anything else—physical backing—you know the definition of physical backing.

Q. What did you understand by it when Mr. Craycraft said it?

A. Just what I said.

Q. What?

A. At the time I told him, if he wanted to work me over to go ahead and start working me over.

Q. Now, in spite of that hostility that you felt to Craycraft that Saturday afternoon, you met him again on [6550] Sunday morning, on Sunday night, on Monday morning, and on Monday night, didn't you?

A. Yes.

Q. What was said that Saturday afternoon about Schneidermann and Bridges—withdraw that.

Have you given all the testimony that you can remember, all the statements or discussions that you can remember regarding working you over?

A. Yeah.

Q. And all the incidents connected with it, you have given everything you remember about that?

A. I think I have.

Q. You can't remember anything else?

A. No.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. What was said about Schneidermann and Bridges that afternoon?

A. I don't recall what was said.

Q. Do you recall anything at all about Schneidermann and Bridges being talked about that afternoon?

A. No, I don't believe I do.

Q. What makes you think it was discussed?

A. I don't even know whether it was discussed or not. You claim it was.

Q. How long did the discussion take about that Mann Act—how long were we talking about it?

[6551]

A. I don't know.

Q. Was it a short time?

A. I don't know.

Q. Don't you know whether it was one minute or half an hour?

A. No, I couldn't say; I don't know.

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, the next day, on Sunday, beginning about noon—that would be Sunday, May 4, 1941—didn't you go up to the Guardian Building in Portland, Oregon, with Rosco Craycraft and me, and go into the office of an attorney by the name of William P. Lord, who was there, together with a shorthand reporter by the name of Ray D. Shoemaker?

A. No. I recall riding in an elevator in some office building, and then I recall leaving, recall being out in the hallway and in a wash room, and Craycraft asked me to go back in, and I went back in, and you was mentioning something about a

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mann Act, and I said, "What are you trying to pull?"

I then walked out and went into the hallway, and Craycraft asked me to go back again, and I said "Nothing doing. I won't go back."

And I left the building and went back aboard ship. [6552].

Q. Weren't you in Mr. Lord's office seated in a chair, for example, where you are now while I was seated behind the desk in a swivel chair, with Mr. Shoemaker, the Court Reporter, on the other side of the desk taking shorthand notes, Mr. Lord, the attorney, sitting about where the Judge is in relation to you, and Mr. Craycraft sitting over against the wall away from the shorthand reporter?

A. No, I don't.

Q. "I don't" what? A. I don't recall.

Q. Didn't Mr. Lord ask you to raise your right hand? A. No.

Q. Didn't you raise your right hand?

A. I don't remember that.

Q. Didn't Mr. Lord administer an oath like this: "Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the testimony you are going to give?"

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. Did he say any words like that at all?

A. I don't even remember him.

Q. Didn't you say to him "I do"?

A. No, I don't remember that.

Q. Didn't I ask you, Mr. Cannalonga,—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Are you reading from a Government Exhibit, an Exhibit there in the record?

Mr. Gladstein: No. I am reading from a copy. [6553]

Presiding Inspector: Are you going all through that?

Mr. Gladstein: No, we haven't touched it with this witness at all.

Presiding Inspector: I say, Are you going all through it?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes. I think it is necessary.

Presiding Inspector: He already said he didn't have any recollection.

Mr. Gladstein: I understand that, your Honor.

Mr. Grossman: He said that about the hotel meeting, too.

Presiding Inspector: I know, and I let you go all through it.

Mr. Grossman: He also said that he didn't recall anything.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think he said he didn't remember anything. He said he remembered some things.

Mr. Gladstein: Perhaps the cross will develop that he remembers some things.

Presiding Inspector: We will stay here tonight until you finish it.

Mr. Gladstein: I will ask that the reporter get the original so that there won't be any question.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

(The original referred to was passed to Mr. Gladstein.)

The Witness: Don't you think we should have a recess?

Presiding Inspector: No. I think we are getting along [6554] all right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't I ask you, Mr. Cannalunga, "Mr. Cannalunga, you were recently a witness in the Harry Bridges Deportation Case, were you not?"

A. Is this supposed to happen up—

Q. (Interposing) You just put your mind on what happened up in Mr. Lord's office on that Sunday morning. Did I ask you that question?

Mr. Del Guercio: He is entitled to know what you are reading from there.

Presiding Inspector: He is going to find out.

A. No, I don't believe you did.

Q. Did you answer "Yes" to that question?

A. I don't remember.

Presiding Inspector: He will speak louder. I think, if you will stand a little further away.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did I ask you—

Presiding Inspector: Now, speak up.

The Witness: Yes, sir.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. (Continuing) —"Yesterday, in my room in the Clyde Hotel in Portland, you and Mr. Rosco

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Craycraft came into my room at about 3:00 o'clock, is that correct?

A. I don't believe you asked me that. [6555]

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes, sir."?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Didn't I ask you "And at that time Mr. Craycraft introduced you to me, did he not?"?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Then a conversation took place between the three of us, is that correct?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes:"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Now, I want to ask you some questions concerning that conversation, and I want to ask you to repeat the statements you made to both Mr. Craycraft and to me at that time. First of all, will you state in your own words the, circumstances under which you were first contacted to give a statement to the FBI in the Bridges Case?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "I want to get this straight."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Tell me where and who and what happened."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Well, I was contacted through the immigration."? [6556]

A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't I ask you "Immigration department?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "That lawyer in the immigration department."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "He is a man by the name of Mr. Boyd?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Boyd. That is it."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Where did he contact you?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer it "Through the marine firemen's hall."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "That is the union hall?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "When was that?"?

A. I don't remember that.

Q. Didn't you answer "I don't remember the date."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "The month?"?

A. No, I don't recall that. [6557]

Q. Didn't you answer "I don't even remember the month."? A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Yesterday I think you told me it was sometime in October of last year. Would that be about right?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you then take a pocket-book from



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

your pocket and look at a card and answer "Yes; in October."?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "In October of last year?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "What happened?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Well, I went down to the immigration station and Boyd told me that the FBI men were coming down and they wanted to talk to me. Then from there we went out to the FBI building."?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Do you remember where that was?"?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "The Vance building, on the corner of Third avenue and Union."?

A. I don't remember. [6558]

Q. Didn't I ask you "In what city?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Seattle. And I think it is the 9th floor. And went up to the 9th floor and met a fellow by the name of Madala and—", at which point you paused? Did you give that answer?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "Yesterday you said Dickstein."?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "And Dickstein."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "Is that correct?"?

A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you answer "Correct. Madala and Dickstein."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "What happened?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Well, they started questioning me in regard to Bridges, for an hour and a half."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "What time did the questioning begin?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Oh, let's see."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "This is what you told me yesterday: you said that you had a doctor's appointment at either 1:00 [6559] or 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes. That is it."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "And that the questioning by the FBI Agents took place for a period of approximately two hours before that appointment time with the doctor,"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "That is it."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Is that what happened?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "During that two hours what took place?"? A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Didn't you answer "Well, just a general conversation."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Did they ask you a lot of questions about what you knew about Harry Bridges?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did they ask you whether you could prove — withdraw [6560] that.

Did I ask you this: "Did they ask you whether you could prove that Bridges was a Communist?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes; they asked me that, and then I answered that, no, I couldn't prove that he was a Communist."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this: "Did they tell you that they knew that you had been a Communist?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask "Did they tell you that you should make a statement for them in which you were to say that Bridges was a Communist?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Not that first time. You see, all during this time they never came out the way you said it."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Then let me ask you this question: is it correct that on this first occasion they kept asking you questions as to whether you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

knew that Bridges was a Communist and you denied that you had any such knowledge?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."? [6561]

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Is that correct?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask "That was what happened?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask "Did they also ask you whether you had ever attended Communist meetings with Bridges?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes, they asked me that."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this: "What did you reply to those questions?"? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "I told them, no; that I had been in meetings with him where there were Communists and non-Communists, and then I explained the party structure, how it works with the rank-and-file, and the progressive groups in the union."? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this: "Now, at the end of that first day, you told me yesterday that they sent you in a taxicab to the doctor?"? [6562]

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes."?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask "Is that what happened?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer "Yes, that is correct. I went to the doctor in a taxicab."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you "Before you went to the doctor, did they tell you that they wanted to see you again?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer as follows: "Yes; they made an appointment for the next day. You see, the reason why I was going to the doctor, when I was on the branch I threw my hip out of joint up in Alaska, and I had been quite a while on a ship before I could get to a doctor; and I went to a doctor in Juneau and he didn't take no x-ray pictures and he just figured I had this sciatic rheumatism; and so when I got down to Seattle they had an ambulance waiting for me. They had to pick me off the ship. And so they drove me,—well, it wasn't an ambulance,—it was a Public Health Service car there. And so they took me up to the U. S. Marine hospital in Seattle. That is the U. S. Public Health Service. And I went in there, and I was in there,—I don't quite recall—but I have got the hospital discharge. You see, when you go into the Marine hospital they give you a discharge when you come out, the [6563] length of time you were in the hospital. And I asked to be released so I could go to a private doctor. And so I went down and saw Bogle, Bogle & Gates. I believe they handle all

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

the insurance and accident insurance; and so they sent me up to Dr. Buckner."?

Did you give that answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Now, did you throw your hip out of joint up in Alaska? A. Yes.

Q. When you were on the branch there?

A. Yes.

Q. Had you been for quite a while on the ship before you could get to a doctor?

Presiding Inspector: Have you been over this?

The Witness: We have.

Mr. Gladstein: No.

Presiding Inspector: Are you sure about that?

Mr. Gladstein: I am pretty sure, your Honor.

Mr. Myron: I am sure we have.

Presiding Inspector: I rather thought we had.

Mrs. King: If your Honor please, we went over the fact of the doctor.

Presiding Inspector: We have been over it today, this [6564] afternoon.

Mrs. King: Only as to the name of the doctor, but not as to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Oh, no. About his getting off at some queer-named place.

The Witness: Ketchikan.

Mrs. King: That had to do with pills and where he had them made up.

Presiding Inspector: I know, but that covers the whole matter.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mrs. King: There were certain details in this statement that were not in the other statement and as to the length of time on the ship.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I will ask you—put it this way: You just heard the answer which I read, Mr. Cannalunga, which you say you don't remember.

A. Is this what happened up in the office or is this another instance now?

Q. I am asking you whether you made that statement, gave that answer up in the lawyer's office.

A. And I told you I didn't remember.

Q. And you say you don't remember. You heard me read the statement. Is that statement a truthful one? A. No. [6565]

Q. Where is it wrong?

A. For one thing, when I threw my hip out I was only about six hours from Juneau, from the doctor in Juneau. So I wasn't any great length of time with my hip out of joint on the ship. You see, they took a tender to a place called "Takoo".

Q. How long was it before you could get to a doctor? A. Oh, about six or eight hours.

Q. And that was a doctor in Juneau?

A. Yes, the Public Health doctor.

Q. Did he take X-Rays? A. No.

Q. He thought you had sciatic rheumatism, didn't he??

A. Well, he knew something was wrong with me.

Q. When you got back to Seattle were you able

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

to navigate by yourself off that ship or did they pick you up in some kind of a car?

A. I walked down to a car, yes.

Q. What kind of a car?

A. A Public Health car.

Q. Sort of an ambulance, wasn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. And they took you in that to the United States Marine hospital?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Mr. Myron: It simply takes up time. [6566]

Presiding Inspector: But it isn't going to take any time to speak of.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. A Public Health Service car?

A. Yes, a Public Health Service car. That is the Marine hospital.

Q. And you finally got a discharge from there?

A. I stayed there, or, I think it was just about a week.

Q. You wanted to go to a private doctor?

A. Well, they wasn't doing anything for this hip of mine, so I asked them to discharge me and then from there I went to Bogle, Bogle & Gates. You have to see them before you go to a private doctor. And, well, they sent me to a bone specialist, a fellow by the name of Doctor Buckner, and he took the X-Rays. He took the X-Ray pictures and told me to come back the following day. And this was in the morning, and after he had seen the X-Ray pictures he got ahold of me and told me to go right up to

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

the Providence hospital as my hip was out of joint. And he wanted to,—I believe he called it “manipulate” that same day. And I remember talking to him. And so I says “O.K. I will be up there about one o’clock”.

So I got a suit of pajamas and shaving gear and so forth and I went up there that day, and that night about five o’clock they injected something in my vein and put me to [6567] sleep, and I woke up about an hour or so afterwards on this mattress with a bunch of boards under it, and I stayed there, oh, possibly a week or more. And after I left there I was taking treatments from him. He would inject, oh, some sort of medicine in my veins for the hip as it was still sore.

Q. Before you went to the hospital, after you talked to Dr. Buckner, did you go home and get yourself some pajamas and shaving gear and stuff?

A. No. I didn’t go home. I called up the house.

Q. And had it brought to you? A. Yes.

Q. But you took that stuff along with you when you went down to the hospital? A. Yes.

Presiding Inspector: He just stated that he did.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, up at the lawyer’s office on that Sunday, May the 4th—

A. (Interposing): We are up in the office now?

Q. Yes, we are up the office again.

A. O.K. I just want to get it clear now.

Q. After this answer that I read to you about Bogle, Bogle & Gates did I ask you this question:

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

"This all took place before the first time you saw the FBI?"?      A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Oh, yes."?

A. I don't remember. [6568]

Q. Did I ask you this question: "Did Dr. Buckner proceed to give you treatments?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Well, Dr. Buckner examined me that day, and it was on a Thursday, and he told me to come back the next day, that he would have his X-Rays all finished and everything. And so, in the meantime I had gone down to Bogle, Bogle & Gates, and they told me that Dr. Buckner, —the next day,—on Friday,—they said he wanted to see me right away; and so I called him up,—that was about 9:00 or 10:00 o'clock in the morning,—I called him up and he says, 'You go right up to the Providence hospital,' and I says, 'What for?' and he says, 'Well, we have to manipulate you.' I says, 'What the hell is manipulating?' So he explained it that my hip had been out of joint and that he wanted me to get up there right away, because that afternoon he was going to put me under ether and,—well, it is not ether, either; it is a new stuff they shoot in your veins that makes you go to sleep. And so then I argued with him. I told him, I said, 'Hell! You acted like I was faking, so I will be up there at 1:00 o'clock in the afternoon. I have to go home and get some clothes and stuff.' And so he says, 'You make sure to be up there.' And so I

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

went home and got a couple of suits of pajamas and shaving gear and stuff."?

Did you give that answer? [6569]

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this question: "Did you go into the hospital?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Then I come back and I went to the hospital."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you, "How long were you in the hospital?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "I think I was in the hospital a couple of weeks."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this question: "During all the time you were under treatment by Dr. Buckner?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Yes. And after I left the hospital I started taking treatments again from Dr. Buckner."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "What kind of treatments?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Well, he was giving me shots."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "Shots of what?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "I don't know."? [6570]

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you "Was he also prescribing pills of any kind?"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "No. I had a box of pills that I got up at the Marine hospital. And in Juneau,—the doctor in Juneau gave me a prescrip-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

tion, and I got, I think, a hundred of these,—oh, what the devil do you call them?—a sleeping pill. You take one or two and go to sleep, but if you take four it makes you higher than a kite”?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you “Nembuthal?”?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer “Nembuthal.”?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you “That is the name you mentioned to me a while ago, isn't it?”?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer “Yes. Nembuthal. These names are pretty hard.”?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this: “Were you taking any more pills just before you saw the FBI Agents for the first time?”? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer “Yes.”? [6571]

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you “How many pills at a time were you taking?”? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer “I was taking four pills at a time.”? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you “How many times a day were you taking these pills?”?

Q. Did you answer “Oh, well, I was taking them four or five times a day, so I was all hopped up all the time.”? A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you “Was this to relieve the pain from your hip?”? A. I don't remember?

Q. Did you answer “Yes. I think, if I am not



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

mistaken, Dr. Buckner prescribed some of these pills, too."?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this: "Let's go back for a minute to the first time you spoke to Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein, the FBI Agents. That conversation was in their office in the Vance Building"?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer "Yes."?

A. I don't remember. [6572]

Q. Did I ask you:

"And they told you to come back the next day?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"At what time?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"I believe it was in the morning."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Did you come back the next day?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"I did." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"What happened?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"We were there a while and I,—well, I couldn't sit down very well. The hip bothered me. And so they asked me was my hip bothering me and I said, 'Yes,' and they suggested [6573] that we go

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

up to the hotel room in the Roosevelt hotel in Seattle, and so we rode up to the room in a taxi up to the Roosevelt Hotel, and we went up in their room. And that was on the 10th or 11th floor. Quite a ways up in the elevator."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"What happened when you got into the room?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Well, I got in the room and they had—I believe—yes, they did have a statement already typewritten out, and so"—

Did you give that answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"What did they want you to do with the statement?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Well, they wanted me to sign it."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Yesterday you told me that on this second day when the FBI agents saw you they told you that they wanted you to sign a statement in which you were to say that you had seen Harry Bridges pay his Communist Party dues. Did they do that?"

A. I don't remember. [6574]

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes. They mentioned that. And then I told them that I had never seen no party book—never seen

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Harry Bridges in a party book, or ever paid party dues." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Did you read the statement, or did they read it to you? The statement that they had prepared?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Well, to tell you—the statement was already typed, so I glanced through it. And they asked me, 'Now, are there any mistakes?' And so I found some words misspelled and a few errors, and they told me to initial,—to make corrections and put my initials on each line where I made a correction."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Before that happened, you told me yesterday that they asked you whether you had been in meetings with William Schneidermann?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer: "Yes."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you: [6575]

"What did you tell them?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes, I told them I had met Schneidermann."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"You also told me they asked you whether you had been in meetings with Bridges?"

A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

We are still in this office?

Q. Yes, still in the office.

Did you answer:

"Yes; they asked me that, too, and I said, yes, I had been at meetings with Bridges, and then they asked me if there was any Communist meeting I had been with Harry Bridges, and I told them that I had been—the meetings I had been with him, there was Communists in the meeting, such as myself, and there were non-Communists, and all the meetings were pertaining to—referred to the making up of agreements at the time. We were meeting at the shipowners of the waterfront unions, and, you see, we were meeting as a group, and that the sailors were going to try to break away at the time."

Did you give that answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this: [6576]

"Yesterday you told me that Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein asked you whether you had ever been in a meeting where Schneidermann and Bridges were present at the same time, and that you told them you never had." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Is that what happened?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes; that is right." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

"And that was a truthful answer to their question?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes. And as I told them, I had been—I was taking in quite a few meetings at the time—both Communists and non-Communist meetings—and that I couldn't remember that I ever had been in a meeting where both Schneidermann and Bridges were there. Which is correct. I hadn't."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you this question:

"But the statement that you signed for the FBI agents [6577] contains a statement to the effect that you had sat in a meeting with Schneidermann and Bridges. That was untrue?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes; but that isn't correct."

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"They had prepared that in the statement for you?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes; and I never noticed it when I"—

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"When you read it?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes. You see, I want you to understand this, too. You see, when I read these statements, I was

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

gowd up. If you don't know what gowd up is,— I was taking these pills pretty heavy. I was in pretty bad shape. And so instead of using them for sleeping pills, if you double the dose it makes everything rosy then."

Did you give that answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"So you signed the prepared statement they had for you?" [6578]. A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"And it contained statements that weren't true, is that right?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Correct." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Later on they had you sign two more statements, isn't that true?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Correct." A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Were those also prepared statements which were prepared by them in advance?"

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes." A. I don't remember. [6579]

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Did those two statements also contain untrue statements?" A. I don't remember.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't you answer:

"They did." A. I don't remember.

Q. Is the reason that you don't remember any of this your claim that you were drunk?

A. What is that again? I don't even remember being up in that office.

Q. You were so drunk you don't even remember being up in that office, is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. Is that correct? A. Yes.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Did those two statements also contain untrue statements?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"They did." A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"And they had you sign those also?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Yes." [6580] A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"Why did you sign all of these statements which they had prepared for you when you knew that those statements contained false statements?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you answer:

"Why?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Did I ask you:

"You knew and they knew, when you were signing those statements, that those statements contained falsehoods, isn't that true?"

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer:

"Yes." A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"You told me yesterday that you were compelled to sign those statements even though you knew they contained falsehoods. Is that right?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Isn't it true that you sat there and didn't answer that? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you: [6581]

"You didn't sign those voluntarily and freely when you knew they were untrue, did you?"

A. I don't remember.

Mr. Del Guercio: Do I understand counsel is reading matters that were not permitted, not read before?

Mr. Gladstein: No.

Presiding Inspector: He is getting down close to it though, I think.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you hear the last question?

A. Yes.

Q. You don't remember that? A. No.

Q. Didn't you sit there and refuse to answer that question? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Let me ask you this: Isn't it true that you signed those statements because you felt under compulsion to do it even though you knew the statements were untrue?" A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this:

"Isn't that what you told me yesterday, and isn't that the [6582] truth? I want you to answer only the truth, and nothing else. Isn't it true that before you signed even the first statement you were under compulsion to do it, and they knew it?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer that question?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Didn't you tell me that yesterday?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask:

"Please answer. Didn't you tell me that?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Didn't you tell me that yesterday?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"You did tell me that, didn't you, and it was true when you told me, wasn't it? Why do you object to answering it now? [6583] Don't you want to answer the question?"

A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer that question? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this:

"Well, I will ask you this question: You can answer it or not, as you see fit. Yesterday in my room in the hotel, in front of Rosco Craycraft, you told me that you were forced by the FBI agents to sign all those three statements? Didn't you tell me that?" A. I don't remember.

Q. And didn't you sit there and not answer that question? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this:

"Didn't you tell me yesterday, in front of Rosco Craycraft that the FBI agents forced you to sign the first statement through threats?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer that?"

A. I don't remember. Was I awake at the time in an office?

Q. Do you recall now whether you were awake or asleep?

A. No. I don't even recall being in any office. Keep reading the questions. [6584]

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

Mr. Del Guercio: He is asking you if you know—you were there.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't I ask you this question:

"Why don't you want to answer? If there is a question that is asked, there is an answer to it. It is

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

either 'yes' or 'no' or whatever the answer may be. There is a truthful answer. That is the only answer I want to the question. Yesterday you made certain statements to me. These statements were made freely and voluntarily by you. I ask you now to repeat those statements. I am asking you questions for the purpose of bringing out those statements that you made to me. You told me that those statements were the truth. Why don't you answer the questions now? Is it because you are afraid of the FBI?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you answer:

"Off the record." A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you ask to go off the record on that question? A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you want to engage in discussion outside of this record at that time?

A. I don't remember. [6585]

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Do you want to answer that? 'Yes' or 'No'. Don't you want to answer?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you this question:

"I don't care whether you ever sign this deposition or not. All I want is an answer to the question, and the answer I want is the truth, and nothing else. Don't you want to answer the question?" A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you sit there and not answer?

A. I don't remember.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Didn't I ask you this:

"Since you indicate that you don't, I have no alternative except to summarize in a question form and put it to you directly. Yesterday, in my hotel room, you told Roscoe Craycraft and me that when the FBI agents asked what you knew about Bridges and whether you knew he was a Communist, and so on, you kept telling them that you didn't have any information against Bridges in any way. You told them that you hadn't been in any Communist meetings with him. You told them that you had never been in a meeting with William Schneidermann and Bridges present at the same time. And despite that, the [6586] FBI agents prepared a typewritten statement for you to sign in which there is a statement that you had sat in a meeting with Schneidermann and Bridges, and you signed it. You told me yesterday that when you refused to make false statements to the FBI agents, they started to ask you certain questions. Do you remember telling me that?"

A. I don't remember.

Q. Didn't you at that point——

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) I didn't get the last question.

Presiding Inspector: The question was whether this occurred, whether Mr. Gladstein did say that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you at that point get up and leave the room and return in about three minutes?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I remember leaving a room and going into a toilet. And when I got in that toilet I threw up a little. I came out, and I think it was Rosco Craycraft that was waiting out in the hallway and asked me to go back, and when I went back—go ahead.

Q. When you went back what happened?

A. You read it.

Q. You tell me what happened?

A. I am telling you what happened when I went out in the hallway.

Q. You tell me what happened when you came back from the [6587] hallway?

A. What happened when I came back?

Q. Yes.

A. Well, I remember feeling pretty sick, and I went back and Craycraft asked me to go back, and I went back in, and I noticed that there was yourself and two other fellows in the room, and I think you started to ask a question again, and I turned around and walked out. Craycraft came out in the hallway with me and asked me to go back in again and I says, "No." I told him, "What are you trying to pull?" And the I went back aboard ship.

Q. Where did you make this statement, "What are you trying to pull?"—In the hallway to Mr. Craycraft? A. Yes.

Q. After you had left the office?

A. I believe so. I don't quite recall whether

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

it was in the office or in the hallway. I do remember making that statement and walking out.

Q. As a matter of fact, when you came back—withdraw that. As a matter of fact, you asked to leave the room because you wanted to urinate, isn't that true?

A. No. I don't remember that. I remember leaving that office, like I told you, and I went to this toilet, on the floor there.

Q. Did you go alone? [6588]

A. No. I think Craycraft — they keep those locked—I think he came out and opened the lock for me, opened the door.

Q. Did you tell anybody you wanted to go to the toilet? A. What?

Q. Did you tell anybody that you wanted to go to the toilet?

A. I don't remember telling anybody.

Q. You just walked out of the room?

A. Yes. That is all I remember, leaving the room, and going out in the hallway.

Q. Then what happened?

A. That is like I told you twice now.

Presiding Inspector: I think he has told about that. Go ahead. Ask the next question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. To whom did you express the desire to go to the toilet, anybody? A. No.

Q. You just went to the toilet yourself?

A. I don't remember how I wanted to go to the

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

toilet, but I do remember after I heaved I felt a lot better.

Q. Where did you heave?

A. In the toilet.

Q. Were you alone? [6589]

A. I believe I was.

Q. Didn't you come back in that room, and didn't I ask you:

"Before you go I want to finish asking you a question. If you don't want to answer it, it is up to you. Will you please sit down so I can ask the question?"

A. I don't recall sitting down.

Q. Didn't you answer me as follows:

"I can answer here."

A. Read that again.

Q. Do you recall my asking you this question:

"Before you go I want to finish asking you a question. If you don't want to answer it, it is up to you. Will you please sit down so I can ask the question?"

A. I don't recall it.

Q. Do you recall that you were standing up in the back of the office at that time?

A. No. I recall coming back and standing by the door a while, and I was starting to feel sick again and then I left.

Q. Now, don't you remember that you had a discussion with Mr. Lord at that time?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Don't you remember that Mr. Lord asked

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

whether there was something that you wanted to discuss with a lawyer? [6590] . . . A. No.

Q. Don't you remember that Mr. Lord said, in substance, to you "If you have got something on your chest that you want to get off, if you have any fears about it, go and get yourself a good lawyer?"

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Didn't he tell you that?

A. No, I don't recall that he did.

Q. Do you remember anybody coming in the office at all during that time? . . . A. No.

Q. Didn't a lady come in the office?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. Didn't Mr. Lord's wife come into the office and ask how long he was going to be? .

A. No; I don't remember.

Q. While you were sitting down in a chair?

A. No; I don't remember.

Q. Didn't I ask you:

"Yesterday you told Mr. Craycraft and me that when you told the FBI that you didn't know anything against Bridges and that you refused to sign false statements for them, Mr. Madala and Mr. Dickstein asked you what had become—wait a minute"—

And then you left the room?

A. No.

[6591]

Q. Didn't that happen?

A. I don't remember that.

Mr. Gladstein: Shall we adjourn?

Presiding Inspector: No; let's go on.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, do you believe that you said any of those things in the lawyer's office on that Sunday morning?

Mr. Myron: I object to that question, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: How is that material?

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, in referring to the Clyde Hotel meeting there were some distinctions in the testimony. That is what we discussed before. There were some distinctions in the answers of Mr. Cannalunga. In some of them he said merely he didn't remember. As to others he said "No, I am positive not." Now, I think we are absolutely permitted if he will say more than "I don't remember." It is possible for him to say "I believe" on the basis of some other information.

Presiding Inspector: Well, we have been all over the other information.

Mr. Grossman: No, we haven't on this. We haven't asked him whether he believed—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Oh, well, we have been all over the fact in this matter. Now, what difference [6592] does it make whether he says he might have said it or not.

Mr. Grossman: On some of these he might say he didn't say them.

Presiding Inspector: We can't go all over that. It would merely be repetition. It would call for the facts and you have been over the facts. All

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

you are making here is laying a basis for contradictory statements. That is all this testimony is for, I suppose.

Mr. Grossman: If your Honor will remember, with reference to the Clyde Hotel meeting—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Oh, I know.

Mr. Grossman: (Continuing) —in many of the questions the answer was either “no” or “I don’t believe so”, and we were permitted to ask further questions to confirm it in some of those cases.

Presiding Inspector: Because I didn’t remember in those cases or there was no objection. One or two questions I allowed. We had gone so far I thought we might as well have the whole statement. But you had been over it. You had had every opportunity to cross examine him on the facts. I don’t see that his belief is material now.

Mr. Grossman: All right, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga—

Presiding Inspector: Because I don’t think it would bring [6593] out anything new, Mr. Grossman.

Mr. Grossman: Unless he will say “I am positive that I didn’t say that.”

Presiding Inspector: He hasn’t said that in any way through this.

Mr. Grossman: He might. Suppose he were asked this question—he did with reference to one. Suppose he were asked this question: “Is there any



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

question here or any answer that you are positive was not asked or not given?"

Presiding Inspector: He wouldn't do it from his recollection because he says he has no recollection.

Mr. Grossman: He has some recollection of this meeting. He has indicated he recalls leaving, he recalls saying something.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. He has some recollection when he walked out. Now you want to go back and review this on the basis of the facts.

Mr. Grossman: Without asking specific questions, though, just saying—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I don't see how that is material. It seems to me it is going over it again.

Mr. Grossman: All right.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, the Chief Engineer, you say, knew that you were drunk on Saturday?

A. Yes. [6594]

Q. And knew that you were drunk on Sunday?

A. Yes.

Q. And the First Assistant knew that you were drunk on those two days? A. Yes.

Q. Who else knew you were drunk on those two days? A. Well, I don't know.

Q. Who else did you talk with on the ship while you were in a drunken condition?

A. Well, I don't know who I talked to. I could have talked to five hundred persons on the ship.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. What makes you think that the Chief Engineer knew you were drunk?

A. Well, the Chief and the First both had made remarks late Sunday, on Sunday late in the afternoon or about five or six o'clock, just before I went in to supper, and pointed out, like I pointed out when I made the statement yesterday morning, yesterday afternoon, that the first, he couldn't understand how I was walking around with the load that I had been carrying; that I was a perfect gentleman while I was drunk, or words to that effect. He said he didn't know how you could do it.

Q. What about Saturday? What makes you think they knew that you were drunk on Saturday?

A. Well, I didn't write them no letters about it.

[6595]

Mr. Myron: He has given the reason.

Mr. Gladstein: I would rather that Mr. Myron didn't answer, if your Honor. If he wants to make an objection he may. If he wants to object on the grounds that it has been asked and answered, he may do so.

Mr. Myron: That is my objection. It has been asked and answered.

Mr. Gladstein: Every time, in my opinion, he says something that is intended for the witness and is nothing but coaching, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Let us hear the question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: Now, there is an objection to this. I will allow it to be answered.

Go ahead, if you can tell.

A. (Continuing) Well, I don't know how they knew I was drunk, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Why do you say they knew you were drunk if you don't know why?

A. Well, well, how do you know that anybody is drunk?

Mr. Del Guercio: Why does one know.—

Mr. Gladstein: (Interposing) Just a minute! I object to the form of these statements. [6596]

Presiding Inspector: Let him answer if he can.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Answer it, please.

A.. Well, I can't answer that.

Mr. Myron: My objection was that he had given the reasons, and if there are any further reasons why he knew that he was drunk, why, he can give them.

Presiding Inspector: This was on a different occasion.

Mr. Myron: That isn't the proper way to ask the question.

Mr. Gladstein: That is right, your Honor.

Mr. Myron: I think the first question referred to Saturday and Sunday, and I think his answer was made and then it was referred to.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. I think the question

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

first was in relation to both Saturday and Sunday, and then he separated it.

Mr. Gladstein: That is right, your Honor.

Mr. Myron: After he has answered it once, if he wants to ask him if there are any further reasons, that is proper.

Presiding Inspector: If there are any reasons other than obvious condition.

The Witness: No, your Honor. There is no—  
(pause)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Saturday and Sunday were the only two days on which [6597] you had been drunk while you were on the West Cussetta? A. Yes.

Q. You were sober every other day?

A. Well, I had been drinking, yes, and leading up to Saturday and Sunday.

Q. How about after Sunday night?

A. No, I was sober after Sunday.

Q. Were you sober all day Sunday?

A. Yes, I was.

Q. All evening Monday? All night Monday?

A. Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q. And how about all day Tuesday?

A. Yes.

Q. And all day Wednesday? A. Yes.

Q. Definitely sober on those days?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, you say that about six o'clock Sunday you had a discussion with somebody about your

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

having been drunk and carrying a load like a gentleman, something like that?

A. Yes, the First.

Q. Who was that with?

A. The First Assistant on the ship.

Q. Where?

A. On the West Cussetta.

[6598]

Q. Where were you when the discussion was held?

A. Oh, just outside of my room. He had come in the room and had a drink with me, and I recall that I was trying to sober up at the time and I recall he stated—he says “By God!”, he says, “You sure have been celebrating your birthday”, he says, “and I don’t see how you can carry such a load as you do.”

Presiding Inspector: Now, when was that?

The Witness: That was on the Sunday.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What time Sunday? A. Oh,—

Mr. Myron (Interposing) He has already answered that question, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. He did answer it, I think.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Well, it was about six o’clock, I think you said? A. Somewheres around there.

Q. You were sobering up there?

A. Yes, I was trying to sober up.

Q. Did you drink any more after that?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Yes. I had a few, tapering off.

Q. Were you sober after six o'clock Sunday night?

Mr. Myron: • He is going into Sunday, Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday now. He is going over it again. [6599]

Presiding Inspector: I think it is all right. Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Were you sober after six P. M. Sunday?

A. Was I sober? Well, I wasn't entirely sober, no. I was tapering off.

Q. Do you have to get these time sheets approved by anybody? A. Oh, yes.

Q. By whom? A. The Chief Engineer.

Q. Have these been approved?

A. They are. They were.

Q. When were they approved?

A. When?

Q. Yes.

A. Just before they pay you off for your port pay.

Q. So the overtime-hours that you have put in for on Saturday, May 3rd, and Sunday, May 4th, were all approved by the Chief Engineer?

A. They were.

Q. Now, at the time that you were talking to the First Assistant about six o'clock Sunday evening when he had this conversation with you about how much you could carry in the way of liquor, were you working at that time? [6600]



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. It states on there. Can I look at those?

Q. Don't you remember?

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, if he wants to look at it, it is his.

Presiding Inspector: No. He is asking about his independent recollection.

A. I don't quite recall. Your Honor, if I would look at that overtime sheet I would tell you right to the exact minute.

Presiding Inspector: I know, but have you any recollection? That is the question?

The Witness: I think they were working cargo at the time.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What do you think you were doing?

A. Huh?

Q. What were you doing at that time?

A. I was standing by.

Q. How long had you been standing by?

A. Well, I would have to look at the sheets to tell you the exact time. The sheets give it in detail.

Q. When you stand by does that mean that you are out on the dock ready for—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Haven't we gone into this?

Mr. Gladstein: I will withdraw that. [6601]

Presiding Inspector: We have already gone into what he means by "standing by".

The Witness: May I look at those sheets, your Honor?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q: Let me ask you this question, Mr. Cannalunga: Do you know whether the First Assistant at the time that he had this discussion with you on Sunday about six o'clock in the evening—have you got that discussion in mind?

A. Yes, I believe I have.

Q. All right. Do you know whether he knew whether you were working or not at that time?

A. Well, it made no difference.

Q. Did he or didn't he know that?

Mr. Del Guercio: How could he know what is in the mind of another person, your Honor?

A. It is just like I said.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Well, is he your boss in any way?

A. Yes, he is my boss.

Q. Then he would know as your boss whether or not you were working?

A. Yes. But it makes no difference.

Q. I mean, he would know whether you were working or not?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that. He is asking [6602] what another person knows, not what that other person said but what is in his mind. Certainly that is improper.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think it is meant quite that way. I will take it. We have had many of those questions by both counsel throughout this hearing.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. As a matter of fact, your time sheets show that you worked cargo, or standing by, or whatever you call it, from one to six P. M., five hours, and from six P. M. to midnight, six hours, on Sunday, May the 4th. That is an eleven-hour stretch.

Mr. Myron: I object to that. Why doesn't he be fair to the witness? Show him the time sheet and ask him what it says.

Mr. Gladstein: Oh, that is absurd.

A. I will explain it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. I just want you to see that. Is that correct?

A. Let me hold it, please, and then I can see it better. 1:00 to 6:00, five hours, and 6:00 to midnight; yes.

Q. That is straight eleven hours that you were working overtime? A. Yes.

Q. And your boss knew that you were working overtime? A. Yes. [6603]

Q. And he's the First Assistant? A. Yes.

Q. And he is the one that had this talk with you, is that right?

A. Yes. Uh-huh (affirmative). [6604]

Q. Are there any rules about being drunk on the job on the ship?

A. Will you ask me that question again? You was walking around the table when you was talking. I didn't quite get you.

Q. I will ask you this question first: Is there

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

any rule regarding being drunk on the job aboard ship? A. What kind of rules?

Q. Any kind of rules?

A. Yes, there is, we have a rule in our contract with the steamship companies that it is up to the First Assistant if he wants to fire a fellow for being drunk while on duty. Now, I have explained this to you a dozen times, Mr. Gladstein, in regards to standing by.

Q. No, don't tell me about "standing by". I just want to know about rules now for drunkenness.

A. I just told you about the rules and maybe you have misunderstood me.

Q. You say there is a union rule?

Presiding Inspector: You have covered the rule. If there is some other rule you can give it. You say that the rule is that if a man is drunk on duty he can be fired?

The Witness: Yes, if the First Assistant wants to fire him.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6605]

Q. That is in the union contract with the ship-owners, is that right? A. Yes.

Q. Are there any other rules regarding being drunk on the job?

A. Well, there are rules for being drunk, incompetent, and the Marine Firemen have rules to that effect.

Q. That is a union rule? A. Yes.

Q. That is a union rule, separate and apart from the rule that is contained in the collective bar-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.).

gaining agreement between the shipowners and the union?      A. That is correct.

Q. Are there any rules besides those two rules on drunkenness?

A. No. But I would like to explain those two rules.

Q. Is there a Maritime Commission regulation?

Mr. Del Guercio: If he wants to explain it let him explain.

Presiding Inspector: You can ask about them.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is there a Maritime regulation regarding being drunk on the job on ships operated by the Maritime Commission?      A. I don't know.

Q. Is there a Steamboat Inspection rule regarding being [6606] drunk on the job on a motor ship of that kind?

A. I don't know. But, now, may I explain, your Honor?

Mr. Gladstein: Just a moment, Mr. Cannalunga.

Presiding Inspector: No. You answer the questions. You will have time to explain. There is going to be plenty of opportunity.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is the First Assistant Engineer a licensed officer?

A. He is, and he has the responsibility to Judge whether a man is competent or not on the job.

Q. And according to the rules of the Steam-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

boat Inspection Service his license is subject to being cancelled if he violates rules, isn't that right?

Mr. Myron: I object to that, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: How is that material?

The Witness: I don't know.

Mr. Gladstein: All right. I will withdraw that question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What was the name of the Chief Engineer on that ship, please?

A. I don't recall his name.

Q. What was the name of the First Assistant?

A. And I don't recall the First's name. [6607]

Q. What was the name of the Second Assistant?

A. I don't recall his name.

Q. What was the name of the Third Assistant?

A. I don't recall his name.

Q. What was the name of the Fourth Assistant?

A. I don't recall his name.

Q. What was the name of anybody on that ship?

A. You want to understand, Mr. Gladstein, that aboard ship—

Q. (Interposing): Can you give me the name of anybody on board that ship?

A. I will give you their nicknames.

Q. Were you drunk on that ship all the time you were on it? A. No.

Q. How friendly were you with the Chief Engineer? A. I wasn't friendly with him.

Q. You were or you were not?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I was not friendly with him.

Q. How friendly were you with the First Assistant?

A. I wasn't friendly with the First Assistant. I only spoke to him in the line of duty.

Presiding Inspector: Is that all for this witness?

Mr. Gladstein: Oh, no.

Mr. Grossman: We have a lot more of this witness.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, what was the name of the Mate that [6608] woke you up at three o'clock in the morning?

A. I don't know his name. He was the night mate.

Q. Did he know that you were drunk?

A. I don't know whether he did or not.

Q. Did any of the longshore gang foremen talk with you at any time while you were on this drunk during Saturday and Sunday?

A. I don't recall. I could have talked to individuals on the ship, but I don't recall talking to anybody in particular.

Q. When did that winch break on Saturday?

Mr. Del Guercio: Haven't we gone over that?

Presiding Inspector: I think we have been over that.

Mr. Gladstein: I am not sure.

The Witness: Yes, we went over that. I remember that quite well.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you remember when the winch broke? I don't remember what the record shows?

Mr. Del Guercio: Is there a ruling on that?

Presiding Inspector: Do you want me to tell you?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: It broke just as they were quitting work in the unloading in the evening and he was called again at three o'clock in the morning, and I think he said at nine o'clock in the evening. [6609]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When was the next shift of longshoremen to go on who would have occasion to use that winch, Mr. Cannalonga? A. At eight—

Q. (Interposing): The next morning.

A. If you will let me look at this overtime sheet.

Q. Yes, certainly.

A. Thanks. At seven A.M.

Q. That is as the men were leaving the ship on Saturday night, the longshoremen, Saturday evening, as the shift was going off at about nine o'clock the winch broke down; is that right?

A. I believe so.

Q. And that winch was not to be used again by the longshore gang until seven o'clock the next morning, is that it? A. I think that's it.

Q. And you were told about the winch break-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)  
ing down at three o'clock in the morning when you were awakened by the night mate?

A. By the night mate. He is a relief mate.

Q. Is that correct? A. That is correct.

Mr. Gladstein: Does your Honor want to recess now? I see we have had a rather long day and we cannot finish [6610] with this witness today, your Honor. I regret that this is going to take the time that it does take, but I see no alternative.

Mr. Del Guercio: Regrets, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: We will recess until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock.

(Whereupon at 4:50 o'clock P.M. an adjournment was taken until 10:00 o'clock A.M. Friday, June 6, 1941.) [6611]

Court Room 276,  
Federal Building,  
San Francisco, California,  
June 6, 1941

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:00 A.M.

[6612]

### PROCEEDINGS

Presiding Inspector: Call the witness.

Mr. Del Guercio: Before we proceed, I have a request to make.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, sir.

Mr. Del Guercio: Will the Court please inquire

as to whether Harry Lundeberg has responded to a subpoena for ten o'clock this morning.

Presiding Inspector: I don't know.

Mr. Del Guercio: Will you please make inquiry so I may take proper steps if he is not here?

Presiding Inspector: The name?

Mr. Del Guercio: Harry Lundeberg.

Presiding Inspector: Is Harry Lundeberg in the hearing room?

(No response.)

Will you call his name in the hall?

(Whereupon Harry Lundeberg's name was called out in the hall by a guard.)

A Guard: No response.

Presiding Inspector: You had better call it again loudly in the hall.

(The name of Harry Lundeberg was again called in the hall by a guard.)

Presiding Inspector: Evidently there is no response. [6613] It is only two minutes past ten. You had better call it again at half past ten.

Mr. Gladstein: Shall I proceed?

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

---

**MAURICE J. CANNALONGA**

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Cross Examination

(Resumed)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, do you have any seamen's certificate or discharge papers with you?

A. I have.

Q. With you? A. Yes.

Q. May I see one of them?

A. What do you want to see?

Q. Your identification certificate as a seaman, if you will.

A. (Producing papers): Of course, you understand that these papers—

Q. (Interposing): I won't take them from you.

A. I will let you look at it, but the Government reserves me the right to keep my papers at all times and nobody has a right to take them.

Q. I wouldn't think of it. [6614]

A. Let me get them apart, please.

(The papers produced were passed to Mr. Gladstein.)

The Witness: Anything in particular you are looking for? I have got about seven there.

Mr. Gladstein: I just want to look over it.

The Witness: Which one are you looking for, Mr. Gladstein? I might be able to help you.

(The papers referred to were passed back to the witness.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Let's go back for a moment and start with

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

that Saturday afternoon in the Clyde Hotel, Mr. Cannalunga. Do you recall how the subject of political backing of the CIO came up?

A. I don't remember.

Mr. Del Guercio: Haven't we gone all over this?

Presiding Inspector: I am not quite sure whether that particular question was asked, but you have been over this in general.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Are you sure that "physical backing" was mentioned in any way?

A. I am quite certain of that.

Q. In those words?

A. It is like I told you yesterday or the day before, that the whole thing was pretty hazy and I remember having an [6615] argument with Craycraft and that was mentioned in regards to physical backing.

Q. Isn't it a fact that I asked you to come to San Francisco and testify to the whole truth?

A. I don't remember, Mr. Gladstein. [6616]

Q. Isn't it a fact that you said you would be willing to come to San Francisco on condition that you first went to Seattle and cleared with some people in Seattle?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. Isn't it a fact you said you were afraid the FBI might go after you for perjury if you went on the stand again and told the truth?

A. I don't believe so.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Isn't it a fact that that's the way the O'Neil question came up? A. I don't remember.

Q. Isn't it a fact that I told you that the CIO would stand behind any man who was prosecuted for perjury by the FBI as a result of his taking the stand and exposing the methods of the FBI?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, if your Honor please, it is obvious that that question was not meant at all for the purpose of cross examining this witness but for other purposes. The O'Neil matter has never appeared in examination of this witness.

Presiding Inspector: The question ought not to be asked unless it is the claim of counsel that some such thing occurred.

Mr. Gladstein: It is that claim, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: In violation of professional duty.

Mr. Gladstein: It is that claim and we will have evidence [6617] on it.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. I will allow the question.

A. I don't recall that, Mr. Gladstein.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it true that before you left the Clyde Hotel that Saturday I asked you whether you would be willing to make the statements that you had made to Craycraft and to me in a sworn deposition before a Notary Public? A. No.

Q. Isn't it true that you said you would like to have a night to think it over?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No, I don't recall saying that.

Q. Isn't it true that you said you would be willing to make a statement but you did not want to admit having committed a violation of the Mann Act?

A. No. I don't possibly see how I could make a statement of that sort.

Q. Isn't it true that before you left the Clyde Hotel that Saturday afternoon it was agreed that you should return the following morning at 10:00 o'clock and that in the meantime arrangements should be made for a shorthand reporter and a Notary Public in whose office you were to give a deposition the following day?

A. No. [6618]

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I object to the use of the word "deposition".

Presiding Inspector: I will take it. If that was said, you see.

A. (Continuing): No. I don't ever recall you saying anything like that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Where did you go after you left my room with Rosco Craycraft that Saturday?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over.

Mr. Gladstein: It has not been asked, your Honor.

A. Well, I went back to the ship.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How did you go back to the ship?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I don't recall how I did go back. I think I—I think Rosco took me back.

Q. Why, he took you to Fourth and Oak where you wanted to do some shopping, didn't he?

A. Fourth and Oak? I believe we stopped at a liquor store and picked up a quart of rum and half a dozen bottles of Coca Cola.

Q. Didn't he take you to Fourth and Oak at your request because you wanted to do some shopping?

A. He possibly could have.

Q. Didn't he tell you that he would wait for you at [6619] Third and Couch?

A. Third and Couch? Whereabouts is Third and Couch?

Q. I am asking you this: Didn't he tell you that he would wait for you at Third and Couch after you finished doing your shopping?

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Didn't you do some shopping?

A. Well,—

Mr. Myron (Interposing): Does shopping include buying that liquor?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you do some shopping, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I believe I did stop at a drug store and pick up some Anacin tablets and a bottle of—

Q. (Interposing): Didn't you go in there alone? A. I think I did.

Q. Didn't you then go to Third and Couch

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

when you finished with your shopping and meet Craycraft?      A. Third and Couch?

Q. Did you or didn't you?

A. Yeah, I think I went to some grocery store.

Q. That is the Maleti's Grocery Store, isn't it?

A. Maleti's, yes.

Q. And didn't you then ask Craycraft to take you down to [6620] the ship?

A. No. I don't believe I asked him to take me down to the ship.

Q. Did he take you down to the ship?

A. As far as I recall, we got in his car and the next stop was I asked him to stop at a liquor store on the way down to the ship and I didn't have no liquor permit, so he bought me a bottle of rum and I got a half a dozen bottles of Coca Cola.

Q. Before you met Craycraft at Maleti's Grocery on Third and Couch what other shopping did you do besides the Anacin tablets?

A. Oh, I don't—well, I didn't do any shopping.

Q. Didn't Craycraft take you down to the ship in his automobile and you got there about 5:00 o'clock?

A. I believe it was around that time. I'm not sure.

Q. And didn't you agree with Craycraft before you left him that afternoon that he was to meet you the next morning at 9:45 in the morning?

A. No. I don't recall making a date at 9:45 with him in the car. [6621]

Q. Wasn't that—you say in the car?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Yes.

Q. Any time before you got on the ship didn't you make that date for 9:45 the following morning with Craycraft?

A. No. I believe he came aboard ship with me and we opened a bottle and—I had a bottle already open aboard ship—and poured a couple of drinks.

Q. Which was it, did you open a new bottle or did you have an old bottle?

A. I had an old bottle open.

Q. How long was Craycraft with you on the ship?

A. I don't recall how long he was.

Q. Anybody else in the room?

A. No; I don't think so.

Q. Nobody at all?

A. I don't know; I couldn't be sure.

Q. How long was Craycraft with you?

A. Oh, I would say he was with me long enough to have a drink.

Q. How many drinks?

A. I don't recall how many drinks.

Q. Before he left you that afternoon, that Saturday afternoon, didn't you agree with him that you would meet him at 9:45 the following morning?

A. I possibly could have agreed with him.

[6622]

Q. Wasn't the reason for that date with Craycraft because it had been previously agreed when you were in my room in the Clyde Hotel that you would come back at ten o'clock on Sunday morning?

A. No; I don't recall that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Are we going all over this matter again?

Presiding Inspector: Haven't you gone over this?

Mr. Gladstein: I think not. I have a right to press him on this.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, but you haven't a right to press him more than once.

Mr. Gladstein: When part of it comes out I think I have a right to follow it up.

Presiding Inspector: I think you have gone over this—be brief about it.

Mr. Gladstein: Was there an answer?

The Reporter: Yes.

Mr. Gladstein: What was the answer?

(The answer referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Where was that liquor store where you say Craycraft bought some liquor for you?

A. I don't recall the street. I know—I am quite [6223] sure it was on the way out to the ship.

Q. Give me the neighborhood.

A. What is that?

Q. Give me the neighborhood.

A. I don't know the neighborhood of Portland.

Q. Do you know anything as to the name of any street nearby? A. No.

Q. Did you go in the store? A. No.

Q. Where were you?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I was—I believe I walked down the block to a restaurant of some sort, or a store, and I picked up the Coca Cola.

Q. By yourself? A. I believe so.

Q. Do you know where you picked up the Coca Cola? A. No.

Q. What did the store look like?

A. I don't recall what it looked like.

Q. What street was it on?

A. I don't know.

Q. Was it a corner store?

A. No—I don't know whether it was a corner store or what the street was. [6624]

Q. Was it a drug store, a grocery store, or what kind of a store?

A. No, it wasn't a drug store. I don't recall what the store was.

Q. Did you talk to anybody in that store?

A. Well, I must have talked to somebody to get the Coca Cola.

Q. Now, the next morning, Sunday morning—withdraw that. After Craycraft left you that Saturday afternoon you didn't see him again that night, did you?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been gone over. Are we going over it again?

Presiding Inspector: I think you have.

Mr. Gladstein: This is Saturday night. I have not gone over it. All I have done is to go into the events in the hotel room and the events in the law-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

yer's office. But I have not gone over the matters in between. I haven't done that, your Honor.

Mr. Del Guercio: We may withdraw the objection as to show what must have gone on there Saturday and Sunday considering Mr. Gladstein's attitude now. If he does that in this court room what did he do there on Saturday and Sunday night?

Mr. Gladstein: I don't understand that as an objection.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

I would like to see the testimony of yesterday.

[6625]

(Whereupon Mr. Gaiser left the hearing room for the transcript referred to.)

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You didn't see Craycraft again after he left you about five o'clock Saturday, May 3rd, did you?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to this as having been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: It is preliminary, I suppose. I will take it.

A. After five o'clock? You say after five o'clock?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. After about 5:00 p.m., yes.

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. But you spoke to him the following morning on the telephone, didn't you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cañnalonga.)

A. I think it was.

Q. You phoned him, didn't you?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: Yes; half a dozen times.

Mr. Gladstein: Not on Sunday morning.

Presiding Inspector: Yes; oh, yes, that was asked. He wavered somewhat as to whether he called or was called.

Mr. Gladstein: Well, perhaps there was a question on that. [6626]

Presiding Inspector: More than a question; quite a lot of them.

(Mr. Gaiser entered the hearing room and handed to the Presiding Inspector a copy of the transcript previously requested.)

Mr. Gladstein: Shall I continue?

Presiding Inspector: I wouldn't pursue that.

Mr. Gladstein: I shan't at length.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You phoned him at Maleti's Grocery, didn't you? A. Well——

Q. (Interposing) Did you or didn't you?

Presiding Inspector: That means you had a talk with him. Or do you mean he called him?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You called him?

A. I think I did; I am not sure.

Presiding Inspector: You have been over that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Wasn't it raining so hard that you called him and asked him over the phone to come and call for you?

A. No. I don't remember the conversation I had on the phone.

Q. Wasn't it raining hard?

A. I don't remember whether it was raining or not. [6627]

Q. Did you call Broadway 0718?

Mr. Myron: I object to this line of inquiry, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: If he can tell.

Mr. Myron: That has been gone over and over again.

A. The way it seems to me, that he called to the ship and left a phone number for me to call back. I am not sure on that. I remember there was a phone number written on a slip of paper, so if that is the number of the grocery store that you just said that is the number I called.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. After that he called for you and met you, did he not, near the—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) I object to that as having been asked and answered a dozen times.

Mr. Gladstein: I haven't finished yet.

Presiding Inspector: He hasn't finished.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. After this phone call, Mr. Cannalonga, Cray-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

craft called for you and met you at the gate of the ship near the sheds, didn't he?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that.

Presiding Inspector: You have been over that.

Mr. Gladstein: I am doing this very hurriedly for the purpose of getting the chronology, your Honor. [6628]

Presiding Inspector: I think the chronology is perfectly clear.

Mr. Gladstein: We will save a lot of time. As you notice, I am not going into the details of these matters at all.

Presiding Inspector: Well, I don't think there is any doubt about the chronology. I think you have covered it. [6629]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. All right. That Sunday morning when you were in my room before we went to the lawyer's office, you didn't have any drinks in my room, did you?

Mr. Myron: Now that was asked. That same question was asked, I think, twice.

Presiding Inspector: I can't remember that.

Mr. Del Guercio: May we go over the transcript, if your Honor please, to see whether that question was asked?

Mr. Gladstein: That was asked as to the Saturday afternoon, your Honor, but I am perfectly willing to take a stipulation that the answer that was given applies to both.

Mr. Del Guercio: I won't stipulate.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Gladstein: Then if you don't stipulate I would like an answer to the question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You don't think that you had any drinks in my room that Sunday morning?

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a moment! The Court hasn't ruled.

Mr. Grossman: May I say something about what I think is wasting a lot of the Court's time? It is my understanding that in cross examination there is no rule of evidence that a question, assuming that it has been the same question in identical words, has been asked twice. There is no rule of evidence forbidding it. It is entirely within the discretion of the Court. [6630]

Presiding Inspector: That is true. It is entirely within the discretion of the Court.

Mr. Grossman: And very often in cross examination similar questions are permitted to test the recollection of the witness and lots of other things. Now, since there is no legal rule, even assuming that these identical questions have been asked, and secondly, since it certainly takes longer to have an objection made than to get a reply and no one is hurt, I think we would certainly expedite the proceedings if, subject to the limitation that Mr. Gladstein has put on himself, that he is only going through this briefly, if we do not have such objections as "asked and answered", because, as I say, it takes more time than having an answer and an



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

answer doesn't hurt anything. If it is inconsistent I know your Honor would like to have the answer. If it is going to be the same it doesn't hurt any.

Mr. Del Guercio: I think, if the Court please, we have been very, very lenient.

Presiding Inspector: If I may look at this just briefly here, it will only take a moment or two (Examining transcript).

I think it will be shorter to allow it. I don't think there is any claim of any such thing as proving a negative.

The Witness: Will you repeat that question again?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You didn't have any drinks in my room on Sunday morning, May the 4th, did you? [6631]

A. No.

Q. Or in the lawyer's office, did you?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Or between the two places, did you?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Now, after you left with Craycraft and me on Sunday morning, after we left the Clyde Hotel we walked about a block and a half or a block to Craycraft's car, didn't we?

A. No. I don't remember walking to any car.

Q. And didn't you see a man in plain clothes and a green hat and say that you had seen him before and you wondered whether he was watching you?

A. No, I don't believe—

Q. (Interposing) And didn't we stand on a

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

corner and watch to see where this man was going?

A. No, I don't remember watching any man.

Q. Didn't we ride in Craycraft's car, you seated—where were you seated, by the way?

A. I don't—I don't even remember where I was sitting.

Q. Didn't you get in and sit in the back?

Mr. Myron: This has all been gone over.

Presiding Inspector: No, I don't think the details to that extent.

A. No. I don't remember I sat in the back.

Mr. Myron: I think he asked him what car it was, what [6632] model it was and where he was seated in the car. I remember.

Mr. Gladstein: No, I didn't ask about this particular day.

Mr. Del Guercio: No. I don't think that there was any testimony that Mr. Gladstein was watching a man with a green hat.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you get in and sit in the back of the car?

A. No. I don't remember getting in the car at all.

Q. And wasn't I sitting in the front with Craycraft?

A. I don't know.

Q. And didn't we carry on a conversation as we drove to the building where the lawyer's office was?

A. No, I don't remember no conversation.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't we park the car and get out and walk toward that building? A. No.

Q. Didn't we have a conversation—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) May I interject something? By "we" do you mean yourself?

Mr. Gladstein: The question is perfectly clear, and I will answer only the questions of the Court.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead. I think the question is clear. [6633]

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't we have a conversation before we got to the lawyer's office?

A. No, I don't recall either.

Q. About the pills that you had been taking?

A. No, I don't recall any conversation.

Q. Didn't you say it was pretty hard to remember those names? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell me they were sleeping tablets?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. Didn't I say that I had been in the hospital and had had sleeping tablets by the name "nembutal"?

A. No. I don't recall ever saying that to you.

Q. Didn't you say when you heard that word "That's the name of the tablets."?

A. No, I don't remember saying that to you.

Q. Before that didn't you suggest that we walk into a drug store and ask them about the names of sleeping tablets because you wanted to know the name of the tablet before you went into the deposition? A. No, I don't remember that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Now, when you were up in that office, that lawyer's office, isn't it a fact that when I started asking questions, not at the beginning but later on, which you refused to answer you got up, walked out of that office into the corridor, into [6634] a hallway within the office suite, where Rosco Craycraft talked to you for a moment?

A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Isn't it true that Mr. William P. Lord walked out there and talked with you for a short time?

A. Well, he could have, I don't remember.

Q. Isn't it true that Mr. Lord said to you out there in the hallway that if you were afraid of anything you had better go to a lawyer and talk to him about it?

A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: Meaning that there weren't any lawyers in the room or what?

Presiding Inspector: I think you have asked about that. I don't know that you have placed it in the hall.

Mr. Gladstein: No, I hadn't, and there is some more that I wish to ask.

Presiding Inspector: Very well; go ahead. You asked about that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And isn't it true that he said to you that under the circumstances he considered that he should not be the one to give you advice, but he would give you the names of some of the most prominent law-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

yers in the city who would be only too glad to advise you if you were without money?

A. No, I don't remember that. [6635]

Q. And didn't he also say that you probably needed the advice of a lawyer.

A. I don't recall that.

Q. And isn't it true that after that conversation with Mr. Lord you came back in the office and sat down again and the deposition continued?

A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Now, isn't it true that as you were leaving that office you had a conversation with Craycraft and you asked Craycraft to call you or see you again that night? A. No, I don't remember.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Craycraft that you were going to try to get replaced, a replacement for your job so that you could go to Seattle and get this clearance from these people?

A. No, I don't remember saying that to him.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Craycraft that if you could get a replacement that day, Sunday, you would go with Craycraft the following day to Seattle to see these people that you wanted to see?

A. No. In regards to replacement, it was known on the ship as soon as we hit Portland I was trying to get a relief.

Q. What is your answer to that question?

A. No, I don't recall telling Craycraft that.

Q. Isn't it true that you told Craycraft that morning, [6636] that Sunday—it was after lunch time, after 12:00 noon—isn't it true that you told

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

him as you were leaving the lawyer's office that you would call Craycraft at 7:00 o'clock that evening?

A. No. I don't remember saying that to him.

Q. Now, isn't it true that about 7:30 that evening a man named Cole Jackman came down to see you on the ship?

A. I can't place that name; the name of that Cole Jackman.

Q. Did a man come down and see you on the ship at 7:30 P.M. Sunday? You were sober then, you said yesterday.

A. 7:30, I believe somebody did come down.

Q. And didn't he come down to ask why you had not called Craycraft, who was waiting for you at the Maletti's Grocery Store?

A. No. I don't—I don't remember asking me that.

Q. What did he ask you?

A. I don't think he asked me anything.

Q. What's that?

A. I don't think he asked me anything.

Q. Well, where did you see him? Where were you when he saw you?

A. I believe I was in the room on the ship, in my room.

Q. What happened when he came in? [6637]

Mr. Myron: This has all been gone into.

Mr. Gladstein: This has not been gone over. The incident with Mr. Jackman, it hasn't even been touched.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: His name was mentioned.

Mr. Gladstein: On direct it was.

Presiding Inspector: Didn't you mention it?

Mr. Gladstein: No.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. I will take your recollection. Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you have the last question in mind?

A. Yes. You asked me what did he ask me?

Q. Yes. What was said?

A. I think I offered him a drink.

Q. Did he drink with you? A. I think so.

Q. Did you know him? A. No.

Q. Did he introduce himself?

A. Yes. I think he introduced himself as a member of the Bridges Defense and I think he mentioned something about being on the Strike Committee during the '36-'37 strike.

Q. You were in the Chief Engineer's quarters when he saw you that evening, weren't you?

A. Oh, I was in the Chief's room? [6638]

Q. Yes, when he saw you for the first time that evening? A. It could be possible.

Q. And then he introduced himself, didn't he, to you? A. It's like I said—

Q. (Interposing) Did he or didn't he?

Mr. Myron: He is explaining. Let him answer the question.

A. I think he did.

Presiding Inspector: You mean did he introduce

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

himself further than by saying that he was interested in the Bridges Defense?

Mr. Gladstein: No. I am not satisfied with the witness' answer as to how the introduction was made and I am asking him whether he introduced himself.

Presiding Inspector: That is introducing himself. You want to know whether he introduced himself later?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you mean to say that Jackman told you that he was a member of the Bridges Defense Committee?

A. I'm not quite clear on that, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. You invited him to come to your room, didn't you?

A. I assume I did. [6639]

Q. And he stated—

Mr. Del Guercio: He hasn't finished the answer. He butts right in.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Go ahead and finish.

A. For the simple reason that anybody who comes aboard a ship, and if I am in somebody else's room, it is just a matter of courtesy of inviting you into your own room.

Q. You invited him into your stateroom and he came with you, didn't he?

A. I believe he did.

Q. And the two of you stayed there a half hour?

A. Half hour?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. About a half hour?

A. Oh, I don't recall how long.

Q. And didn't he tell you that Craycraft had been waiting for your phone call?

A. No. I don't recall him saying that.

Q. And didn't you tell him that the reason you couldn't meet or call Craycraft at 7:00 o'clock was because the winches had broken down?

Mr. Del Guercio: I suppose we haven't gone over that?

Mr. Gladstein: I know we haven't.

Presiding Inspector: I don't know. It is not claimed that the conversation with Jackman was gone into in any detail. [6640] I will take it.

A. No. I don't recall any winches breaking down that time.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you tell him that the reason you couldn't call or meet Craycraft at 7:00 o'clock that evening was because the winches had broken down?

A. No, I don't remember telling him that.

Q. Didn't you talk about Jackman having been a delegate to the ILWU convention and having just come up from San Francisco where he had been for a while?

A. He could have mentioned it, yes.

Q. And didn't you ask him whether he had heard your testimony here in Court?

A. No.

Q. Are you sure about that?

A. Well,—(Pause).

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Are you sure about it, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Well, let me try to work it out in my mind, try to place the incident. It's like I told you, there has been a steady stream of fellows coming aboard the ship and the little minor details, I wouldn't catalogue them.

Q. This isn't a minor detail. The two of you were talking about mutual acquaintances that evening, weren't you?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that threatening manner of [6641] counsel.

Presiding Inspector: I think we have had it on both sides during the case.

Mr. Del Guercio: It indicates his attitude there on Saturday and Sunday. If he is doing this now what was he doing then?

A. No, I don't.

Presiding Inspector: I couldn't get the objection there.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you know a man named Moose Norbeck?

A. Yes.

Q. Didn't you and Jackman talk about Moose Norbeck whom both of us know?

A. I believe we did. [6642]

Q. Yes. Didn't you ask Jackman whether he had heard you testify here in Court?

A. No; I don't believe I did.

Q. Didn't Jackson tell you before that that he had taken in a couple of days of the hearing when he was here in San Francisco?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No; I don't remember him saying that.

Q. Isn't that the reason that you asked him whether he had heard you testify?

A. No; I don't think so.

Q. Didn't Jackman say to you that he was surprised when he read about your testimony?

A. No; I don't believe he did.

Q. Didn't you say to Jackman, "I hope you fellows know what kind of a spot I was in. The FBI had the heat on me and I had to do pretty much what they told me to do?"

A. No.

Q. You deny that you said that?

A. I do.

Q. You are positive about that, is that right?

A. Well—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) That is another—

Presiding Inspector: I will take it. We have had it right through the trial.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6643]

Q. Are you positive about that?

A. I couldn't be absolutely positive, but I know in my own mind that I never made a statement of that sort.

Presiding Inspector: That is the same word "positive" you see.

Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you tell Jackman that you had told the whole story, all the facts, to Craycraft and to me?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Were you drunk or sober at 7:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Sunday night, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I told you yesterday, Mr. Gladstein, and I think I repeated, that on Sunday evening I started to taper off and cut down on my drinking. I believe it is quite clear what I told you. You don't sober up like that.

You have been drunk, haven't you?

Presiding Inspector: He doesn't have to answer that.

Mr. Gladstein: Nobody has ever been as drunk as you say you were.

Mr. Del Guercio: Have you?

Presiding Inspector: Let's not have that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Isn't it a fact that Jackman told you that he was a member of the Coast Labor Relations Committee for the longshoremen? [6644]

A. No; I don't remember him saying that.

Q. He left you about eight o'clock, didn't he?

A. I don't even recall what time he left, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. Before he left, that is Jackman, I am talking about, didn't you tell him to tell Rosco Craycraft to come down and see you on the ship?

A. No; I don't recall telling that to Jackman.

Q. When you say you don't recall, is it possible that you did tell him that?

A. Well, Mr. Gladstein, it is possible I could have said all this stuff, and it is possible that I couldn't.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And didn't you tell Jackman before he left where you would be so that Roseo could find you?

A. No; I don't think so.

Q. Didn't Roseo Craycraft see you about 8:30 that Sunday night?

A. No; I don't recall the exact time he came aboard.

Q. Isn't it true—what do you mean by that—do you mean you remember seeing him but you don't know the exact time?

A. He could have been aboard ship that night.

Q. Was he or not? A. I don't know.

Q. You mean you have no recollection at all about what happened that Sunday night? [6645]

A. No, I don't.

Q. Isn't it true that some winches had broken down?

Mr. Del Guercio: Here are the broken winches again.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. He told you once he didn't remember.

Mr. Gladstein: This is a question as to the fact, and not as to what took place in the conversation with Mr. Jackman. We are now in a subsequent incident, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Oh. Haven't we been through the broken winches? At what time is this?

Mr. Gladstein: 8:30 p.m. and thereafter Sunday night.

Presiding Inspector: There is testimony that it was about 9:00 o'clock the winches broke down.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mrs. King: That was Saturday night.

Presiding Inspector: Aren't we on Saturday night now?

Mr. Gladstein: Sunday night.

Presiding Inspector: I will let you answer that. I thought you had gone back to Saturday.

Mr. Gladstein: No, I hadn't, your Honor.

The Witness: Could I have those time sheets, please?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Can't you answer that from your memory first?

A. I would like to have those sheets.

Q. You can have them, but please answer first.

Mr. Del Guercio: The witness said he would like to have [6646] those sheets.

Presiding Inspector: But he is entitled to ask for his recollection, and then if he cannot recollect his memory can be refreshed by the time sheets.

A. I do recall a terminal being loose on the control board on winch No. 2—No. 2 winches.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did you learn that it was loose?

A. Well, as a rule when a winch stops, either the walking—the sugercargo—that is the head, walking boss, whatever you want to call him—I don't know what you call him——

Q. (Interposing) That is the longshore boss, isn't it? A. I think so.

Q. He keeps a written record of breakdowns?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I believe he does.

Q. Did he tell you about that—when did you learn about this breakdown?

A. I think he came back in the room and called me and I went up there.

Q. When was that, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I don't recall what time it was, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. Sunday evening, wasn't it?

A. I believe it was Sunday evening. [6647]

Q. All right. You went back to the winch and what did you do?

A. Well, just one little wire was loose and I pulled the switch and took a screwdriver and screwed up this little connection.

Q. There wasn't much to it, was there?

A. No.

Q. It is pretty dangerous for the men who are working as longshoremen if there is anything wrong with a winch, isn't it? A. Yes.

Q. And it is a pretty big responsibility for you, who are in charge of those winches, to see that they are operating correctly, isn't it?

A. Yes, it is a responsibility.

Q. So the winches had broken down before Cawcraft saw you that evening, correct?

A. Yes; that could be correct.

Q. And you had worked on them?

A. I had gone up and fixed it; yes.

Q. And Cawcraft met you in the machine shop,

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

your machine shop, where you were wrapping some cords, is that right?

A. Wrapping some what?

Q. Cords for electric wires. [6648]

A. Coils?

Mr. Gladstein: Cords.

Presiding Inspector: Co-r-d-s.

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

A. You mean making up solenoids?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that what you were doing?

A. I think so.

Q. What is a solenoid?

A. A solenoid is just a spool with a lot of wire wrapped around it. You measure the ohms on it and you use it as a magnetic induction, either induction or repulsion. That is a solenoid. It is used on relays quite a bit.

Q. Relays on what? A. Electrical relays.

Q. Electricity? A. Yes; electrical relays.

Q. What were you doing with those solenoids?

A. I think at that time I was testing them.

Q. Testing them for what?

A. To see if they were grounded.

Q. Why did you do that?

A. Well, there is a whole slough of them laying around the ship and I was checking over all this stuff, as I had a testing instrument of my own. The ship didn't have any. I [6649] was throwing away the ones that were haywire.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Why did you throw them away—was there any danger from them when they were haywire?

A. No. They just don't work. There is no—when they are grounded, a solenoid when it is grounded loses its magnetic field.

Presiding Inspector: This was material in the storeroom.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Who told you to do that? A. Nobody.

Presiding Inspector: I mean, this is not a part of the connected apparatus on the ship.

A. (Continuing) I couldn't say when I started, Mr. Gladstein, because there is a lot of stuff—everything was left to my own discretion aboard the ship. If I saw stuff that needed repair, I did it; and I didn't have to get a written order to do anything.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. In other words, there were some things that you had the right to do when you had time and felt like doing it?

A. Yes. In fact, all the electrical equipment.

Q. And Craycraft met you in your machine shop while you were doing this work?

A. It is not a machine shop. [6650]

Q. What is it? A. It is a work shop.

Q. He met you in that work shop while you were doing this work, is that right?

A. I believe so.

Q. And before he left you that evening didn't

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

you agree with him that he was to return and see you again at ten o'clock the next day?

A. No; I don't think I did—I don't recall that.

Q. You had been unable to get a relief for yourself up to 8:30 that night, isn't that true?

A. Well, I didn't get no relief at all.

Q. But you had put in for a relief earlier, had you not?

A. Oh, I had put in for relief, I believe it was the second day the ship got into port.

Q. And didn't you on Sunday night, when you talked with Craycraft, say that you thought you would get your relief by ten o'clock the next morning?

A. No, I didn't say that to him.

Q. Didn't you ask him to see you again on Monday morning at ten o'clock?

A. No, I didn't ask him to come and see me.

Q. Now, either in that conversation on that Sunday night, or in previous conversations with Craycraft, the two of you had talked about mutual friends, had you not? [6651]

A. I believe so.

Q. One of those mutual friends was a man named Jack Mowery, isn't that true?

A. I think so; yes.

Q. He had been a friend of yours during the 1936-1937 strike, hadn't he?

A. Uh huh.

Q. And you asked about him, didn't you?

A. I think I did ask about him.

Q. And didn't you, on Sunday night, ask Craycraft to invite Mowery down to speak to you?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannialonga.)

A. No; not the way you put it, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. Had you asked Craycraft to invite Mowery down to see you on the ship if Craycraft ran across Mowery?

A. No. I didn't send an invitation to him. If I am not mistaken, we were talking about Jack Mowery and I said I would like to see him, that I hadn't seen him since the '36-'37 strike.

Q. On Monday morning at ten o'clock at Terminal No. 1 on the ship, the West Cussetta, Craycraft and Mowery came down and met you in your stateroom, isn't that true?

A. I think that is correct.

Q. You were sober then, weren't you?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. All right. And you spent about five or ten minutes [6652] in your stateroom with them, didn't you?

A. Something like that.

Q. And didn't you talk about the question of getting relief so that you could go to Seattle and get your clearance before you came down to testify in the Bridges case?

A. No; I didn't say anything about "clearance." I am pretty certain that I mentioned that I was still waiting for somebody to take over my job so I could go to Seattle.

Q. You did say you wanted to go to Seattle?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you tell them why you wanted to go to Seattle?

A. No.

Q. Did they ask you why?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Why did you want to go to Seattle?

A. Because that is where I lived.

Q. Is that the only reason? A. Certainly.

Q. But you never discussed with Mowery and Craycraft why you wanted to go to Seattle?

A. No.

Q. But you just told them that you did want to go to Seattle and you were going to Seattle as soon as you could get a relief? [6653]

A. Yes; as soon as I could get off the ship.

Q. Now, isn't it true that before Craycraft and Mowery left you that Monday morning, the three of you arranged that you were to phone this Maleti's Grocery store as soon as you were ready to go to Seattle with Craycraft and Mowery?

A. No.

Q. You say that didn't happen, or you don't remember, which is it?

A. No; that didn't happen.

Q. Now, at 3:30 that afternoon, that is Monday, May 5, you phoned Craycraft, didn't you?

A. Monday—I believe I did.

Q. What did you phone him for?

A. I don't recall right now what I phoned him for.

Q. Why you phoned him to tell you that your relief had not yet come and you would try to leave the ship by 5:00 p. m., didn't you?

A. No. I don't think—no, I don't recall what I said to him over the phone.

Q. Then you tell me what you said, or talked

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

about, on that telephone with Craycraft when you called him Monday about 3:30 in the afternoon.

A. No, I can't remember what I said.

Q. Not a thing? [6654]

A. No. It couldn't have been much because—

Q. (Interposing) Were you drunk then too?

A. No, I wasn't drunk.

Q. Isn't it true that you called him because they were waiting at that grocery store for you as soon as you got your relief to tell them so that all three of you could go to Seattle, where you wanted to see these people before you came back to San Francisco to testify to the truth in the Bridges case? A. No, it is not true.

Q. Didn't you tell them on this telephone, tell Craycraft, at 3:30 in the afternoon that Monday, that you thought you would get your relief by 5:00 o'clock?

Mr. Del Guercio: That is about the third time—

Presiding Inspector: You asked that before and got a negative answer.

Mr. Gladstein: I will withdraw it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you tell them on the telephone, Craycraft, when you spoke to him that Monday afternoon, that if you didn't get your relief by 5:00 o'clock and leave the ship that day you wanted Craycraft and Mowery to see you that evening on the ship?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No. Let me see, I do recall asking Craycraft if Mowery was going to come down again—words to that effect. [6655] I remember talking over the phone a few minutes. I think I mentioned if Jack was coming down.

Q. Weren't you making plans all the time with Jack Mowery and Roseo Craycraft for you to leave that ship, go to Seattle, where you said you wanted to see some people, before you could testify to the whole truth, and then come down to San Francisco?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered. Counsel has put it in so many forms, it is like a snake charmer. He wants to charm the witness into saying it.

A. No.

Presiding Inspector: This is cross examination. He is your witness, Mr. Del Guercio. I will allow it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. That night, Monday night about seven o'clock, you did see Craycraft and Mowery, didn't you?

A. Yes. They came down to the ship.

Q. Were you drunk then?

A. No, I wasn't drunk.

Q. As a matter of fact, when they came down to the ship you had been uptown shopping with the shore electrician, isn't that right?

A. I had been up—I went ashore, yes, up to the shop of the Marine Electric. I made quite a few trips up there.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. On that particular evening you got down to the ship [6656] at about 7:15, didn't you?

A. I don't know the exact time I got down to the ship.

Q. Was it about that time?

A. It was in the evening some time; yes.

Q. And you were late for your appointment because you had been uptown doing this shopping, this electrical shopping of some kind, isn't that correct?

A. Well, I went up to this shop, Marine Electric Shop; yes. [6657]

Q. And that's the reason you were late for your appointment with them, isn't it?

A. Appointment? I never make appointments.

Q. Now, you and Craycraft and Mowery went to your stateroom? Correct?

A. I believe they were in the stateroom already. They were already in my room.

Q. Do you mean to say they didn't wait on the dock for you?

A. No, I don't think they did.

Q. Did you tell them where you had been when you found them in your stateroom?

A. I believe there was some mention.

Q. How did that come up? A. Huh?

Q. How did that come up?

Mr. Del Guercio: How did what come up? All the witness said that there was some mention and counsel interrupted and said—



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mrs. King: (Interposing) Your Honor please, if Mr. Del Guercio wants to make an objection I think he is entitled to make an objection, but I see no reason why he is entitled to interrupt an examination and make it more difficult—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) I am making an objection—

Mrs. King: (Interposing) To contact the witness and get anything out of him, and it seems to me that that kind of a [6658] remark,—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I suppose the objection is that the question is answered, that they had this conversation. How it came up is immaterial.

Mrs. King: I mean, if he wants to make an objection, then it is "immaterial".

Presiding Inspector: I suppose that is his objection. I will take it to be such. I will let you ask it.

Mr. Gladstein: The witness probably doesn't remember it. I will repeat it, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Of course, this is all largely collateral. You may go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How did this mention of your having been uptown to do this shopping come up in the conversation between you, Mowery and Craycraft that Monday night?

A. I believe they asked me where I had been and I naturally answered them.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Didn't you tell them that that was the reason you were late?

A. Yes. I suppose when I answered them, there was nothing to hide, so in a natural conversation with any fellow on the ship, if they ask you where you have been you generally tell them, give them a rough outline of where you have been.

Q. And didn't you tell them that? Didn't you give [6659] them that rough outline to explain why you were late?

A. No. I didn't have no explaining why I was late because I was late for nobody. After all, —

Q. (Interposing) How long were you with Craycraft and Mowery that evening?

A. Oh, I would say about a half hour.

Q. About an hour is more like it, isn't it?

Mr. Myron: Well, he has answered the question.

Mr. Gladstein: I am entitled to cross examine him.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. He can press him.

The Witness: No. I figure about a half hour.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Were you drunk that night?

A. Now, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. Were you? "Yes" or "No", please.

A. No, I wasn't drunk.

Q. All right. Because you were late you had missed your supper, isn't that right?

A. Yes. I didn't have any supper.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. So you made some sandwiches, didn't you?

A. Yes. I made sandwiches.

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that, if your Honor please, as being immaterial and irrelevant.

Presiding Inspector: I don't understand the point of it, [6660] but you may ask it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And while you and Craycraft and Mowery were in your room eating some of these sandwiches that you made—

A. (Interposing) And drinking some rum.

Q. And drinking some rum, you say?

A. Yes, certainly.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. One of the mates came in and asked you to fix a radio of his which had gone "out of whack", isn't that right?

A. No. There was no radio that I fixed. I do recall the mate came down to the room and asked me if I would go up to his room. He had boughten a new radio and the ship's juice is 240 volts and the radio was only operated on 110 volts and he wanted me to fix up a resistor for him to cut down the voltage. So I went up to the mate's room and took a test instrument, my test instrument with me and fixed up this resistor to cut down his voltage.

Q. And then you had a conversation with Cray-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

craft and Mowery in that stateroom that evening, didn't you?

A. Yes. I think we had a general conversation.

Q. Do you know anything at all that was said by any of the three of you that evening?

A. Yes. I believe I mentioned to him that I was having trouble ever since I got into Portland trying to get a man to [6661] replace me and talking about a shortage of electricians up and down the whole coast; that a fellow could go ashore and go right to work if he wanted to because there was quite a shortage of them. And I think they asked me why I wanted to quit, and I told them that I had been putting in pretty long hours and the ship was in pretty bad shape; that I had done quite a bit of work on it. And then I think I was called again by somebody else.

Q. Anything else in that conversation with them that you remember?

A. Yes. I mentioned that I was going to Seattle and I think Craycraft said, well, he was going to Seattle too, and he would give me a ride up.

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, isn't it a fact that Craycraft told you that Monday evening that he had talked on the long distance telephone to me and that he, Craycraft was leaving for San Francisco on the airplane that night in order to testify in this case?

A. No.

Q. He didn't tell you that?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No, he didn't mention it.

Q. He told you that he was going to Seattle the next day?

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, that is what the witness has testified.

Mr. Gladstein: I know, but I am entitled to press [6662], him on this, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think you are entitled just to ask the same question over again.

Mr. Gladstein: It isn't the same as repeating the question.

Presiding Inspector: That isn't pressing.

Mr. Gladstein: When something new comes up it seems to me that you are entitled to come back.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. If you claim that that is something new and he denies anything of that kind.

Mr. Gladstein: Of course, when there is objection and then discussion, the purpose of the examination is lost.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

Mr. Gladstein: I don't even remember the last question now.

Presiding Inspector: You may have it read, then.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How about it, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Well, it could be—there was mention of—I

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

assume that the both of them were going up to Seattle.

Q. You started to say "it could be". What was the rest of that?

A. Well, just like I say, it could be possible that he [6663] was going down to 'Frisco. I didn't know that he was going to 'Frisco. It didn't come out in that conversation.

Presiding Inspector: Is that all? Do you want to say anything more about the conversation?

The Witness: Oh, no.

Presiding Inspector: He has finished.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. All right. Anyway, your best recollection is that Craycraft and Mowery said that they were going to Seattle the next day and if you would like to come, why, they would be glad to take you; is that it? A. Yes.

Q. Then why did you write a note on Monday night giving it to Craycraft to be given to Harry Bridges in which you said "Harry, I'll see you tomorrow night"?

Mr. Del Guercio: That isn't the testimony, if your Honor please. There is no such evidence in the record, no such testimony.

Mr. Gladstein: I will ask the question. If he wants to say that there isn't, that is all right.

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, he is deceiving the witness. He has no right to do that.

Presiding Inspector: He hasn't any right to deceive him.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Cross examination or what.  
[6664]

Presiding Inspector: He has no right to deceive the witness, of course.

Mr. Gladstein: May I have that Exhibit, please?

Presiding Inspector: I think the question should be as to this Exhibit, then. Why did he write this Exhibit.

(Whereupon the Exhibit referred to was passed to Mr. Gladstein.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Then why did you write—

Mrs. King: Just a moment! I think there was a statement made by Mr. Del Guercio while the Court Reporter was looking for the Exhibit that is not in the record. I am wondering if we could have the last thing in the record.

Presiding Inspector: I don't recall it.

Mrs. King: The statement was "We are not in the hotel".

Mr. Del Guercio: No, I will repeat the statement if the Court wants me to.

Mrs. King: I should like to have it in the record.

Presiding Inspector: Well, if you are willing to, Mr. Del Guercio. You don't have to.

Mr. Del Guercio: I would be glad to repeat it if they want me to.

I said that "Mr. Gladstein is not in the hotel room now questioning the witness". [6665]



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: Well, I think it was an improper remark. That is not an objection.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, Cannalonga, —

A. (Interposing) Will you let me see what you have got there?

Q. Not yet. I will show you this later. Isn't it true that that evening you agreed that the following morning, relief or no relief, you were going to quit that ship and go with Mowery to Seattle and then come down to San Francisco after you had seen the people in Seattle and then testify in this case to the whole truth? A. No.

Q. What is the answer?

Presiding Inspector: If you will stand back, I suggest the witness will be inclined to speak louder.

The Witness: I says, No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And isn't it true—

Presiding Inspector: Speak out, Mr. Cannalonga, so we can hear.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. And isn't it true that Mr. Craycraft told you that he, because he had talked with me, was going to San Francisco by plane that Monday night? [6666] A. No.

Q. And isn't it true that you wrote a note for Craycraft to take with him so that Bridges would

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

know that you would be down in San Francisco Tuesday night? A. No.

Q. Now, I show you Alien's Exhibit 24. That's the note you wrote, isn't it?

A. Yes, I wrote that.

Q. What's the date on it?

A. May the 5th.

Q. That was in your handwriting, wasn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. You weren't drunk then, were you?

A. No.

Q. That was Monday May the 5th, wasn't it?

A. Well, if you want, it is not—

Q. (Interposing) Was it Monday, May the 5th?

Mr. Del Guercio: Let the witness answer there.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Was it Monday, May the 5th?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And when you say "Will see you tomorrow night", to whom was that sent?

A. Well, that could have been sent to anybody.

Presiding Inspector: Let me see it. [6667]

(The Exhibit referred to was passed to the Presiding Inspector.)

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. To whom did you send it?

A. I was asked to write it and sign my full name to it and I wouldn't sign my full name to it.

Q. Who told you to say that story?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Nobody told me to say that story.

Q. All right. Now,—

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): Now, if the Court please,—

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. (Continuing): —who were you sending that note to?

Mr. Del Guercio: I demand that he take his foot off that witness stand. That's the attorney.

Presiding Inspector: I have suggested to Mr. Gladstein, as I suggested to you several times, that we would get along better if you would stand near the table provided for counsel.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Who did you want this note to go to, Mr. Cannalonga?

A. That note, it's like I said at the start when I first came on the stand, that night Craycraft asked me to write a note to Harry Bridges and sign my full name to it, and I says "What do you want my name to it for?" And he insisted [6668] that I sign my name to this note. So at the time I figured, "Well, O.K. I'll write a note". So I wrote a note and he dictated the words.

Q. Who dictated it? A. Craycraft.

Q. Did you understand what he was dictating?

A. Did I understand what he was dictated?

Q. What he was dictating to you?

A. Well, that's the words.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. When he dictated to you did you understand what he was dictating?

Mr. Myron: You mean, did he write it?

Presiding Inspector: No. He means did he understand what the words meant.

A. No. I just wrote what he told me to write.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you know what you were writing?

A. I just scribbled that down.

Q. Did you know what you were writing?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you understand what you were writing?

A. I didn't understand it was going to be sent to Bridges, no.

Q. How did you understand this note was going to get to Harry Bridges?

A. I didn't even think it was going to get to Harry [6669] Bridges.

Q. Whom did you think it was going to get to?

A. I don't know.

Q. How did the name of Harry Bridges come up in this?

A. Well, he mentioned Bridges.

Q. In what way?

A. Oh, in a dozen ways.

Q. You tell me any of them.

A. About Harry being worried about me and that Harry Bridges felt that I was under pressure by the FBI.

Q. What else? Any other mention of Bridges that you can remember that Monday night?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. Was there any mention of Bridges in connection with this note?

A. Not at the time I wrote it.

Q. When? When was that mention made?

A. I believe the mention of Bridges was before I went up to fix this radio.

Q. Regarding Bridges and this note, you mean?

A. About me writing to Bridges, yes.

Q. All right. What was that mention? Who mentioned it?

A. Craycraft.

Q. What did he say? [6670]

A. He wanted me to write a note to Harry Bridges.

Q. A note to Harry Bridges?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. What did he want you to say in your note to Harry Bridges?

A. He didn't say.

Q. What? A. He didn't say at the time.

Q. When did he say? A. He didn't say.

Q. When did he say at any time that night what he wanted you to write to Harry Bridges?

A. He didn't.

Q. So you wrote this yourself saying that you were going to see Harry Bridges the following night, didn't you?

A. No. That there was dictated to me.

Q. It was still dictated?

A. Yes, that note there.

Q. Well, if it wasn't dictated by Craycraft was it dictated by Mowery?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. It was dictated by Craycraft, like I said at the start.

Q. Did you understand that Craycraft was going to deliver this note to Bridges? A. No.

[6671]

Q. What did you think he was going to do with it? A. I don't know.

Q. He didn't tell you? A. No.

Q. You didn't ask him? A. No.

Presiding Inspector: I think we will take a short recess.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Gladstein, you may continue.

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When you said in this note, Mr. Cannalonga, "Will see you tomorrow night" what did you understand "tomorrow night" to mean?

A. Well, like I said, there was quite a bit of argument over that note, Mr. Gladstein, nad—

Q. (Interposing): Were you threatened?

A. Well, he insisted that I write this note.

Q. Were you threatened? Were you threatened?

A. Openly?

Q. In any way?

Mr. Myron: Let him answer the question. He was starting to answer it.

Presiding Inspector: I think he want to answer it. [6672]



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No, I wasn't threatened openly.

Q. In what way were you threatened?

A. I don't know how to answer that question, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. I can understand that. But in what way were you threatened?

Mr. Del Guercio: May be Court at this time should properly take the witness under his hand now. It is apparent that this witness has been under—perhaps the Court will find out just what the witness means, whether he was threatened and intimidated.

Mr. Grossman: Would you like a "Yes" or "No" answer, as you did before?

Presiding Inspector: If you don't know how to answer it, you can say you don't know how to answer it; or make a try at it, if you wish to.

A. I wouldn't know how to answer it, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: You mean you don't know whether you were threatened or not?

The Witness: No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You don't know whether you were intimidated or not? A. No.

Q. You don't know whether you were forced or not? [6673]

A. Well, if you call being "insistent" "forced", then I was forced.

Q. How did they insist on this?

A. Oh, he just kept "Well, go ahead and write

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

the note" and I kept saying "No, I don't want to write no note. Why should I?"

Q. And then what did they say?

A. And, oh; it kept up for about five minutes, I believe, and then the mate come in and the mate come in then and asked me if I would put this resistor in for him. So I got up and put the resistor in.

Q. Before you went and put in the resistor, let's go back to the five minutes when they were insisting that you write the note and you said "No", you didn't want to. You say they kept insisting that you write a note?

A. Yes.

Q. What did they say?

A. Oh, I don't recall everything they said.

Q. What arguments did they use that you should write the note?

A. I don't know what you mean by that, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. What did they say to try to persuade you to write the note or try to insist that you write the note?

A. He just kept repeating, that's all.

Q. What did he keep repeating? [6674]

A. "Go ahead and write that note".

Q. In a threatening way?

A. Well, what do you call "threatening"?

Q. Did you understand it as a threat?

A. No. He didn't come out openly and threat.

Q. Did you write this note against your will?  
"Yes" or "No".

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I don't get your answer. What do you mean by that?

Q. You didn't want to write this note, you say?

A. No. I didn't feel that I should write the note.

Q. And they insisted that you write the note, is that right? A. Yes.

Q. So you finally wrote the note against your will, is that right?

A. Well, I finally wrote the note.

Q. Against your own will, is that right?

A. No. That's hard to answer, too. If you want to write against—I just wrote the note because they insisted.

Q. Did they insist on anything else besides you writing that note? A. No.

Q. Did you ask them what they wanted that note for?

A. Yes. I asked them, and they wanted me to sign my full name and I wouldn't sign my full name. I just signed the way it was signed. [6675]

Q. Why wouldn't you sign your full name?

A. Because I wouldn't.

Q. Why? You must have had a reason?

Mr. Del Guercio: Now he is arguing with the witness, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you have a reason for not signing your full name? A. No, I didn't.

Q. Why did you refuse to sign your full name?

A. Because I thought it wasn't proper.

Q. You thought what?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. It wasn't proper.

Q. In what way did you think it was improper?

A. Well, when they insisted that I write this note and sign my full name I figured, well, they must have some reason for wanting me to sign my full name to it. So I just got—

Q. (Interposing): Suspicious?

A. Well, you can call it that if you want.

[6676]

Q. So you got suspicious of those men, Mowery and Craycraft? A. I did.

Q. And you wrote this, though you didn't want to write it, because they insisted on it?

A. Yes.

Q. That night while you were with Mowery and Craycraft, the night that you wrote this note, Craycraft told you that he was coming down on a plane to San Francisco and was going to testify to the things that you had told him and me, didn't he?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that. It has been asked and answered before.

A. No, he didn't.

Presiding Inspector: I think I will allow it.

Mr. Gladstein: Thank you, your Honor.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't he? A. No.

Q. And didn't he ask you, "Before I go, Cannalunga, is there anything else, is there any other information you want to give me before I go to testify on this thing?" A. No, he didn't say that.

Q. Didn't you at that time tell him the various

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

places where you had been with this girl, and where the FBI said they [6677] had checked and found you had registered with her? A. No.

Q. And didn't he make notes on an envelope right in front of you as you were telling him this?

A. No.

Q. That didn't happen?

A. No; that didn't happen.

Q. Didn't he write that list of places on a slip of paper as you told him the places? A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: First, it was an envelope and now it is a slip of paper.

Presiding Inspector: That is comment, argument.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Didn't you tell him that the first place you stopped with this girl was this side of Reno in an auto camp about four or five hours out of Reno?

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please—

A. Interposing): No.

Mr. Del Guercio: I want to make an objection at this time. I believe the Court will recall—I am not sure of it, but I think I am fairly certain—Craycraft testified that he was making notes on an envelope. Counsel is now reading from a sheet of paper. He had an envelope there that he pulled out a little while ago, and I think it is very very important to know whether it is an envelope or a [6678] sheet of paper.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think it is of any importance—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Gladstein (Interposing): I will tell Mr. Del Guercio about it.

Presiding Insepctor: Just a moment. I don't think it is of any importance so far as this question is concerned. It may be important largely later, but not so far as this question is concerned.

Go ahead.

The question is whether he told this fact about where a certain camp was, and whether he stopped there on his way from Reno. That is all the question is. It hasn't anything to do about an envelope or anything else.

The Witness: I answered that question.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. How did you answer it? A. No.

Q. Didn't he make a note right in front of you to that effect as you told him? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then went to the southern part of California with this girl?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you stayed in an auto [6679] camp in the vicinity of Los Angeles and San Pedro? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then drove up toward San Francisco with this girl and spent a night in an auto camp in South San Francisco?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then went up north and stayed in an auto camp between Frisco and Eureka? A. No.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Didn't you tell him that you stayed in an auto camp in Eureka one night? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him you spent one night in Roseburg? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you spent one night in Portland? A. No.

Q. At a National Auto Camp just before you get into Portland? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then went with this girl to Tacoma? A. No.

Q. And that you stayed in an auto camp there? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then went to Seattle? [6680] A. No.

Q. And that you stayed in a hotel on First Avenue between Seneca and University?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you then went to Coulee City, or Coulee Dam and stayed a night with her? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him then you went to Spokane and spent two nights there? A. No.

Q. The first night was in an auto camp?

A. No.

Q. And the second night in a hotel?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell him that you left the girl in Spokane? A. No.

Q. And that you then came back to Seattle?

A. No.

Q. And that you then got a ship in April of

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

1940 at the branch in Seattle headed for Bristol Bay? A. No.

Q. Did you ship out in April of 1940 from Seattle to Bristol Bay? A. No. [6681]

Q. From where did you ship?

A. The ship left Seattle for Bristol Bay in May; the latter part of May.

Q. Where were you in April of 1940?

A. In April I think I was down in the Union hall.

Q. Now, didn't you repeat, in the presence of both Mowery and Craycraft on two occasions on Monday, May 5, one at ten o'clock in the morning, when you were with them in your stateroom, and the other time a little after seven o'clock in the evening when you were with them in your stateroom, didn't you say to both of them that your testimony in the Bridges case had been false?

A. No.

Q. That your testimony had been given under pressure? A. No.

Q. That you were glad that you had made a statement in the Attorney's office? A. No.

Q. That you were willing to testify in San Francisco if you could get relieved and get a clearance from these people in Seattle? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell them that Monday night that you expected your relief the following morning?

A. No. [6682]

Q. Didn't you tell them that night that you would call Mowery the following morning at Ma-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

letti's Grocery at ten o'clock to advise him whether you were free to go to Seattle in Mowery's car?

A. No.

Q. Now, as a matter of fact, on Tuesday, May 6th, you did phone Mowery, didn't you?

A. Tuesday, May 6th—yes, I did phone him.

Q. And you phoned him at this grocery store, didn't you? A. I believe I did.

Q. And you told him, didn't you, that your relief for your job had not come?

A. I think he asked me if there was another electrician aboard yet and I told him "No."

Q. He asked you? A. Yes.

Q. You didn't tell him this before he asked you?

A. No.

Q. What did you call him up for?

A. He asked me to call him up at this grocery store.

Q. What for? A. He wanted to talk to me.

Q. What about? A. I don't know. [6683]

Q. Didn't he tell you what he wanted to talk to you about? A. No.

Q. You mean he told you Monday night he wanted you to call him just to talk? A. Yes.

Q. Just to talk? A. Yes; certainly.

Q. And so you went out on Tuesday and you phoned Mowery just to have a talk with him, is that right? A. Correct.

Mr. Del Guercio: That is what the witness testified.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: Yes; that is what he testified to.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you tell Mowery over that telephone that you expected your relief to come any minute?

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: Not in this particular respect.

A. I did answer—no, I didn't tell him that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What did you tell him?

A. He asked if I got a relief yet, if the fellow had relieved me yet, another electrician had come aboard, and I said "No."

Q. Didn't you tell him that you expected to be relieved, [6684], at any time?

A. Yes, I told him that.

Q. And didn't you invite Mowery to come down to the ship to see you?

A. Sure, I invited him to come to the ship.

Q. Didn't he come down and see you at 10:30 that morning?

A. I think he came down at 10:30.

Q. Didn't he see you in your room?

A. Yes.

Q. Didn't he spend about half an hour with you that Tuesday morning in your room?

A. Well, no; he didn't spend half an hour there.

Q. How long did he spend with you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. He was in the room about that time, yes; but I had left a couple or three times while he was in the room to do a little work.

Q. Were you sober then?

A. Yes; I was sober.

Q. Didn't you tell Mowery in your room that you were trying to get the Marine Firemen's agent to see why you hadn't been relieved?

A. Yes; that was mentioned; correct.

Q. Didn't you say that you would call Mowery again at noon that day?

Q. No, I don't believe I did. [6685]

Q. Well, at noon you did phone Mowery, didn't you? A. I don't think I did.

Q. Didn't you phone Mowery and tell him that you were still waiting for your relief? A. No.

Q. Are you sure about that?

A. I am pretty sure.

Q. Didn't you tell Mowery over the telephone that Mowery was to stand by and wait for a phone call from you which you would give him as soon as you were relieved?

A. No. He did mention that he was going to be around this grocery store all day. There was a little bar in there, if I am not mistaken, a beer joint in this grocery store. He said that is where they hung out, a bunch of longshoremen hung out.

Q. You say you didn't call him at noon.

A. No, I didn't.

Q. As a matter of fact, you called him at noon and also at three o'clock that afternoon, didn't you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. No.

Q. You did call him at three o'clock, didn't you?

A. I think I called him at three.

Q. You asked him to come down to the ship at that time, didn't you?

A. Yes, I asked him to come down to the ship.

[6686]

Q. And he came down and met you at 3:15 on the ship, didn't he.

A. He came aboard in the afternoon; yes.

Q. Right after you phoned him, wasn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. And it was in your stateroom, wasn't it?

A. Yes; in my room.

Q. And you told him if you didn't have relief by the following morning you would go to Seattle anyway?

A. No.

Q. What did you say about that, if anything?

A. Nothing; we were just talking about—we had been doing quite a bit of talking about the 1936-1937 strike, and we were going over old times. That is all.

Q. What? A. Nothing.

Q. That is what you were talking about at 3:15?

A. Yes.

Q. You called up at 3:00 o'clock to invite him to come down at 3:15 to talk about old times?

Mr. Del Guercio: That isn't his testimony.

Mr. Gladstein: I am asking the question.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. It is cross examination.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. The reason I called—he said he wasn't doing [6687] anything all day and he would be around there and would like to talk over old times with me. I said "O.K. The first chance I get caught up on some of this work I will give you a buzz and have you come down to the ship."

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. But you were busy while he was in there, isn't that true?

A. Yes; but I wasn't so busy I couldn't see him for a while.

Q. Isn't it a fact that you told him at 3:15 in the afternoon that day, that Tuesday, that if you didn't get a relief by the following morning you would pack up and leave the ship anyway?

A. No.

Q. That you were going to go to Seattle with him?

A. No. I believe there was mention that he was going to Seattle and he would give me a ride up.

Q. Didn't you agree that you would phone Mowery at nine o'clock the next day? A. No.

Q. Was there any talk about a phone call at nine o'clock the next day, nine in the morning?

A. I believe there was mention, yes, if I got a relief that he would hold up that trip to Seattle and give me a ride.

Q. Now, that night he saw you again at about six [6688] o'clock, didn't he?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Yes, I believe he did drop in just before he went to work.

Q. He came down to the ship and told you that he had just received a call, a phone call, from San Francisco, didn't he?

A. No; I don't think he mentioned that.

Q. Didn't he tell you he had received a phone call and that he had information that a subpoena was to be issued for you? A. No.

Q. Was there any talk about a subpoena?

A. No.

Q. Didn't he tell you—

A. (Interposing) What day is this, Monday or Tuesday?

Mr. Del Guercio: Is that Tuesday night you are talking about?

The Witness: Is it Tuesday?

Mr. Del Guercio: May I have that question read?

The Witness: Mr. Gladstein—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Just a minute.

Presiding Inspector: Let the reporter read that question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as follows: [6689])

"Q. Didn't he tell you he had received a phone call and that he had information that a subpoena was to be issued for you?

"A. No.")

Mr. Del Guercio: I assume that is Tuesday night.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

The Witness: Is that Tuesday night?

Mr. Gladstein: Tuesday night I am talking about.

A. No; he didn't come aboard ship.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. As a matter of fact, at 6:00 o'clock you weren't on that ship at all, were you, on Tuesday?

A. No; I don't think I was.

Q. And a message was left for you by Mowery to call him at the Union Hall of the Marine Cooks and Stewards where he was going to be, correct?

A. No.

Q. Didn't you call up the Marine Cooks and Stewards Hall at eight o'clock that night?

A. I didn't.

Q. What? A. No.

Q. Didn't you call up and say you were Cannalunga and a man named Eddie Lane answered the phone? A. No.

Q. Didn't you ask for Mowery? [6690]

A. I called up—I think it was that grocery store that I called up.

Q. You called up the Marine Cooks and Stewards Hall, didn't you? A. No, I didn't.

Q. You asked for Mowery, didn't you?

A. I asked for Mowery, yes.

Q. And you asked for Mowery when you were making this telephone call at eight o'clock Tuesday night, isn't that true?

A. It was on a Tuesday night; yes. I don't recall what time it was.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. It was about eight o'clock, wasn't it?

A. It was dark, yes; I suppose it was about eight o'clock.

Q. Did you get Mowery right away or did you have to ask for him from somebody else?

A. I had to ask for him. I am quite sure it was a grocery store.

Q. Were you sober or drunk? A. Me?

Q. Yes. A. I was sober.

Q. All right. When Mowery took the phone you asked him what he wanted and why he had left a message for you to call him, isn't that true?

A. I believe that is right. [6691]

Q. And isn't it true that he told you that a subpoena had been, or was about to be issued for you?

A. I believe he did mention a subpoena.

Q. Didn't he tell you that the subpoena was going to be served on you the next morning?

A. No; I don't believe he mentioned when it was going to be served.

Q. Didn't he tell you that by being served with a subpoena on the next morning, Wednesday, that it would give you a legal right to leave that ship and not wait for a relief any more?

A. No, he didn't.

Q. What did he say about that?

A. He just mentioned this subpoena, and how he heard it was being issued to me and I told him—well, I believe I read it in the newspaper too.

Q. You had read it in the newspaper that day?

A. I believe so. I am not quite sure.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. In what paper had you read it, Mr. Cannalonga?

A. Either in the Oregonian—I think it was the Oregonian.

Q. On that Tuesday? A. Yes.

Q. In the afternoon or in the morning?

A. At night.

Q. At night? [6692] A. Yes.

Q. What time at night?

A. Oh, it was about—after supper.

Q. Before eight o'clock? A. Yes.

Q. What had you read?

A. There was a little article about a subpoena being issued for me.

Q. You are sure about that?

A. I am quite sure about it.

Q. Now, didn't Mowery tell you over the telephone that this subpoena was going to be served on you the next morning, but he had word from the defense attorneys that if you wanted to go to Seattle and see these people before you came to San Francisco to testify it would be all right?

A. No; he didn't say that.

Q. Didn't he tell you that this subpoena required you immediately to come to San Francisco, but since you were being subpoenaed by the defense, if the defense allowed you to go to Seattle where you wanted to go first, it would be all right?

A. No.

Q. He didn't give you that information?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. What was said about the subpoena then?

A. He just mentioned the fact that a subpoena was being [6693] served on me and I told him, I said, "Yes, I know that. I seen it in the paper."

Q. What else? A. That is all.

Q. That is all that was said?

A. That is all.

Q. Did you tell Mowery whether you would come down if the subpoena was served on you?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. Did he ask you?

A. I don't believe he asked me.

Q. When you read about this subpoena did it frighten you in any way?

A. No, the subpoena didn't.

Q. When you heard that there was going to be a subpoena issued to you to come down and testify were you scared?

A. No, I wasn't.

Q. Was there any reason why you wouldn't want to come down and testify?

A. No.

Q. And you knew about a subpoena being issued on Tuesday?

A. I don't know what day it was going to be issued, the exact minute.

Q. But you heard about it?

A. I heard about it; yes. [6694]

Q. Now, didn't Mowery tell you over the telephone—, withdraw that.

Didn't you tell Mowery over the telephone that your relief had not yet come?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I believe he asked me if I got another electrician yet. I said "No."

Q. This was the eight o'clock telephone conversation, eight o'clock at night, Tuesday, correct?

A. Somewhere around there.

Q. Didn't you say that although your relief had not come you would leave the next day with Mowery and go to Seattle? A. No.

Q. And didn't you say that you would phone Mowery at nine o'clock in the morning and tell him where and when to meet you so that you could go to Seattle? A. No.

Q. Now, on Wednesday morning you did phone Mowery at eight o'clock in the morning, didn't you? A. I did.

Q. At Maletti's Grocery, correct?

A. I did.

Q. And Mowery wasn't there so you left a message, didn't you? A. I did. [6695]

Q. You said, "Give Mowery a message to the effect that Blackie called and will call later", didn't you?

A. Yes. I said I would call back about ten o'clock.

Q. Correct.

Mr. Del Guercio: What was that last remark?

The Reporter: "Correct."

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. At 10:15 in the morning you had not called the ship, had you? A. No.

Q. I mean, you hadn't called the grocery store?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. No.

Q. You didn't call Mowery after eight o'clock, although you left a message saying that you were going to call again at ten? A. Right.

Q. So Mowery went down to the ship and he saw you in your stateroom at about 10:15 in the morning? A. He saw me there?

Q. Yes, didn't he?

A. Well, he is seeing things, was seeing things because I was on my way to Seattle at 10:15 Wednesday morning.

Q. Oh, you were?

A. I absolutely was.

Q. Why did you leave a message that you were going to. [6696] call Mowery at ten o'clock then?

A. Well, now, I have got to tell you what happened. At 6:00 o'clock in the morning of Wednesday—

Q. (Interposing) What happened after six o'clock in the morning?

A. The Mate came aboard, the night Mate, and woke me up and he said, "Blackie, you are in a pretty tough spot." He says, "You want to watch yourself." He says, "You are liable to wake up one of these mornings not knowing that you are alive."

I said, "What is the trouble?"

He said, "During the night one of the Immigration Inspectors, or Custom Inspectors, had seen a car parked across the dock there with a bunch of fellows in it and they were there all night long."

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

and that they were watching me. So he had woke me up at six o'clock.

He said, "Watch yourself. This Custom man came aboard ship to see that you were all right." He said, "You had better stop drinking, and stop seeing these fellows that have been coming aboard to see you every day." He says, "They have seen them come aboard."

So I started putting two and two together, and so I left the ship at 7:30 with a piece of motor, a small motor, headed for this electrical shop.

I stopped in a restaurant and called up Mowery. It was about [6697] eight o'clock. I called him up and told him that I would see him at ten o'clock—knowing that he wouldn't come down to the ship and that he would stay over at this grocery store.

Then I asked—oh, I got twisted up on the streets. I had been at that shop a dozen times, but I got lost. So I called up their shop and asked them whereabouts it was at. So he told me, he says, "You are only about four blocks from the shop here." And so I walked—he said "I am heading down that way and I will pick you up."

So I started walking toward the shop, and this fellow from the Marine Electric Shop picked me up. We went to the shop.

On the way to the shop, before we went inside, I asked him if he would do me a favor. He said, "Yes. Sure. What do you want?"

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

I said, "How is the chances of getting one of the boys to give me a ride over to Vancouver, Washington?"

He said, "O.K."

I said, "If anybody asks if you saw me to tell them you didn't."

So on the outskirts of Vancouver, just outside the city limits, I got out of this pick-up truck of theirs and caught a bus and beat it to Seattle.

So I couldn't have been on that ship at the time Mowery came. [6698]

Q. Where were you when you called Mowery?

A. I called him from this restaurant.

Q. Which restaurant?

A. I don't remember the name of the place.

Q. Where was it located?

A. Down on the waterfront.

Q. Why did you call him? A. Why?

Q. Yes.

A. I just told you he asked me to call him.

Q. When did he ask you to call him?

A. I think the night before.

Q. When, the night before?

A. I don't recall just when.

Q. Why did you leave word that you were going to call again at ten?

A. Well, I figured that he wouldn't know where I had gone.

Q. You didn't want him to know where you had gone? A. No.

Q. Why? A. Just because.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. You must have had a reason, did you?

A. Well, there was plenty of reason outside the dock there in that car.

Q. Did you think Mowery was going to beat you up? [6699]

A. No, I didn't think Mowery would.

Q. Who did you think it was in that automobile?

A. I don't know who it was.

Q. Did you think it was the FBI?

A. No.

Q. Did you think it was the Immigration Inspectors? A. No.

Q. Who did you think it was?

A. I don't know who it was—just a carload of fellows.

Q. What were you afraid of, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I wasn't afraid.

Q. Then why did you leave the ship that way?

Mr. Del Guercio: It is obvious—a car full of men.

Mr. Grossman: You need not tell why he left.

Presiding Inspector: Let's find out. I think it is proper. I would like to know myself.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Why did you leave the ship, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Well, I will tell you why.

Q. That is what I am asking.

A. Because I felt I was being watched and intimidated.

Q. By whom?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. By the Communist Party. [6700]

Mr. Del Guercio: He said "The Communist Party."

Mr. Gladstein: I heard it. I heard it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Why do you think the Communist Party was watching you?

A. Why? For the simple reason that they already started a campaign against me, a whispering campaign, and a few incidents caused me to believe that it seems like every move that I made in Portland and when I shipped on the ship and everything else pointed out that all my movements were being watched.

Q. You didn't associate Mowery or Craycraft with the Communist Party, did you?

A. What was that again?

Q. You didn't associate Mowery or Craycraft with the Communist Party, did you?

A. Well, I don't know whether they are Communists or not.

Q. Did you associate them with the Communist Party?

A. I understood that—what do you mean "associate"?

Q. When you say that you thought you were being watched by the Communist Party did you mean that you thought you were being watched by Mowery and Craycraft, too?

A. Well, Mowery seemed to want me to phone him up pretty often.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Why should he watch you, do you know?

[6701]

A. No, I don't.

Q. Did you have any idea? A. No.

Q. Did you think that he was interested in watching you? A. I don't know.

Q. Did you think so at that time?

A. No, I didn't think so at first.

Q. How about Craycraft? Did you think that he had any interest in watching you?

Mr. Myron: Wait a minute! Why don't you let him finish the answer?

Presiding Inspector: Was there anything more?

Mr. Myron: Read the answer.

The Witness: I told him that I didn't think he was interested in watching me at first, but later on I got suspicious that he was.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When did you get suspicious for the first time that Mowery or Craycraft was interested in watching you? A. Oh, Tuesday.

Q. Tuesday when?

A. The day before I left.

Q. Well, what time Tuesday?

A. Oh, I couldn't say right at the exact minute. It [6702] was just during the day.

Q. Were you interested—did you think that Mowery was interested in having you watched that day?

A. Yes. I started to feel it because all these

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

phone calls were supposed to have been made in good fellowship, and I got thinking Jesus! that's doing a lot of phoning to say hello to a guy who is interested in whether I got a relief or not.

Q. Did you think the same thing about Craycraft that day.

A. I don't even know if Craycraft was there at all that day.

Q. Did you think the same thing about Craycraft that day?

A. The the rest of the ship seemed to have felt the same way, that I was being watched every move and step. The engineers remarked on it and different crew members.

Q. Now, when you left that ship you thought that there was a subpoena out for you, is that right?

A. When I left the ship?

Q. Yes. You had heard about it the previous day?

A. Yes.

Q. You read about it?

A. Yes. I read that little article.

Q. All right. So at the time you left the ship you were under the belief that there was a subpoena out for you to have you come back and testify in this case? Correct? [6703]

A. Well,—

Q. (Interposing) Correct?

A. I didn't know what the subpoena was about, no.

Q. But you were under the impression that a

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

subpoena was issued for you to come back and testify again? A. No, I wasn't.

Q. What did you think the subpoena was about?

A. I didn't know what the subpoena was about.

Q. You knew it was a subpoena involving you?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Now, the only subpoena you have ever been served with in this case was a subpoena before you first testified, is that right?

Presiding Inspector: You mean before that time?

Mr. Gladstein: Before that time, yes.

A. Oh, you mean the first subpoena?

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

A. (Continuing) Yes, yes.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you know anything else about a subpoena except that it requires you to come to Court to testify?

A. No, that is all I know about them.

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, isn't it true that at about 10:15 in the morning on Wednesday you were still on that ship and Mowery saw you in your stateroom?

A. Absolutely false. How could I be on the ship? [6704] How could I be on the ship when I was on a bus?

Q. Yes. And isn't it true that you asked Mowery why the subpoena had not been served?

A. At 10:15?

Q. That morning.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. That morning? No, it is not true.

Q. Did you ask him at any time that morning why the subpoena had not been served?

A. No.

Q. Did you discuss it with him at all?

A. No.

Q. You deny that you discussed it?

A. I deny discussing it with him.

Q. Didn't Mowery tell you that he understood that the subpoena was to be served that morning on you? A. No.

Q. Didn't you tell Mowery to come back at noon and the two of you, you and Mowery, would pull out for Seattle? A. No.

Q. Didn't you spend about 15 minutes with Mowery in your stateroom that morning?

A. No. That's Wednesday morning now?

Q. Yes, that's Wednesday morning.

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative). Absolutely not.

Q. Were you on deck at 11:00 o'clock that morning, on the deck of that ship? [6705] A. No.

Q. Were you around there at noon of that morning? A. No.

Q. Did you leave that ship somewhere around noon that day? A. No.

Q. What kind of clothes were you wearing when you left?

A. My regular working clothes: khaki pants and khaki shirt; a reversible blue—one of these skiing jackets, a water-proof jacket. You know, it has

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

a zipper and one side is dark blue and the other side is light.

Q. What else did you take with you?

A. That's all.

Q. You took no baggage or luggage?

A. No baggage or luggage.

Presiding Inspector: A. hat?

The Witness: No hat.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When you left the ship did you intend to come back? A. I did.

Q. Now, you say you went by bus?

A. I did.

Q. By the way, you have mentioned several times [6706] that a lot of longshoremen kept asking you why you testified against Bridges. Please give me the name or nickname or first name or last name of any one of those longshoremen.

Mr. Myron: Now, this has all been gone over, your Honor.

Mr. Gladstein: I haven't asked for names.

Presiding Inspector: I think he was asked, wasn't he, then he said he couldn't give their names.

Mr. Gladstein: That is men on the ship.

Presiding Inspector: Then he said "You don't understand that people are known by nicknames".

Mr. Myron: We have no objection to this. It might delay the proceedings.

Presiding Inspector: I think that occurred at some time. Go ahead.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Can you give any names?

The Witness: No, I couldn't.

Presiding Inspector: Can you give any nick-names?

The Witness: No, sir.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Do you know where they were working, any of them that spoke to you?

A. Oh, the different parts of the ship, various parts of the ship. They were working different hatches, all hatches.

Q. Can you identify any winch driver or hatch tender [6707] or any particular classification of work that was filled by any man who talked to you and said "Why did you testify against Harry Bridges?" or anything of that kind? A. No.

Q. Who was the man that said "What's the status of Cannalunga?"

A. I don't know his name either.

Q. Was that the first time you ever saw that man? A. I believe it was.

Q. Is that the last time you ever saw him?

A. Oh, I saw him once or twice after that.

Q. Doing what?

A. I don't recall what he was doing.

Q. What kind of work was he doing?

A. I don't know.

Q. You don't know whether he was a longshoreman or anything else?

A. No. All I noticed is he had a CIO button on him and, it's like I stated, at the time, oh, there was



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

about eight different gangs, shore gangs on that ship. They were doing a lot of engine work and there was machinists and everything else.

Q. How many times did Craycraft tell you that he didn't want to be seen on that ship?

A. I believe he mentioned it a couple of times.

Q. Three or four? [6708]

A. Oh, no. It would be a couple of times.

Q. Now, on your direct examination you said that after you had been in the lawyer's office on that Sunday morning, Mr. Cannalunga, later on you saw Craycraft and Craycraft wanted you to see me again. Do you remember that testimony?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, when was it that Craycraft made that request?

A. I was aboard the ship, I believe.

Q. Who was present?

A. Oh, I don't recall who was present.

Q. What did he say? A. When?

Q. At the time, the first time that he wanted, or any time that he said to you that he wanted you to see me again.

A. I don't recall him saying that.

Q. What is the answer?

A. You say "any time". Is it Saturday or Friday or when?

Q. No. After you were up in the lawyer's office.

A. After I was up in the lawyer's office?

Q. That Sunday morning did Craycraft ever ask you to see me again?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No, I don't believe he did.

Q. Now, you say that that Monday night, when Craycraft saw you with Mowery in your stateroom—do you remember that? [6709]

A. Yeah.

Q. The night that you wrote that note?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. You say that you didn't tell him about these various places?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. With a girl?

A. No.

Q. There was no discussion of that at all?

A. No. He—

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, if the Court please, I will object to that.

Presiding Inspector: Haven't we been over this?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. There was no discussion of that at all? Correct?

A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute! I am waiting for a ruling, if the Court please. I have an objection to it.

Presiding Inspector: Well, I don't know what is meant by the word "discussion". I think I will ask the counsel to elucidate the question.

Mr. Gladstein: All right. I shall.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You say you didn't tell—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): He said that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. (Continuing): —Rosco Craycraft these

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

things that [6710] I asked you about, these various places where you stopped over night.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, that's the testimony.

Mr. Gladstein: This is preliminary.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. Now, go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Is that correct?

A. That is correct.

Q. Was that mentioned at all by you?

A. Now, what do you mean "was that mentioned by me"?

Q. These places, these various places.

A. No, they weren't. I never mentioned anything about that.

Presiding Inspector: We have had all that before.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did anybody mention it?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that as already having been——

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): No. If Mowery mentioned these places——

Mr. Myron (Interposing): He has already testified to that.

Presiding Inspector: He didn't ask him about Mr. Mowery.

Mr. Myron: That has been gone into three or four times.

Presiding Inspector: I haven't heard any such testimony. [6711] The suggestion in the question

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

is that Mowery told the witness about these places.

Is that so?

The Witness: No, that is not so.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did anybody mention these places?

Presiding Inspector: Was there anyone else there? Wait a minute! Do you claim that there was anyone else there?

Mr. Gladstein: I asked the witness in my previous questions about that.

Presiding Inspector: He said there wasn't.

Mr. Gladstein: Yes, he did.

Presiding Inspector: Then how could anyone else say that?

Mr. Gladstein: I did ask him, your Honor, and he admitted that he was there that night with Craycraft and Mowery the night that he wrote the note.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, I know.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. That note, by the way, Mr. Cannalunga, did you give it to Mowery or to Craycraft?

A. I believe Mr. Craycraft took it.

Q. All right. Now, that night when you were having a discussion you have already said you didn't tell Mowery and Craycraft about these various cities and auto camps that I read off to you. Correct? [6712]

A. No, that is correct.

Q. And you say that Mowery didn't mention anything like that either?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. And Craycraft, what about him?

A. He—it's like I say. He kept pounding away. He mentioned that Mann Act all the time.

Presiding Inspector: Did he mention any places?

The Witness: No, he didn't.

Presiding Inspector: Is that all?

Mr. Gladstein: That is all I have, your Honor. There is a line of examination that we have yet to go into and I would like——

Presiding Inspector: Well, we had better go right into it.

Mr. Gladstein: I would like to have permission to have Mr. Grossman take over the examination.

Presiding Inspector: Oh, yes. That you may do. Go ahead, Mr. Grossman.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What were your regular hours of work, Mr. Cannalunga?

Presiding Inspector: Where?

Mr. Grossman: On the ship.

Presiding Inspector: On the ship.

A. On the ship, from 8:00 o'clock in the morning—— [6713]

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): Now, your Honor, I will object to this line. Apparently we are going into the same thing. He has already asked him what the hours were. He has the time sheets. They are in, identified.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The Witness: I did answer that once before.

Presiding Inspector: I don't remember it, so I would like to have it again.

A. (Continuing): My working—I was working from 8:00 o'clock in the morning until 10:00; then I knocked off fifteen minutes for coffee from 10:00 to 10:15; 10:15 to ten minutes of twelve; and from ten minutes to twelve to 1:00 o'clock was dinner hour; and 1:00 o'clock until 3:00 o'clock, then knocked off again for coffee from three to three fifteen; then went back to work; you go back to work from 3:15 to, oh, about ten minutes to five; and you do that on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. Then Saturday you go to work at 8:00 o'clock in the morning until 10:00, and from 10:00 to 10:15 is coffee time; then you go back to work until ten minutes of twelve.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Cannalonga, when did you make out your overtime sheet for Wednesday, May the 7th?

A. Where?

Q. When? [6714]

A. When? That morning.

Q. When that morning?

A. Oh, when I got up at 6:00.

Q. Are you sure of that? A. Yes.

Q. Are you aware of the fact that the overtime sheet shows overtime being done after 6:00 o'clock that morning?

A. Yes, I know that. They started working cargo from 7:00 to 8:00.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Was it your practice to make out your overtime sheet on the same day that the overtime work was done? A. Yes.

Presiding Inspector: I don't quite understand that. Before you did the work?

The Witness: Oh, yes. You see—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): You made it out in the morning because you had orders for overtime?

The Witness: No, your Honor. You see, the way they work it, you see, before 8:00 o'clock or after 5:00 o'clock is overtime; and the supercargo, he knows that time they are going to start the winches the next day, you see, that night when they knock off, and he will leave word to the night mate to tell the electrician or he will tell the electrician himself "Well, we are going to start working at 7:00 o'clock in [6715] the morning".

Presiding Inspector: So you have practically orders in the morning for the rest of the day?

The Witness: Oh, yes.

Presiding Inspector: And that's what you put in on your overtime sheet?

The Witness: That one hour from seven to eight.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When was this overtime sheet approved, the one that we have for identification?

A. Oh, that was—you see, let's see. May I have that sheet a moment? And I'll tell you.

Q. While you are looking at it I am going to

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

change the question. When was your overtime for Wednesday, May 7th, approved and by whom?

A. By the Chief Engineer.

Q. When? A. On the ship.

Q. When? A. Oh, I suppose a couple of days later.

Q. What is your recollection?

A. I don't know; you see, I had already left the ship.

Q. Weren't you there when it was approved?

A. No.

Q. How did you get it to the Chief Engineer?

A. Well, these slips were left on my desk at all times. [6716] You see, this was my own copies.

Q. What was your practice so far as getting the approval of someone for these overtime sheets?

A. Well, as far as the cargo overtime was concerned, that automatically is made out because so long as those winches are turning over it is overtime.

Q. You mean you are given credit for it even though you don't show it on your overtime sheet?

A. Oh, yes. Correct.

Q. What about the other overtime? Are you given credit for it only if it is shown on your overtime sheet?

A. Yes. You show it on your overtime sheet and then the Chief O.K.'s it.

Q. Don't you have to hand the sheet to the Chief so that he can O.K. it? A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. How does he know what overtime you are claiming?

A. How does he know? You tell him.

Q. How do you tell him?

A. And as a rule——

Q. (Interposing): Orally or in writing?

A. Orally. As a rule you tell him "I have been working on such and such a job" and he knows the job was done, and so there you are.

Q. How many copies of the overtime sheet do you make? [6717]

A. One copy.

Q. Is this copy that you have brought here the only copy you made of your overtime?

A. I didn't bring this copy here.

Q. Is that copy the only one that you made of your overtime sheet?

A. No. I had a little book aboard ship, too.

Q. Is that the only copy in that form?

A. In that form, yes.

Q. Do you know how the Chief Engineer or anyone else got this copy?

A. I don't. Yes, I do. Wait a minute! I do. Before I left the ship I talked to the First Assistant and——

Q. (Interposing): The First Assistant what?

A. Engineer.

Q. Yes.

A. When I say, "First Assistant" I mean First Assistant Engineer. That is all there is on the ship, one First Assistant. And I had seen him about

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

three o'clock in the morning just after I had finished repairing this winch on the—no. I seen him at six when the Mate called me. That's right. And I told him that I was going to leave and that everything was O.K. on the ship, there was nothing broke down, that everything was in running order. And I mentioned I had left all my stuff in the room and I asked him if he'd take care of it when [6718] the ship got out to sea, and he said he would, and that my overtime sheets were laying on the desk.

Q. Did he object to your leaving the ship?

A. No.

Q. Was there an electrician on the ship, or arrangements to come to the ship, when you left?

A. No.

Q. Did he state that he needed an electrician on the ship and, therefore, you shouldn't leave unless there were one there?

A. No.

Q. Did he make any objection at all on your leaving the ship?

A. No. He said he was sorry as hell to see me go.

Q. Did you tell him why you were leaving the ship?

A. I didn't have to; he had an idea himself.

Q. Did you tell him?

Presiding Inspector: He said "No."

A. No.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you know how he got an idea? What

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

makes you say he had an idea as to why you were leaving?

A. He seemed to feel that people were watching me, and that my life was in danger. Yes, he did.

Q. What gave you that impression?

A. I don't know what gave him that impression.

Q. What gave you the impression that he felt that way? [6719] A. He told me.

Q. He told you before you left your life was in danger? A. Yes.

Q. Did he tell you what he based that on?

A. No.

Q. How many people did you talk to on the ship about leaving the ship before you left?

A. Just him.

Q. Did you talk to the other Mate that woke you up about leaving the ship? A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: We didn't object to changing counsel, but they are going over the same thing that the other counsel did, but in a little different form.

Presiding Inspector: No, I think this is different.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You didn't mention to the Mate that you were going to leave this ship? A. No.

Presiding Inspector: That relates to the psychology of this man, his reaction.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. With whom did you have this conversation about leaving your gear on the ship? [6720]

A. The First Assistant.

Q. What time was it, about six o'clock.

A. About that time.

Q. What did he say to you about leaving your gear? A. He said he would look after it.

Q. Did you tell him when you were going to leave the ship?

A. I told him I was going to leave that morning.

Q. Did you tell him what time that morning?

A. No.

Q. What were the plans of the ship for that day—was it to remain where it was, or was it to move?

A. I don't know. I believe it was to remain there for a while until noon.

Q. And then where was it to move to?

A. I don't know as to that. You see, when they are alongside docks you don't know that far ahead of time. Sometimes they will say they will be two days at one dock and be there a couple of hours and then move. The ship was supposed to have gone to a dozen different places and being that we had to go into dry dock that ruined the whole schedule of the different docks. We were supposed to go to Terminal 4 and Terminal 1 and shift around to different places. And what they did do, they made



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

one or two shifts and then just stayed in one dock. So I don't know whether she left at noon [6721] or where she went at noon.

Q. Did you take any money with you when you left this ship?

A. Yes; the money that I had on me.

Q. How much money?

A. Oh, about \$200—almost \$300.

Q. You had that much money with you when you left the ship?

A. Oh, yes. We just got paid off a couple of days before I left the ship. That goes on the ship's articles.

Q. Was this \$200 or \$300 mainly money you had received as wages for work on the ship?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall approximately how much you got paid on that last payday?

A. Oh, about \$235.00.

Q. For how long a period?

A. Oh, let's see—about 15 days.

Q. Did you read any newspaper before you left the ship?

A. No.

Q. Did you know that a Mr. Shoemaker had testified on Tuesday the day before you left the ship, in this hearing?

A. No.

Q. Did you know that someone had testified in this hearing on Tuesday to the effect that you had given certain [6722] statements in a lawyer's office concerning this case?

A. No.

Q. You are sure of that?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I am positive of that.

Q. Did you read any newspaper on Tuesday night?

A. No; just this article that the Sailors had seen and mentioned to me in regards to this subpoena.

Q. Did that article mention who had testified that day in the Bridges hearing? A. No.

Q. Did you listen to any news broadcast on Tuesday night, or Wednesday morning concerning the Bridges case? A. No.

Q. Did you talk to any man on the ship, or anyone else, on Tuesday night, or before you left the ship, as to what had taken place on Tuesday in the Bridges hearing? A. No.

Q. Did you talk to any representative of the Government, or anyone who claimed to have any connection with the Government, before you left the ship? A. No.

Q. What kind of a bus was this you got on in Vancouver?

A. It was not in Vancouver. It was just outside of Vancouver.

Q. What kind of a bus was it? [6723]

A. Greyhound.

Q. Pardon? A. Greyhound.

Q. How far did you go on that bus?

A. South Tacoma.

Q. How did you happen to get out in South Tacoma—why did you get off there?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. So I couldn't be traced. I got off at South Tacoma and got in a cab and rode to Seattle in a cab.

Q. How far is it from South Tacoma to Seattle?

A. It is \$7.00 fare.

Q. \$7.00 fare? A. Flat rate.

Q. Why did you think it would be more difficult to follow you in a taxicab than a bus?

A. Well, you see, South Tacoma, that is about a couple of miles before you go into Tacoma. I felt maybe at that bus station somebody would recognize me, and this way I just pushed the button and the bus stopped at this corner, and I just got off the bus.

Q. Did you tell this First Engineer where you were going? A. No.

Q. Did you tell him how you were going?

A. No.

Q. Did you have any discussion with the First Engineer [6724] as to what you were going to do after you left the ship? A. No.

Q. When you left the ship did you see any car full of men?

A. There was quite a few cars out there at the time.

Q. Full of men?

A. Well, yes, there was—I believe it was longshoremen coming to work, or something like that, at the time. I don't just recall. In fact, I didn't notice. I was just packing this little electric motor.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

so I wouldn't be—I don't know how you say that—I didn't notice how many cars were out there.

Q. What time did you leave the ship?

A. About 7:30.

Q. Can you estimate what time you caught the bus?

A. I caught the bus on the outside of Vancouver about 8:30.

Q. What time did you call Mowery?

Mr. Myron: We have gone all over this. Mr. Gladstein went into it and now Mr. Grossman wants to go through it.

Presiding Inspector: He has given that conversation.

Mr. Grossman: Just a moment.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What time did you start work that morning?

A. What time did I start work?

Mr. Myron: What morning? [6725]

Mr. Grossman: Wednesday morning.

A. Seven o'clock.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What kind of work were you doing?

A. Nothing in particular.

Presiding Inspector: Standing by?

The Witness: Yes, sir.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you work only to 7:30?

A. I don't recall just how long I was on the

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

ship; between 7:00 and 7:30 I believe—somewhere in there.

Presiding Inspector: I think we had better recess now until 2:00 o'clock.

(Whereupon, at 12:33 P.M. a recess was taken to 2:00 P.M. of the same day.) [6726]

---

After Recess

2:00 O'clock P.M.

(Remarks outside the record.)

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Grossman.

### MAURICE J. CANNALONGA

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:

Cross Examination.

(Continued)

Mr. Grossman: May I have the last question and answer, please?

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. On this Wednesday morning when you left the ship what did you do after you arrived in Seattle?

A. I went out to the house and took a bath.

Q. Who lives at that house?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Mr. E. G. Emel.

The Reporter: Spell "Emel" please.

The Witness: E-m-e-l.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Is he any relation to you? A. No.

Q. Does anyone else live there?

A. His wife and son. [6727]

Q. Do you consider that your home?

A. I do.

Q. Is that the address you give when you give your address? A. It is.

Q. How long were you there?

A. How long was I there?

Q. In that house.

A. Oh, long enough to take a bath, and he was home and I asked him to come back down to Portland and pick up my clothes.

Q. Do you remember what kind of a cab it was you took from South Tacoma?

A. I don't.

Q. Do you remember the color?

A. No. I remember it was a new Buick.

Q. Do you remember about what time you arrived at this house in Seattle?

A. Oh, it was in the afternoon sometime.

Q. Early or late?

A. Oh, about the middle of the afternoon.

Q. Did you have to wait for this bus that you picked up at Vancouver?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I believe I did. About five minutes, or so; five or ten minutes.

Q. What is the address of this house in Seattle? [6728] A. 3003 East 115th.

Q. 3003 East 115th? A. East 115th.

Q. Street? A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. How do you spell the name of the people who live there? A. Emel; E-m-e-l.

Q. Did you say something about Mr. Emel picking up some clothes? A. Yes.

Q. What was that you said about the clothes?

A. Well, I asked him if he would come down to Portland and pick up my clothes and gear that was on the ship.

Q. Did he agree to do so? A. Yes.

Q. Did you tell him the circumstances under which you left the ship? A. No.

Q. Did you tell anyone in that house the circumstances under which you left the ship?

A. No.

Q. Did you discuss with anyone in that house the question of your possibly being a witness again in this case? [6729] A. No.

Q. Or the question of the subpoena?

A. No.

Q. Did they discuss that question with you?

A. No.

Q. When did you leave the house?

A. I think I left after supper.

Q. Are you sure of that?

A. I believe I am.

Q. You mean you ate supper there?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Yes.

Q. What time of day did you leave?

A. Well, ordinarily they generally have supper around 6:00.

Q. Did you leave right after supper?

A. I believe I did.

Q. Where did you have lunch on that day, if you had any?

A. Where did I have lunch? In Centralia.

Q. Do you remember where in Centralia?

A. Yes, where the bus stops.

Q. How long did the bus stop in Centralia?

A. Twenty minutes. [6730]

Q. What did you do after you left this house?

A. Well, I rode with him to, I believe it was, Olympia, and he proceeded on to Portland and picked up my stuff, and I met him again and, then, I got into my car.

Q. Now, wait, not so fast. You waited at Olympia until he came back? A. Yes, sir.

Q. How long did it take him to get back?

A. Oh, I don't recall the exact time.

Q. Where did you wait in Olympia?

A. In—oh, I walked around town and, I think, I took in a movie.

Q. Well, did you have an appointment to meet him when he came back? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Where and when were you to meet him?

A. Well, we had figured—let's see—I believe it took him about four hours. We had figured something like that.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. You mean four hours from Olympia to Portland and back?

A. Yes, four or five hours; I am not quite sure.

Q. How long does it take to drive from Seattle to Olympia; rather, how long did it take you that night?

A. Oh, about two hours.

Q. Now, did you have an appointment to meet him when he came back and where and when would it be? [6731]

A. I don't know what you mean by "appointment."

Q. Well, how was he to find you when he came back to Olympia?

A. I told him I would meet him on such and such a corner.

Q. At what time?

A. Like I told you, we had figured approximately it would take him that long barring accidents and so forth.

Q. Did he come back about the time you expected?

A. No—wait a minute now—let's see. No, we didn't leave right after supper; pardon me. I believe I went to bed; that is it, I went to bed right after supper and we left about eleven or twelve o'clock at night.

Q. At night?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. How could you have gone to a show in Olympia, then?

A. Well, it is just coming to me now; I am trying to tell you as close as possible how we left

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

and when we left. I went to the show in Seattle with him, that is it. Now, we get the show straightened out. After supper we went to a show; then, after the show we left for Portland.

Q. You didn't go to bed in Seattle, then, before you left? A. No; I laid down for a while.

Q. Let's get this straight. After supper you went to a [6732] show in Seattle?

A. Yes, we went to a show about eight o'clock.

Q. What show did you see? A. Oh, I—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Just suspend a moment.

(Discussion outside the record.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What picture did you see, Mr. Cannalunga?

A. I went to the Arabian Theater. I don't recall what the picture was. [6733]

Q. You can't remember anything about the picture? A. No, not off-hand.

Q. Do you recall about what time this Mr. Emel came back to Olympia to pick you up?

A. Well, instead of asking me all these questions, if you let me tell the story as I can recall it—you are asking me a question one minute and you get me all confused. If you will let me tell the story from the time I left the ship and I went home and had my gear picked up, and so forth, I can do it. It would be a lot better for you.

Presiding Inspector: Do the best you can in answer to Mr. Grossman's questions.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. See if you can tell the same story in reply to specific questions. That is what I am concerned with. The next now is, What time did he pick you up again in Olympia? I am referring to Mr. Emel.

A. Yes, I know you are referring to Emel. You see, I made two trips. There was two trips made and on the first trip—no, on the first trip I rode to Portland with him when the clothes were picked up. Then we went back to Seattle.

Q. You say you rode to Portland?

A. Yes.

Q. That is a little different. [6734]

A. Well, if you want me to tell you the story—

Mr. Grossman: Just a minute!

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute!

Mr. Grossman: We'll get there, Mr. Del Guercio, in my way.

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, the witness hasn't finished with his answer and there is nothing different about it at all.

Mr. Grossman: The record will speak for itself whether it is or it isn't.

Presiding Inspector: The record will show. He was explaining how he might do it. But, of course, Mr. Grossman may proceed and ask him particular questions.

Mr. Grossman: He said there were two trips

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

to Olympia. Now, I am going to make sure that it is clear, that he didn't go to Olympia and stop.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When you left Seattle what is your best judgment as to the time that you left with Mr. Emel?

A. Well, I left Seattle at—after the show. It was about—about midnight, and I drove with him. We went right directly to Portland, and—

Q. (Interposing) Do you remember what time you got to Portland?

A. No. I was asleep in the car.

Q. Did you wake up at all while you were in Portland? [6735]

A. Yes. But I don't know what time it was. It was early morning. Dawn was breaking.

Q. And do you know whether he went to the ship to pick up your clothes?

A. Yes. He went aboard ship and got my clothes.

Q. How do you know that?

A. Because on the way leaving Portland all my gear was in the car.

Q. Did he tell you he had been to the ship?

A. Yes.

Q. What is his first name? A. Ernest.

Q. Ernest? A. Uh-huh (Affirmative.)

Q. Did he tell you whether he had had any conversation with anyone on the ship?

A. No, he didn't.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. All right. Then can you—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) You mean he didn't have a conversation or he didn't tell you?

The Witness: He didn't tell me. You see, I had given him—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Yes, that is all right.

By Mr. Grossman: [6736]

Q. When did you tell Mr. Emel where to go and what to pick up?

A. Well, when I got home I told him that my clothes and stuff was on the ship, that I would like to have him go down and pick it, and he suggested "Well, you might as well come along". And so then I suggested to him that we go to a movie first and that it was better traveling at night than it was day time; no traffic on the highways.

Q. Did Mr. Emel ask you how it was that you had left the ship, just left the ship and you wanted him to go back and pick up your clothes?

A. No. You see, it's quite common for him, whenever I get off the ship,—for him to come down to the ship and get my gear.

Q. Why is that common?

A. Well, he has an automobile and it is easier to go right down to the ship to pick up your clothes than it is to pack it to a bus station.

Q. Has he ever gone down from Portland to Seattle for you to pick up your gear?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. He did. When I got off the Clevedon the first trip he came down. I called him up, asked him to come down and pick up my gear.

Q. Did he pick up you also that other time?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it his car that you were driving in? [6737]

A. Yes.

Q. What kind of a car is that?

A. It's a DeSoto.

Q. What year? A. '39.

Q. Sedan? A. Coupe. Business coupe.

Q. All right. When you got down to Portland do you know where he stopped the car to get out and get the gear?

A. Yes. In front of the dock.

Q. Were you awake at that time?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you tell him how to find the ship?

A. No. The ship was—you can see the ship from the dock where you park the car, and I had given him a note to the First Assistant.

Q. What did the note say?

A. Just telling him to give Mr. Emel my clothes and radio and all such property that I had on there, my tools and stuff.

Q. When did you write that note?

A. Just before he went aboard the ship.

Q. Was there anyone else in the car at any time between Seattle and Portland?

A. No. There was a dog in there. [6738]

Q. Did you stop to eat on the way?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. I believe we stopped in Olympia, for a cup of coffee.

Q. All right. After you got the gear what did you do?

A. Come back to Seattle and got into my car and left.

Q. You drove directly back to Seattle?

A. Yes.

Q. You didn't stop in Olympia? A. No.

Q. Did you ever stop in Olympia and go to a show? A. Yes. When I had my own car.

Q. All right. You drove directly back to Seattle, you say, and got your car? A. Yes.

Q. Where was your car? A. At home.

Q. Why didn't you go down to Portland in your own car? Why did you have Mr. Emel take you down?

Mr. Del Guercio: It doesn't make any difference as to why he didn't, if your Honor please. He has testified that he went down to Seattle in somebody else's car. He went back to Seattle. Now, he is asking him why he didn't go down in his own car.

The Witness: I will give him the answer.

Presiding Inspector: I think we may have the reason. [6739]

Mr. Del Guercio: It is a waste of time, if your Honor please.

A. For the reason that my car is an old model and in pretty bad shape. It is just about wore out, and his car was in better condition, mechan-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

ically. He just had an overhaul job done to it and a lot faster than mine and a lot more comfortable. [6740]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Why did you take Mr. Emel down with you, to Portland to get your stuff?

A. Well, like I say it is his car; he drives his own car. I am not going to grab his car off and drive it.

Q. And as soon as you got into Seattle after this trip to Portland, did you immediately get into your car and leave town? A. I did.

Q. You didn't stop anywhere at all?

A. I stopped in Olympia. I believe I stopped on a highway and had some gas put in.

Q. Why didn't you go aboard ship to get your own gear? A. So I wouldn't be seen.

Q. Why didn't you want to be seen?

A. That is the reason why I left the ship, for the same reason. It seems like they still had some fellows who were watching that ship.

Q. What do you mean "it seems like?"

A. I don't know; I didn't look.

Q. Well, then, why does it seem like that to you?

A. Well, I felt that if they would watch the ship the night before they would still be watching the ship.

Q. After you left?

A. After I left. [6741]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Between the time that you left Portland, or, rather, the time that you caught the bus in Vancouver and the time that you left Seattle in your own car did you meet anyone except the Emel family? A. No, I didn't.

Q. After leaving Seattle in your own car where did you go?

A. I went down to Olympia and stopped off and went to a show.

Q. What time would this be that you arrived at Olympia?

A. Oh, I don't recall the exact time.

Q. What day was it? A. What day?

Q. Yes. A. Oh, on a Thursday.

Q. Morning or afternoon?

A. In the afternoon.

Q. Early or late?

A. Oh, about the middle of the afternoon.

Q. What did you do after you arrived in Olympia?

A. I just told you I went to a show.

Q. Went to a show. Do anything else there?

A. No.

Q. Then, what did you do?

A. I just told you I went to a show. [6742]

Q. Went to a show. Did you do anything else there? A. No.

Q. Then, what did you do?

A. Then, I cut across.

Q. You mean you left Olympia—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. (Interposing) After I left Olympia I headed for——

Q. (Interposing) Just one moment.

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, the question is asked, the witness is replying and counsel won't give him a chance to answer it. He interjects other questions making it confusing not only to the witness but to everybody else.

Presiding Inspector: Let's hear the question and answer.

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Mr. Grossman: I have a right to stop with leaving Olympia. I don't have to get the rest of the tour just because Mr. Del Guercio wants the witness——

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) He has no right to stop the witness.

Mr. Grossman: I have a right to stop the witness.

Presiding Inspector: He has the right to stop at the end of a responsive answer.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Before you left Olympia this time—I am referring to now when you were driving your own car—withdraw that. [6743] Between the time that you left the ship and the time that you left Olympia driving your own car did you read any newspapers? A. No.

Q. Did you hear any news broadcasts?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. No.

Q. Did you find out from anyone what had happened in the Bridges' deportation case or what had happened with respect to your subpoena?

A. No.

Q. Were you interested in the question of whether there was a subpoena out for you and when they were going to serve it?

A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: He has answered it. I will let it stand.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. After leaving Olympia what did you do?

A. I went to Aberdeen, then, from Aberdeen to Hoquiam.

Q. Did you stop in Aberdeen?

A. I believe I stopped in Aberdeen for a cup of coffee.

Q. About when did you arrive in Aberdeen?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Was it dark?

A. I believe it was. [6744]

Q. How far is it from Olympia to Aberdeen?

A. Oh, about 60, 70 miles.

Q. What did you do after you left Aberdeen?

A. Then, I headed for Hoquiam, then, up towards the Olympic peninsula.

Q. Then, what did you do?

A. Then, I went up in the mountains.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you have any long stops or any stops of more than an hour between leaving Aberdeen and arriving in the mountains? A. No.

Q. What was the first stop you made in the mountains?

The Witness: Do I have to tell him where I went in the mountains?

Presiding Inspector: I should think so.

The Witness: Your Honor, I figure on going back up there again.

Presiding Inspector: That won't hurt you.

Mr. Del Guercio: What?

Presiding Inspector: He says he figures on going back up there again. I say it won't hurt him.

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, no——

Mr. Grossman: (Interposing) Except, if there is another subpoena out for him we want to know where he is.

Presiding Inspector: Another subpoena? [6745]

Mr. Grossman: I say if there is one we want to know where he is going in the mountains. Otherwise, we won't——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I don't understand that.

Mr. Del Guercio: I don't believe we should keep witnesses available here.

Presiding Inspector: He is here.

Mr. Grossman: He is here after dodging a subpoena. We want to know where he was; we have a right to know where he was.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

The Witness: What do you mean I dodged a subpoena?

Presiding Inspector: Just answer the question.

Mr. Myron: It is a charge that is unfounded. Counsel said he was dodging a subpoena.

Mr. Grossman: We will let the record speak for itself.

Mr. Myron: He didn't know there was any subpoena, according to his testimony.

Mr. Grossman: That isn't the way I understand it.

Presiding Inspector: You have heard his testimony. No use discussing it now.

Mr. Gladstein: Your Honor, regardless of the question of a future subpoena we do have a right, in view of the direct examination which traced his trip, or which brought out the fact that he had been in the mountains during this period of time, to test him on cross examination. [6746]

Presiding Inspector: Yes, I think you have that right on cross examination it having been brought out on direct. I will take it.

Mr. Del Guercio: If it were only for the purpose of cross examination we would make no objection. Our objection is not directed to that. Our objection is directed to the protection of the witness.

Presiding Inspector: How can it protect the witness not to—

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, the witness has testified that he expects to return to this—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Suppose he does?

Mr. Del Guercio: He doesn't want to be bothered by either Mr. Gladstein or the Communist Party or anybody else.

Mrs. King: Or the FBI.

Presiding Inspector: I think we will take this testimony. It is in relation to what you brought out on direct. You showed he went up in the mountains and stayed there. There were subpoenas out at some time for him and they weren't served until later. Now, we will find out what there was about that in view of your examination in chief.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Where did you stop first in the mountains?

A. Up in the Quinalt district.

The Reporter: Spell it, please.

The Witness: Q-u-i-n-a-l-t. [6747]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. And where in that district?

A. Well, that is hard to say because the mountain is just covered with hundreds of trails.

Q. Well, suppose you describe it to me as if you were telling me how to get there?

A. I wouldn't be able to describe it to you.

Q. Well, do the best you can. Start from the last city or town you went through and tell me how you get to where you got for your first stop?

A. Well, all I can say, if you get to Quinalt

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

there is a road leading up the mountainside and there is no name on the road. You have to be out there; you have to get a guide if you don't know that country; you have to get a guide to take you there, and I couldn't off-hand say the name of a place. There is no names to any of those trails; it is all trails that was built by the CCC and, you see, the average person doesn't go up there in that section because it is a Government reservation, it is a national forest, and about the only ones that have any business up there would be forest rangers on the lookout for fires, and so I couldn't possibly explain just how to get up there. All I know, I can take you up there in a car and show you where I went.

Q. Did you go to a place that has a mailing address? [6748] A. No.

Q. Did you go to a cabin or a house or hotel of any kind?

A. No. You see, up in—they have shelters strung all through that Olympic forest, the national forest that the CCC have put up and you can—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): Shelters for trampers?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Tourist trampers?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Excursions, pleasure seekers?

The Witness: No; it is in case somebody gets

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

lost in the woods. It is a log cabin, three-sided log cabins that the CC boys have put up there.

Presiding Inspector: Fireplace on the open side?

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Well, I think we know about that sort of thing.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. And you stayed at one of those shelters?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you stay in one of those shelters every night that you were up in the mountains?

A. Oh, no; I slept out quite a few nights. [6749]

Presiding Inspector: Are there provisions in some of these shelters?

The Witness: No, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: You bring your own provisions?

The Witness: I had all my own provisions.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Had you ever been up there before in that district?

A. Oh, yes. See, I have got a place out in Hood Canal; that is just the other side of the mountains in the same section. Behind Brannon is the Olympic Mountains.

Presiding Inspector: Was that the name of the mountain or is that the name of the village?

The Witness: It is the name of a village. [6750]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you go to your own place at all when you



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

were up in the mountains on this occasion?

A. No.

Q. During the entire time until you came down from the mountains on last Thursday or last Wednesday—I mean, Thursday or Wednesday of last week—were you in that district the entire time?

A. Yes, the Olympic mountain district.

Q. I mean the smaller district than the Olympic mountain district.

A. What?

Q. That smaller district that you named.

A. The Quinalt.

Q. Yes. Were you in that district the entire time?

A. That's where I turned in. It's the Olympic National Forest, yes.

Q. You were in that district the entire time until you came down from the mountains until Wednesday or Thursday of last week?

A. Oh, no, no; not the entire time. I made a trip to Port Angelus.

Q. When did you make your trip to Port Angeles?

A. I don't recall what day it was.

Q. What did you do in Port Angeles? [6751]

A. I took a run into Port Angeles and picked up some more grub.

Q. Did you get any newspapers?

A. No.

Q. Did you have a radio with you?

A. Yes, I had my radio.

Q. Did you take any other trips out of that district?

A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Can you estimate when it was that you went to Port Angeles? . . . A. No, I couldn't.

Q. Well, could you tell me whether it was last week? A. Yeah, last week sometime.

Q. It was last week sometime? A. Yes.

Q. Well, if you came out of the mountains Wednesday night or Wednesday P.M. can't you give us an idea of when it was that you were in Port Angeles?

A. I think I was there the Monday preceding the Wednesday I come out.

Presiding Inspector: You mean two days before?

The Witness: Yes, about two days before.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you see anyone in Port Angeles? [6752]

A. No.

Q. What did you do in Port Angeles, except buy grub? A. That's all.

Q. Did you go to any show in Port Angeles?

A. No.

Q. During the entire time that you were in the mountains did you listen in on any news broadcasts concerning what happened in the Bridges case or concerning the subpoena for your appearance?

A. No.

Q. Did you talk to anyone up to the time that you came out of the mountains back to Seattle concerning the Bridges hearing or a subpoena for your presence at the Bridges hearing? A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did you take up to the mountains with you enough food to last you until the time that you went to Port Angeles? A. Yes.

Q. And when you went to Port Angeles how many days' supply of food did you get?

A. Oh, I got about three days' supply.

Q. Did you run out of food before you went to Port Angeles? A. No, I didn't run out.

Q. Did you have enough food to last you for three days? [6753]

A. No, I was getting low on some of the staples.

Q. Where did you get your food supplies for the mountains in the first place before you went up there the first time?

A. I picked them up just before I went up in the mountains?

Q. Where? A. In a store.

Q. Where? A. In Aberdeen.

Q. Do you know the name of the store?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Why did you come out of the mountains to Seattle when you did?

A. For the simple season that, you see, I've—I had to take a physical examination for the Draft, and so I got figuring, well, I have been now a couple of weeks without reporting to the Draft Board; that it is time to go in and report; otherwise it will be pretty serious. I think it is a year in jail you get if you don't report at the right time to the Draft Board.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. What did you understand to be the rules of the law governing the reporting to the Draft Board when you came out of the mountains?

A. I don't know what the rules was. [6754]

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute! I object to that.

Mr. Grossman: I am not trying to prove what they were. I am trying to show his understanding.

Presiding Inspector: He has already testified as to that.

Mr. Grossman: No, not as to when and how often.

Presiding Inspector: He said if you didn't report pretty soon that there would be a penalty. I don't think it is very material.

Mr. Grossman: I do, if that is the reason he came out. He stated it is.

Presiding Inspector: I don't see any materiality in it.

Mr. Grossman: I think I ought to be permitted to pursue it.

Presiding Inspector: If you really want it—

Mr. Grossman (Interposing): Yes, I want it.

Presiding Inspector (Continuing): —I will let you have it, but I think it is losing time here.

What were your ideas then?

The Witness: My ideas was, you see, your Honor, it goes back to when I was on the Clevdon and I reported to the Draft Board just before the ship sailed and I got a notice to fill out my first form in New Bedford, Mass., and I filled it out then. And then in Los Angeles I got a notice from them

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

to go [6755] and see a doctor in Portland while the ship was down in Los Angeles. So I couldn't see no doctor in Portland. We had another week to go before. And then when I went back to Seattle from the trial here I reported again to them and they had set a tentative date for a physical examination two weeks ahead of time, and then I shipped on the West Cussetta, and the day I was supposed to see the doctor I was on the ship in Tacoma, out of the city. And so I had figured, well, I was supposed to see the doctor in Seattle and they generally give you about a month, is the way I figured it, before you could see him again because I wasn't in the city that they notified me. So when I got up in the mountains I got thinking about it and figured, well, if I don't come in pretty soon to the Draft Board that they are going to have me arrested like they do any Draft evader. I have read articles off and on, different fellows being caught evading the Draft and getting a year's jail sentence. And that's the reason why I came in. I didn't want to go to no jail for a year.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. And when you were in the mountains did you write any letters to anybody? A. No.

Q. From the time that you left the ship in Portland did you write any letters to anybody?

A. No.

Q. Was there anyone up there in the mountains with you? [6756] A. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Was there anyone living anywhere near you?

A. No.

Q. When you came back to Seattle what was the first thing you did?

A. The first thing I did, I took a bath.

Q. Go ahead. What was next?

A. Well, that's the first thing I did.

Q. What was the next thing you did?

A. The next thing I did was, I went down to the Naval Recruiting Station and I had known for quite a long time that they had been advertising, oh, a month ago over the radio for electricians. There was a heavy shortage of electricians in the Navy. So I had planned on going into the Navy over a year ago, and so I figured, well, instead of doing a year with the Army on dry land that I would just as soon put in four years on a boat. So I went down to the Naval Recruiting Station and asked them, If I join up the Navy does that exempt them from the Army Draft? And they says "Yes".

Q. All right. Now, up to the time that you went into this Recruiting Station did you know anything that had happened in the Bridges case since you left the ship in Portland? A. No.

Q. Did you know anything about what had been done in connection with serving a subpoena or attempting to serve a subpoena on you? [6757]

A. No.

Q. Weren't you interested in the question of this subpoena that you understood was out for you?

A. No, I wasn't.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. After you left this Navy Recruiting Station did you go to this place on 115th Street?

A. No.

Q. What did you do?

A. After I left the Naval Recruiting Station I went and visited some people in the Fremont District, West 39th Street.

Q. What was the name of those people?

A. Whitecomb.

Q. What is the first name? A. Ralph.

Q. Who were the other people?

A. Well, that's the——

Q. (Interposing): You mean Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Whitcomb? A. Yes, his home.

Q. That is what you meant by "people"? Mr. and Mrs.? A. Yes.

Q. And after that what did you do?

A. I had a little lunch there and then I went home.

Q. Did you remain around 115th Street for a while; I mean, around this home there?

A. Well, that was about—I got home about 4:00 [6758] o'clock and I just got in the house and I had a cup of coffee, and just as I started to drink this coffee in comes Mr. Madala of the FBI.

Q. What did he say to you?

A. He says—he mentioned that they were looking for me, and that the Immigration was looking for me to serve a subpoena. That was about 4:30.

Q. Did he explain why he had come to see you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

when he didn't have the subpoena to serve on you?  
Did he explain what his interest in you was?

A. Yes. He explained that he wanted to see me and he mentioned—asked what I left the ship for and why I was hiding out? And so I told him.

Q. What did you tell him?

A. Just what I have told you.

Q. You didn't tell him anything else?

A. And then he said he thought that there should be somebody with me as protection, and if it was all right if he'd stay with me. And I says "Yes". And so supper was about ready to be served and I invited him to have supper.

Q. Why did he say someone ought to stay with you?

Mr. Myron: Why did he?

Mr. Grossman: Yes.

A. Because he felt—

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): Now, if the Court please, [6759] he is asking the witness here why Mr. Madala—

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. (Interposing): Did he state why?

Presiding Inspector: He amended the question.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did he state why someone ought to stay with you?

A. Yes. He stated that he felt that I needed some protection.

Q. Against what? A. Against what?

Mr. Del Guercio: (Inaudible)

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Del Guercio has told you.

A. What did he say?

Presiding Inspector: Never mind.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Against what? A. Against what?

Q. Yes. A. Against bodily harm.

Q. Against whom? Protection from whom or against whom? A. Well, he didn't state.

Q. He didn't state? A. No. [6760]

Q. Did you ask him anything about the Bridges hearing?

A. I believe I did. I asked him, "How's the Bridges hearing was coming?" And I believe he mentioned in connection with me, he asked me why I ran away and— (Pause).

Q. What else did he say about the Bridges hearing?

A. That's all that I remember that he stated.

Q. Did you admit that you had run away from the ship? Did you deny it, admit it or say nothing about it? When he asked you why you ran away from the ship did you reply to that?

A. I don't get that.

Q. When he asked you why you ran away from the ship what was your reply?

A. I told him that there had been a carload of fellows parked across the dock.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. When he mentioned the subpoena what did you say?

A. I says "Well, that's fine." I says "They can serve it any time they want to".

Q. Did he tell you who had asked for the subpoena? A. What?

Q. Did he tell you who had asked for the subpoena?

A. I don't believe he mentioned who had asked.

Q. Did he tell you that there was testimony in the Bridges hearing concerning statements made by you to Mr. Craycraft? [6761]

A. I believe he did mention that.

Q. Did he tell you what Mr. Craycraft had testified to? A. No.

Q. Didn't you ask him?

A. No, I don't think I did.

Q. Did he tell you that a Mr. Shoemaker, a Court Reporter, had testified concerning a statement given in the office of Mr. Lord?

A. I believe he did say that.

Q. Did you ask him what was in that statement?

A. Yes. I think I asked him what was in it, and he mentioned the Mann Act. And I looked at him and I says "Christ! they're crazy, aren't they?"

Q. Did he tell you that Mr. Craycraft testified that you had admitted testifying falsely in the Bridges case?

A. No, I don't think he did.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. You would remember it if he had, wouldn't you?

A. I might have, yes.

Q. Wouldn't you remember if Mr. Craycraft—if you had been told that Mr. Craycraft had testified that you had admitted testifying falsely in this case, wouldn't you remember a thing like that?

A. I believe I would remember. No; he just gave a [6762] brief outline of this testimony of these witnesses and asked me if it was true. And it's like I just told you. I thought it wasn't.

Q. Did he say what his plans were for you, if any?

A. No. He asked me if it was all right if he and another agent would be with me at all times, and I says "Yeah, it is O.K."

Q. Will you state all that you can remember that you told Mr. Madala after he had told you what the testimony of Mr. Craycraft and Mr. Shoemaker had been?

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please, I will object to that.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. I want all your reply after he had informed you what Craycraft and Shoemaker had testified to.

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that as already having been asked and answered.

Mr. Grossman: I don't think I have all of it, your Honor.

Mr. Del Guercio: It is immaterial in any event.

Presiding Inspector: I think I will take it, al-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

though I don't know that you ought to have the whole conversation.

Mr. Grossman: All dealing with this subject.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

A. I don't think he specified Mr. Craycraft or [6763] Shoemaker.

Presiding Inspector: I don't recall this having been covered.

Mr. Del Guercio: Sir?

Presiding Inspector: I don't recall this having been covered.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When I say "Shoemaker" I am referring to testimony—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): Was this covered, Mr. Grossman?

Mr. Grossman: No, it wasn't.

Mr. Del Guercio: Gladstein covered it.

Mr. Grossman: No. Just a moment. The subject generally was gone over. I am not repeating any questions, your Honor.

Mr. Del Guercio: I submit it is the same question in a slightly different form.

Presiding Inspector: Well, whereabouts is it? Have you got it? Look at it and see how much you went into this.

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, do you want me to go ahead on a different subject while you are looking, to save time?

Mr. Gladstein: This subject, my recollection is, your Honor, that Mr. Del Guercio brought it out



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

on direct that Mr. Madala had met Mr. Cannalonga.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. [6764]

Mr. Gladstein: I didn't go into any conversation on cross examination; conversations between them at that time.

Presiding Inspector: I didn't remember that you did.

Mr. Gladstein: The only conversation that I went into was at the time that Mr. Cannalonga met Mr. Connelley in San Francisco.

Presiding Inspector (Examining transcript): Go ahead, Mr. Grossman.

By Mr. Grossman: ○

Q. What was all you said to Mr. Madala after he had told you that Mr. Craycraft testified that you had admitted false testimony when you testified here? I want your entire reply to him.

A. He never specified.

Presiding Inspector: I think the question is a little confusing.

Mr. Myron: Will you repeat the question?

Mr. Grossman: All right. I will rephrase it.

Mr. Myron: Will you read the question?

Presiding Inspector: No, it isn't necessary. He is going to rephrase it. He has withdrawn it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What is your best recollection of what Mr. Madala told you about the testimony in the Bridges case during the time that you were absent? [6765]

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and an-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

swered, if your Honor please. Now, he has already testified as to what some of it was. Now, "Is there anything else that Mr. Madala said?" would seem to me to be the proper question.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. I think that perhaps that would be better.

Mr. Grossman: I think this is also proper. Then there is no misunderstanding. I want everything that was said by Mr. Madala. There wasn't much.

Presiding Inspector: Of course, you went into part of it.

Mr. Grossman: Yes, I know. But so there won't be any confusion I want everything Mr. Madala said about what had happened in the Bridges case after you left the ship.

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. I will allow it.

The Witness: Will you read that question again, please?

Presiding Inspector: What did Madala say to you about what had happened in the Bridges case? Tell it all as far as you recall.

The Witness: He had asked me if I had read any papers and I told him "No", I hadn't. And he says—he says "They have brought in some testimony that I was supposed to have made in Portland". And I says "I never made no statement in Portland". And then he asked me why I left the ship. [6766]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Grossman: Just a moment! On the Bridges——

Mr. Myron: Let him tell it. You asked him.

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, they know as well as everyone in the Court room that that is not responsive.

Mr. Myron: He has asked for the entire conversation and he should be allowed to testify as to the entire conversation.

Mr. Grossman: I don't see why they are so frightened that their witness is going to have to answer questions.

Mr. Myron: He should be allowed to answer the questions that are asked.

Presiding Inspector: Wait just a moment!

Mr. Grossman: The question, if it is read by the reporter, will show that it deals only with what transpired in the Bridges hearing.

Presiding Inspector: That is right.

Mr. Grossman: And he is now going to talk about the Bridges case.

Do you get that, Mr. Myron?

Mr. Myron: Do you know what he is going to testify to? I am listening to the entire conversation he had.

Mr. Grossman: Will the reporter read the last few words by Mr. Cannalunga before I cut him short?

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead. [6767]

(The portion of the answer referred to was read as follows:

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

“\* \* \* and then he asked me why I left the ship.”)

Presiding Inspector: We don't care about why he left the ship.

Mr. Grossman: That is correct.

Presiding Inspector: Mr. Grossman is inquiring for the benefit of the Inspector here as to what was said by Mr. Madala to you and what you said about what had transpired in the Bridges trial. Now, you have told some of it.

Mr. Myron: If your Honor please, may I ask that the question be given by the Reporter?

Presiding Inspector: No. The question is clear to him, I am sure.

You understood the question?

The Witness: Yes, and I answered it, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Not fully, did you?

The Witness: Yes. To the best of my knowledge.

Presiding Inspector: All right.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Didn't Mr. Madala tell you what was supposed to have been said in this Portland statement?

A. No, I don't believe he did.

Q. Didn't you ask him?

A. Yes, I did ask him. I says, “Well, what was in the statement?” And he says “Well,” he says—he says—he men- [6768] tioned something about where I had claimed that the FBI had put

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

pressure on me. And I looked at him and I kind of grinned. I says—I says “I don’t ever remember you people putting pressure on me”. And then he asked me if I was ever involved in a Mann Act, and I says “No, I never was”.

I think that’s about the whole thing. [6769]

Q. You are sure, though, he didn’t mention anything about Craycraft testifying you had admitted giving false testimony?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that, if your Honor please. He has asked for the entire conversation.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, but after exhausting his recollection he may suggest matters so as to refresh the witness’ recollection on subjects that he has not testified to.

A. I believe he asked me if I had made that statement that Craycraft—I told him, I says “No.” I says “I don’t see why I ever want to make a statement of that sort.”

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did he ask you whether you had made any statement at all to Craycraft?

A. I don’t believe he did.

Q. How long did this conversation with Mr. Madala last?

A. Oh, it was only a couple of minutes.

Q. Then, did he remain there or leave?

A. No. He had asked me if it was all right if he and Mr. Ross stay with me and I said “Yes,” and then we had supper and after supper I said,

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

well, I says, "I would like to go to a show, and if you guys want to come you can," and so we went out and we got back to the house at eleven o'clock. There was no extra bed and I suggested, I says, well, I says, "No place for you fellows to sleep out here." I said, "If you want we [6770] can go down to a hotel." So we went down to the Olympic Hotel, got adjoining rooms and I slept in one room and he and Mr. Ross slept in another room.

Q. Did Mr. Madala tell you how he knew you were in Seattle? A. No, he didn't.

Q. Did you ask him? A. No.

Q. Who paid for the hotel?

A. I paid for half of it.

Q. And what did you do in the morning?

A. We went back out to the house in the morning. We had breakfast first and then went out to the house.

Q. What did you do out at the house?

A. I was—picked up a book and started to read.

Q. Did you do anything important at the house?

A. No.

Q. When did you leave the house?

A. We left about—oh, it was about one o'clock.

Q. Where did you go?

A. Down to the—down to the Federal Building.

Q. With Mr. Madala and Mr. Ross?

A. To the Courthouse, and we went up there and then I was served a subpoena; then, after I was served the subpoena.



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Just one moment. Before you were served with a [6771] subpoena had anyone mentioned the fact that there was a subpoena for you?

A. Yes. Mr. Madala had mentioned it.

Q. When did he mention it for the first time?

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, that is gone over now. Counsel asked him about the subpoena the first time that Mr. Madala saw him.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is so. Isn't it, Mr. Grossman?

Mr. Del Guercio: It is going back and forth.

Mr. Grossman: All right, withdraw that.

Mr. Del Guercio: Irsksome.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you do anything at the FBI office except receive the subpoena?

A. That is all, just received the subpoena.

Q. How long were you up at the office?

A. Oh, a few minutes.

Q. Then, where did you go?

A. Then, I wanted to go to a show again and I told him that I was going to a show and so both Mr. Madala and Mr. Ross went with me to the show and after the show we had supper again, and in the meantime we made arrangements to get this train to come down to San Francisco. He explained to me that it meant forthwith, that if I wanted to go that I [6772] should leave for San Francisco and—

Q. (Interposing) Just a minute. Who explained that to you?

A. Mr. Madala.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. He explained that what meant forthwith?

A. He explained that the subpoena—that I had to start for San Francisco as soon as possible after I received the subpoena.

Q. When did he make this explanation?

A. When it was received.

Q. When you were served with it?

A. Yes; this guy that handed it to me.

Q. Had you asked him or did he volunteer this information?

A. I asked him. I asked him, "Well, what does 'forthwith' mean?"

Q. Didn't you testify yesterday that you didn't even know when the subpoena asked you to come to San Francisco?

A. What is that?

Q. Didn't you testify yesterday or the day before that you didn't even know when the subpoena required you to come to San Francisco?

A. I didn't at the time, no, until—it is like I told you, I had that subpoena up in the hotel room. I had two of them. I didn't know what was in both of them until I [6773] had seen it and then I could tell you.

Mr. Grossman: Miss Reporter, will you read the last answer, please?

(The answer referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Q. What hotel room are you referring to?

A. I was referring to the Sutter Hotel. When he asked me if I had another subpoena from the

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

one he took away from me the first time on the stand here—he asked me if there was another one and I told him “Yes,” and he wanted me to search my pockets and see if I had it on me.

Presiding Inspector: Don’t go over that. We have heard all that. The Sutter Hotel is all you need to say.

Go ahead.

The Witness: He asked me that.

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Grossman, ask the next question.

Mr. Grossman: Just one moment.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Cannalonga, the day before yesterday when you were asked at the beginning of your cross examination about this subpoena that was served on you in Seattle you were asked this question: “When did it say that you were supposed to be here?”

Your answer was: “I don’t recall.” [6774]

The next question was: “Did you have any discussion with anybody as to when you were supposed to be here? A. No.”

Were those answers correct that you gave the day before yesterday?

A. At the time, if you will recall it, there was quite a squabble here over the subpoenas.

Q. Yes or no, were they correct or not correct?

A. Well, you had me all confused at the time.

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I object—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Wait a moment.

Did you have an objection?

Mr. Del Guercio: I have.

Presiding Inspector: What is it?

Mr. Del Guercio: The witness should not be instructed by counsel there to answer the question "yes" or "no". Moreover—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) If they call for a "yes" or "no" answer he may ask that they be answered "yes" or "no".

Now, the question is, as I understand it, if there is no other objection, in substance is that correct, "yes" or "no"?

Mr. Del Guercio: There is another objection on the ground that the matter has already been covered.

Presiding Inspector: No, whether that is correct or not has not been covered as I understand it. I will take it. [6775]

Do you want to make any correction? You can do so after saying is that correct, "yes" or "no".

Read it to him again. Let the reporter read it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. "When did it say you were supposed to be here? A. I don't recall.

"Q. Did you have any discussion with anybody as to when you were supposed to be here?

"A. No."

Mr. Del Guercio: That is as to when the subpoena was supposed to tell you to be here.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: No. Was there any discussion with anybody, it says, as to when you, Cannalonga, were supposed to be at this hearing. Now, that is the question. Now, was that correctly answered?

The Witness: No, not at the time, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Do you want to make an explanation?

The Witness: I would.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. Well, make the explanation.

The Witness: You see, at the time I had two subpoenas on me and he asked me for one of them and I gave it to him and the other one was in the hotel room, and he kept insisting, and there was quite an argument over that subpoena, and he had me search myself to see if I had it on me, and I told him that I would bring it to him the next morning, that I didn't know [6776] what it said, that subpoena, what it said. Then, he asked me about it, this subpoena, this other subpoena, in regards to whether I had talked to anybody on this other subpoena. That is how I got the question, and I says, "No."

Presiding Inspector: All right, ask the next question.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You mean you understood the questions after the day before yesterday to refer to the subpoena that was served on you in San Francisco?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Yes. You people had me all confused on the subpoenas asking me to shake myself down and search myself for one, and I told you it was up in the hotel room, and the next morning I brought it to you and I had a chance to look at it and see what it said.

Q. Well, now, let's get this clear. When you gave the testimony the day before yesterday that you didn't recall the date on the subpoena and that you didn't have any discussion with anybody as to when you were supposed to be here you thought at that time that you were being asked about the second subpoena; is that your testimony?

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I will object to that as being already covered.

Presiding Inspector: No, I think I will allow it. It is cross examination.

By Mr. Grossman: [6777]

Q. Is that your testimony?

A. It is like I say, Mr. Grossman—

Presiding Inspector: Is that it?

Mr. Grossman: Yes or no.

Presiding Inspector: Is that it? We have got to finish this some time.

The Witness: Well, your Honor, I was so confused about both of these subpoenas.

Presiding Inspector: He says he was confused on both of the subpoenas.

Mr. Grossman: Well, I still have a right to know whether he thought I was referring to the San



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Francisco served subpoena, or, rather, what Mr. Gladstein was referring to as the San Francisco served subpoena. That seemed to have been his response and I wanted it clear.

The Witness: I don't know which one you were referring to.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. To what subpoena were you referring when you replied in the way that I am going to read: "When did it say that you were supposed to be here?"

"A. I don't recall.

"Q. Did you have any discussion with anybody as to when you were supposed to be here.

"A. No." [6778]

To what subpoena did you think Mr. Gladstein was referring when he asked those questions and when you replied? A. Well, I—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) Your Honor, I think at this time the record should show what happened, what subpoena Mr. Gladstein had in his hand when he asked those questions.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think he had any subpoena.

Mr. Myron: I think he did. He gave him the subpoena and he had it in his hand.

Presiding Inspector: There was only one subpoena introduced up to that time.

Mr. Myron: That is right. I think the record should show it.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: That is the one that was served after he got down here in this city, as I understand it.

Mr. Myron: That is right.

Presiding Inspector: Now, the other subpoena at that time had not been produced.

Mr. Myron: That is right, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Now, it seems to me this is all very clear and doesn't need very much further examination, but if you wish to ask anything more I will allow it.

Mr. Grossman: I still don't have an answer.

I got the impression clearly that Mr. Cannalunga was saying that this testimony that I read him was given because he [6779] confused the first with the second subpoena. Now, I want that clear. I want to know whether he intended it to apply to the first subpoena or not. I haven't got it clear whether he intended it to apply to the first subpoena or the second subpoena or neither.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What subpoena did you think Mr. Gladstein was referring to when he asked you these questions?

A. Well, Mr. Grossman, if you will read back further—after all, I can't say off-hand right now. It is like I told you, that these two subpoenas, I handed him one. He kept insisting I had another one in my pocket and asked me to shake myself down, until everything was all jumbled up. I didn't know which one he meant or anything else. He

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

kept waving one subpoena at me and asked me to produce another one and I told him it was up in the hotel room, that I would get it for him and show it to him the next day.

Presiding Inspector: Now, let's see; we are now up in Portland. A subpoena was served on you.

The Witness: Seattle, you mean.

Presiding Inspector: Seattle. A subpoena was served on you.

The Witness: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Was there or was there not any discussion with the person who served it on you, or with Mr. [6780] Ross as to when you were required under the subpoena to be here in this hearing?

The Witness: Well, there was discussion on the subpoena. I had asked the question what "forth-with" meant. No, it was not Mr. Madala; it was the man that served it to me.

Presiding Inspector: Well, I say any one of the three.

The Witness: Uh huh. He had said—well, he says, "You are to leave as soon as you can for San Francisco," and that is what I did.

Presiding Inspector: Now, if the other testimony is to other effect you have the full benefit of it.

Mr. Grossman: Yes.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Now, did you have any discussion with this man who served the subpoena as to what the sub-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

poena required you to do in addition to leaving immediately?      A.. No.

Q. What did you think at that time the serving of the subpoena on you required you to do?

Presiding Inspector: I don't believe he can understand that. You will have to make that a little clearer.

Mr. Grossman: All right.

By Mr. Grossman: [6781]

Q. Mr. Cannalonga, a subpoena is served on you. You understood, did you not, that it was an order of some kind for you to do something; is that right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What did you think it was an order for you to do?

A. Like I did the last time I had one served on me.

Q. What?

A. To come down here to San Francisco and report to the—for the Government like the original one, the first time I come down to court.

Q. Did you understand that the subpoena required you to report to the FBI? Was that your understanding when you were served in Seattle?

A. What do you mean "report to the FBI"?

Q. Tell me this: Did you believe when you were served with that subpoena in Seattle that it ordered you to appear in this hearing or in this court room?

A. No, not in the court room. To come down here and report in—

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. (Interposing) Where?

A. San Francisco like I did the first time.

Q. Where in San Francisco?

A. At the FBI office.

Presiding Inspector: Well, no witnesses have appeared in the Court room that I know of in pursuance to a subpoena [6782] unless they were in the other room when they were served because all witnesses have been excluded from this hearing.

Mr. Grossman: All I know is the subpoena orders them to appear here.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, but no one—

Mr. Grossman: (Interposing) I am not referring to what others do.

Presiding Inspector: That has not been required as to any witness.

Mr. Grossman: I want his understanding.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, you are entitled to it. You have got it.

Mrs. King: If your Honor please, with reference to the witnesses for the defense as opposed to the witnesses for the prosecution there were a number of them that came here and waited in the witness room.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, but they didn't appear in the court room.

Mrs. King: No, they appeared at the court.

Mr. Grossman: Appeared at the court.

Presiding Inspector: I don't know where they were. They didn't, any of them, report to the Presiding Inspector.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mrs. King: No, I understand that. As I say, that is merely for the record.

Presiding Inspector: That may be; I don't know, except [6783] I don't know where any subpoenaed witness reported.

Mrs. King: The only thing we want—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) The only thing I know, witnesses were kept in the adjoining room and very soon afterwards they appeared in the court room. Of course, we have excluded all of them.

Mrs. King: The only thing we wanted to make clear was that witnesses for the defense did not ordinarily report to the FBI office.

Presiding Inspector: I don't know.

Mr. Del Guercio: I think that statement is unnecessary.

Mrs. King: I don't think it is at all necessary.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is a fair statement.

Mr. Grossman: Especially considering some of the subpoenas that have been issued by the FBI.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think it is very important to show where a witness has reported as long as the witness reported to the counsel in this case. If they reported to the wrong counsel, why, I suppose they would be transferred. I don't know what the subpoena says, whether it says—it probably says he was to give testimony in the case without any reference on whose behalf.

Mr. Gladstein: May I see the subpoenas, please?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Presiding Inspector: This man was at all times the Government's witness. [6784]

Mr. Grossman: That is not true.

Presiding Inspector: He can't be anything else. Having been once called he remains the Government's witness throughout the hearing.

Mr. Grossman: It was our subpoena, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: It doesn't make any difference. He doesn't become your witness because you call him back for further cross examination.

Mr. Grossman: The Government doesn't have control over the subpoena.

Presiding Inspector: He does.

Mr. Grossman: They can extend the subpoena.

Presiding Inspector: No, they can't extend the subpoena. He must come here, of course, but he still remains the Government's witness. You couldn't cross examine if that weren't so.

Mr. Grossman: Oh, I agree——

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Mr. Grossman: (Continuing) But the reason is because the FBI, instead of letting him appear here forthwith, as he was ordered to do by the subpoena, took him in to their custody——

Presiding Inspector: That may be.

Mr. Grossman: (Continuing) ——to destroy the effect of that——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) You have got the full benefit of that. [6785]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Grossman: May I see the subpoena that was offered for identification?

(The documents referred to were handed to Mr. Grossman by the reporter.)

Presiding Inspector: The subpoenas very generally, as I have been accustomed to see them, say to give testimony on behalf of such and such a side.

Mr. Gladstein: That is what a subpoena usually says, as a witness for plaintiff or defendant.

Presiding Inspector: Yes, that is the subpoena that I have usually seen but I didn't notice that it said that on these.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) May I see those subpoenas, please?

(The documents referred to were handed to Mr. Del Guercio by Mr. Grossman.)

Mr. Del Guercio: I refer, if the Court please, to the two subpoenas issued—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) When we come to that—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) But in view of counsel's argument, this is a reply to it because I would like to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) We don't need any reply. There is no conclusion. [6786]

Mr. Del Guercio: In order to get the record straight.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: All right, if you want to put something in you may.

Mr. Gladstein: The subpoenas speak for themselves.

Mr. Del Guercio: There are two. One is dated May 6, 1941. As I told the Court some time before—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) What is that? I beg your pardon; I didn't catch that.

Will you read it, please.

(The statement of Mr. Del Guercio referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Mr. Del Guercio: It requires the appearance of Cannalunga at room 276, Federal Court House, Post Office Building, 7th and Mission Streets, San Francisco, California, not that it would have made any difference whether it required him to appear at Sutter Street, or whether it required him to appear at Los Angeles or any place else.

The other is dated May 10, 1941, requiring Cannalunga to appear at room 276, Federal Court House, Post Office Building, 7th and Mission Streets, San Francisco, California.

Now, where counsel got the idea there that the subpoenas that this man produced required him to appear at the FBI office I don't know.

Mr. Gladstein: Your Honor, the thing was this: The testimony simply went to, or the questioning of the witness went [6787] to—as to his understanding as to where he was to report.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: That is all.

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, if counsel wants to know where I got the idea—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): Oh, no, I don't think counsel wants to know anything from any statement except from the witness.

Mr. Grossman: I can back up the statement the FBI is issuing subpoenas to appear at the FBI office.

Mr. Del Guercio: I am telling you I have issued them, will continue to issue them from the FBI office.

Mr. Grossman: They are null and void.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you have a discussion with anyone, Mr. Cannalunga, as to whether or not the subpoena served on you in Seattle required you to come to the hearing room as soon as you got to San Francisco? A. No.

Q. At the first opportunity after you got to San Francisco? A. No.

Q. When you were served with this second subpoena were you told anything as to the reason why a second subpoena was being served on you?

A. No. [6788]

Q. Did you ask? A. No.

Q. Were you surprised that a second subpoena was served on you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. Not a bit. I am not surprised at anything that happens to me.

Q. Are you in court in response to a subpoena?

A. I am.

Q. Which subpoena?

A. That first one, I believe.

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I object.

Presiding Inspector: That is a mere legal conclusion. He is in court, or, at least, in a hearing. It is a court room.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Were you ever told by anyone that the defense had had a subpoena issued for you?

A. No, no.

Q. At any time before you came back to San Francisco with Mr. Madala had you seen a transcript or a portion of the transcript of the testimony given by Mr. Craycraft in this proceeding?

A. No.

Q. At any time did Mr. Madala summarize for you or state for you what the testimony of Mr. Craycraft was in [6789] addition to that first day when he spoke to you in Seattle? A. No.

Q. Did anyone give you any information about what testimony had been given in this hearing before you talked to Mr. Connelley except Mr. Madala on Thursday in Seattle? A. No.

Q. Is it true, then, that when you talked to Mr. Connelley in San Francisco you knew no more about what had been testified to in this hearing by Mr. Craycraft than what Mr. Madala had told you?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, your Honor, I will object to it.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

Mr. Grossman: Will you read it, Miss Reporter?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.) A. Yes.

Presiding Inspector: We will take a short recess.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

[6790]

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Grossman, we are ready.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Cannalonga, did you tell Mr. and Mrs. Emel where you were going when you left Seattle?

A. No. What period is this now? Is this on the subpoenas?

Q. When you left Seattle to go to the mountains did you tell Mr. and Mrs. Emel where you were going? A. No.

Q. Did you give them any statement as to where you were going? A. No.

Q. Are you sure of that?

A. Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q. When you came back did Mr. and Mrs. Emel tell you that anybody had been looking for you at their place? A. Yes.

Q. What did they tell you on that matter?

A. They said that the Draft Board had been out to the house and some members of the Draft Board



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

wanted to know where I was, and that the FBI agent was—agents were looking for me as there was some kind of a subpoena out for me, and I believe the Immigration man went out there, too.

Q. Did they tell you what they had replied to these FBI men and Immigration men? [6791]

A. No.

Q. Did you tell the Emels when you were coming back? A. No.

Q. Did you give them any ideas as to when you were coming back? A. No.

Q. How did you know when to appear in this court to testify on this occasion, this present occasion?

A. What do you mean by that, Mr. Grossman?

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I will object to that. It goes to the same matter here. He is here.

Mr. Grossman: That is not enough. He can be kidnapped and brought here and, if so, we want to know it.

Mr. Del Guercio: We are not the Communist Party.

Mr. Grossman: Just a minute! If he came because he recognized that the subpoena obligated him to come at a particular time I would like to know that.

Presiding Inspector: I don't see that it is very material, but you may have it. He is here.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. How did you know when to come to this court in this hearing this time?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Well, the subpoena said I had to come down to 'Frisco and I just followed the same procedure that I had done previous.

Q. That is not what I mean. You arrived in San Francisco [6792] Sunday? A. Yes.

Q. How did you pick the day before yesterday, Wednesday, to come to this court, instead of Monday? A. How did I pick that out?

Q. Yes. How did you happen to pick Wednesday to come?

The Witness: I don't get what he means.

Presiding Inspector: Well, he wants to know why you didn't come the first day, or what did happen.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Why didn't you come Monday? Why did you come Wednesday?

A. Well, here's what happened. Sunday I made out a question-and-answer statement to Mr. Connelley and then I was told that I would be told when to come.

Presiding Inspector: You were relying on the Government agents to tell you when to come?

The Witness: Yes.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Who told you that?

A. Who told me that?

Q. Yes.

A. One of the Government agents.

Q. Who was it?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. I don't know who it was. It's like I said on [6793] the stand here once before, that at all times I have been in San Francisco. I have had a couple of agents with me and the Bureau felt that I needed protection and I was free to go wherever I wanted and that I would be notified when to appear on the stand.

Q. Did Mr. Connelley tell you that?

A. I don't recall if it was Mr. Connelley who said that.

Q. They told you they would notify you when they wanted you, is that right?

A. Yes, I would be notified and told.

Q. When you received that second subpoena did you look at it to see when it required you to come to testify? A. No.

Mr. Myron: This has been gone over twice, I think, already, your Honor.

Mr. Grossman: The second subpoena? There hasn't been a question asked about it.

Presiding Inspector: This was the subpoena that was received here?

Mr. Del Guercio: I refer to page 6343, your Honor, in cross examination.

Presiding Inspector: 6343. I haven't that here.

(Whereupon a copy of the transcript was passed by Mr. Del Guercio to the Presiding Inspector.) [6794]

Presiding Inspector: At the top of 6346 there is an answer. The last question is on 6345. No.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

that is the other subpoena. Well, I don't seem to find this particular question.

Mr. Grossman: I think there is some doubt whether it refers to the first or the second. It may refer to the second. But since there is doubt I think there is no question but what we should go into it and clear up the doubt.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. You may ask this particular question.

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. No, I didn't give it any particular notice. I just signed it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you find out at any time up to now when the second subpoena ordered you to appear to testify? A. No.

Q. Did you have any discussion with anyone from the FBI or the Immigration Service as to when the second subpoena required you to testify?

A. No.

Q. Were you ever told by anyone that the subpoena served on you in Seattle—withdraw that.

Were you ever told by anyone that you should get in [6795] touch with defense counsel when you were in San Francisco because of the service of this first subpoena on you in Seattle? A. No.

Presiding Inspector: May I see that Seattle subpoena?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Mr. Grossman: The first one, your Honor, is this one (Indicating).

Presiding Inspector: That is the only one I wish. I might look at the other, too.

(The subpoenas referred to were passed to the Presiding Inspector.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You stated the day before yesterday that on Sunday when you arrived in San Francisco you were introduced to a friend of Mr. Madala. Can you state his name?

A. Did I say a friend of Mr. Madala?

Q. Yes. When you were asked about the various people that you had talked to you said Mr. Madala introduced you to a friend of his.

A. Well, when we got in—

Q. (Interposing): Do you know his name?

A. Well, I am trying to—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): Well, do you know his name?

The Witness: Yes. [6796]

Presiding Inspector: Then give his name.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What's the name? A. Joe.

Q. Joe. You mean that is the FBI man that was one of those who was with—

A. (Interposing): Uh-huh (affirmative). No, not with me. He come down and met the ferry in a car.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. I mean was with you for the next few days?  
Wasn't Joe one of the FBI men that—

A. (Interposing): Oh, yes.

Q. (Continuing): —stayed with you all the time?  
A. No, not all the time.

Q. Half the time for the next few days?

A. Yes.

Q. Except when you went to sleep.

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, if your Honor please, he is not giving the witness a chance to talk.

Presiding Inspector: I guess he has in between the spaces answers here.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When was the first time you found out that there was in evidence in this case a statement that was supposed to be a sworn statement by you made in a lawyer's office in Portland?

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, there is no such evidence [6797] that he ever made a sworn statement.

Mr. Grossman: Will you read it back, please.  
Mr. Reporter?

Presiding Inspector: Read the question:

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

The Witness: I don't know what he means by that, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: Well, the question is. When did you first learn of there having been produced here what purported to be a sworn state-



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)  
ment made by you in Mr. Lord's office in Portland?  
When did you first hear about that? [6798]

The Witness: Sunday afternoon when Mr. Connelley was asking me when I made out this question-and-answer statement for him.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When was the first time that you had heard that Mr. Jackman had testified here to a conversation with you?

A. When I made out this question-and-answer statement to Mr. Connelley.

Q. All right. Now, when did you first see Mr. Connelley?

A. It was the same day I got into San Francisco; in the afternoon of Sunday.

Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Connelley before he started taking this statement with a stenographer taking it down? A. No.

Q. Do you mean the moment he started to talk to you the stenographer started taking it down?

A. Yes.

Q. And he had no discussion with you except a question-and-answer type of discussion?

A. That's all.

Q. In this question-and-answer type of discussion did he ask you whether or not you had made certain statements to Mr. Craycraft? [6799]

A. He did.

Q. Did he ask you whether or not you had been to an attorney named William Lord's office in Portland?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. He did, in this question-and-answer statement.

Q. Did you admit that you had been to this attorney's office in Portland?

A. No. I told him to the best of my recollection I didn't know who this Lord was and that I had gone up in some kind of an office building.

Q. Did you admit the making of these statements to Mr. Craycraft that Mr. Connelley asked you about?

A. What was that?

Q. Did Mr. Connelley ask you whether you had made certain statements to Mr. Craycraft?

A. He did.

Q. What did you say to Mr. Connelley as to whether you had made those statements?

A. That I didn't.

Q. That you hadn't made any of them?

A. No.

Q. Did you tell Mr. Connelley that you had had various discussions with Mr. Craycraft?

A. I did.

Q. Did Mr. Connelley ask you whether you had a certain discussion with Mr. Jackman? [6800]

A. I believe he asked me that.

Q. What did you tell him as to whether or not you had that discussion?

A. That I didn't know who this Mr. Jackman was, that there was a possibility that I spoke to the man.

Q. Did Mr. Connelley ask you why you left the ship on Wednesday?

A. He did.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Did Mr. Connelley show you a copy of the transcript of the testimony of either Mr. Craycraft, Mr. Shoemaker or Mr. Jackman? A. No.

Q. Did Mr. Connelley read you what he claimed was a portion of the transcript or any portion of the transcript of this hearing?

Mr. Myron: Now, I object to that.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

Mr. Myron: What he "claimed" it to have been. If you will read from a transcript—

Mr. Grossman (Interposing): I am asking the questions, Mr. Myron.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

Mr. Myron: I will object to the form on the question.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think there is anything offensive in the form or intended to be.

Mr. Myron: No objection if there is no intention. [6801]

Presiding Inspector: "Claimed" means "stated to be."

The Witness: I didn't hear this question. I don't understand it, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: You don't object to changing the word "claimed" to "stated"?

Mr. Grossman: No.

Presiding Inspector: Read the question with the change in it, Mr. Reporter.

(The question referred to, as amended, was read by the reporter, as follows:

"Q. Did Mr. Connelley read you what he

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

stated was a portion of the transcript or any portion of the transcript of this hearing?")

A. Well, when he asked me these questions that I answered he had a sort of a book in front of him.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You got the impression that he was reading to you from the testimony of someone at this hearing?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you deny making all the statements that he read to you from Mr. Craycraft's testimony?

A. No.

Q. You admitted making some of them?

A. I denied that there was—I told him that there was a possibility I could have made some of the statements and there was a possibility I couldn't.

[6802]

Q. Did he also appear to be reading to you from a transcript of the testimony of Mr. Jackman when he asked you questions about Mr. Jackman?

A. Well, I don't know whether it was a transcript of Mr.—

Q. (Interposing): No. Did he appear to you to be reading from a transcript of Mr. Jackman?

A. What was that again?

Q. When he asked you questions about Mr. Jackman did he appear to be reading from a transcript of the testimony of Mr. Jackman?

A. I don't know whether it was the testimony of Mr. Jackman.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: No, of course you don't.

A. (Continuing): He was reading off like one of those books that you have in front of you.

Presiding Inspector: What you took to be the testimony of Mr. Jackman?

The Witness: Yes.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did he also ask you questions about what you said in this meeting in the lawyer's office? Did Mr. Connelley ask you what you had said in this meeting in the Portland lawyer's office?

A. Did he ask me what I had said?

Q. Yes. [6803]

A. He asked me if I had been up to this office and I told Mr. Connelley the same thing I said on the stand here: That I was pretty drunk and I don't remember saying anything up in this office.

Presiding Inspector: Well, did he ask you these particular questions? Did he read particular questions and answers to you and ask you—

The Witness (Interposing): I believe he did.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When was the first time that you told anyone at all that you were very drunk on Saturday and Sunday, May 3rd and 4th? When was the first time that you told anyone that you were very drunk on those two days?

A. When?

Q. Yes.

A. When I made out this question and answer.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. You mean last Sunday?

A. Last Sunday.

Q. In the presence of Mr. Connelley?

A. Yes. Mr. Connelley and Mr. Means and Mr. Weeks was in there and the stenographer.

Q. Do you keep your discharges? A. Yes.

Q. Will you examine your discharges and tell me whether you did any shipping during 1940 and, if so, on what ships for what periods?

A. I haven't my discharges on me. [6804]

Q. Can you state from memory generally whether you did any shipping in 1940?

A. No. I would have to look up the discharges, different ships.

Q. Don't you have any recollection as to any ships you might have been on?

A. I am liable to say a ship and it could have been a year ahead of that.

Q. Just assume that this is your best recollection and you won't be held to it. I would like the names of any ships that you think you shipped on in 1940.

A. I would rather have my discharges.

Presiding Inspector: We want to finish as much as we can today. Give your best recollection. You are not going to be held to this exactly.

The Witness: In 1940? You see, I don't recall if I was on one of the Alaska Steamship Passenger ships in January of 1940 or not.

Presiding Inspector: What is your best recollection?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

The Witness: I could possibly have been on there around that time.

Presiding Inspector: What was her name?

The Witness: That was the—let's see. The sister ship to the Baranof. Mt. McKinley.

Mrs. King: We couldn't hear it. [6805]

Presiding Inspector: He said "The sister ship to the Baranof. Mt. McKinley".

The Witness: The SS Mt. McKinley. I could have possibly been on there in the earlier part of January for about a week.

Presiding Inspector: She was an Alaska passenger ship.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You mean you were on that ship in 1939?

A. I was on there around the holidays, the Christmas holidays.

Q. Of 1939?

A. Of 1939 and possibly part of '40.

Q. Can you think of any other ship that you were on in 1940 or might have been on in 1940?

A. Yes. Then I was on the Branch in 1940; went up to Bristol Bay and then I was on the Clevdon in 1940.

Q. If you had your discharges here could you give me the complete list of ships that you had shipped on in 1940 and the periods on which you had shipped? A. Yes.

Q. Where are your discharges?

A. In Seattle.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. How long would it take you to get them down here?

A. Oh, I could pick up a telephone and phone up to Seattle and have them sent down to me and, oh, I would get them tomorrow sometime by Air Mail Special Delivery. [6806]

Q. Now, were you on the beach in Seattle or Portland or any other place during 1940?

A. Yes, in Seattle.

Q. Any other port? A. No.

Q. Do you know what period you were on the beach in Seattle?

A. Yes. The period that I wasn't on the ships.

Q. Then for the entire period that you were not on ships in 1940 you were on the beach in Seattle, is that correct? A. Yes, correct.

Q. Now, when you were in port in Seattle did you register regularly in the union?

A. Yes.

Q. How often would you register in the union?

A. Every time you get off a ship you register.

Q. You mean you just register once when you get off the ship? A. Yes.

Q. You don't register every two weeks or anything like that?

A. No. You have your card stamped every two weeks; your shipping card.

Q. Which card?

A. When you register they give you a shipping card [6807] you see, and this shipping card—you go to a meeting and you hand the card in as you

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

go into the meeting, and the following day you go down the hall and pick it up. They stamp it, the date on the back of it, showing that you had been to that meeting. If you don't do that you go to the bottom of the list.

Q. You don't carry that shipping card with you?

A. No. When you ship they pick it up at the hall and issue out a new one when you come in again.

Q. Have you ever taken an automobile trip from Nevada to California? A. No.

Q. Have you ever taken an automobile trip from California to Oregon? A. No.

Q. Have you ever taken an automobile trip from Oregon to Seattle? A. No.

Q. Have you ever stayed—

A. (Interposing) Now, Mr. Grossman, these automobile trips—are you referring to the year 1940 or are you referring to back in 1900? I don't know what years.

Q. All right. Suppose we take the last three years. What is your answer with respect to the questions I have just asked you?

A. The answer is the same. [6808]

Q. It is "No" with respect to all these questions? A. Yes.

Q. For the last three years?

A. Yes, for the last three years.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Q. Have you ever stayed in an auto camp in South San Francisco? A. No, never.

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please, we have been all over that in the specific questions and now we are going into the general.

Presiding Inspector: No. I don't think they covered it quite in this form.

Mr. Grossman: No, we didn't cover it in this way.

Mr. Del Guercio: It is a little different date.

Presiding Inspector: No. He wants to test the possibility of his having been there according to the testimony.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you ever stay in an auto camp in Roseburg, Oregon?

A. Never. In fact, Mr. Grossman, I have never stayed at no auto camp in my life, if that will help you out.

Q. Have you ever been at Coulee City or the Coulee Dam?

A. I have been out to the Coulee Dam when they first started building it and made a short trip with a friend of mine. [6809]

Q. And not since then?

A. Not since then.

Mr. Grossman: No more questions.

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Del Guercio.

Mr. Goodwin: Your Honor, may I make a suggestion? And I make it subject to whatever Mr. Del Guercio wishes:

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

The witness has been on the stand for cross examination now for two days. We cannot possibly, I believe, finish our redirect today. There are some things I should like to check over myself, and in a great deal of the time Mr. Gladstein has talked so loud that I have been able to hear him. Now, I think that it would serve no useful purpose to proceed with the redirect now and it would serve the purpose of perhaps shortening it if it might go over to the next session.

I make that suggestion subject to the wishes of Mr. Del Guercio.

Presiding Inspector: How do you feel about it, Mr. Del Guercio? This suggestion was made subject to your wishes.

Mr. Del Guercio: Having been made, I will adopt it.

Presiding Inspector: You would prefer to have it go over?

Mr. Del Guercio: I join.

Mr. Grossman: We have no objection if they want to put on another witness and withdraw him temporarily. We have no objection to anything of that kind. [6810]

Presiding Inspector: Well, they perhaps wouldn't like to do that.

Mr. Gladstein: Since the witness will be here on Monday for redirect, could we ask the Court to ask him, if he has no objection—there was a

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

question that came out during cross as to whether he would send for his discharges.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Will you send for the discharges?

The Witness: Yes, I will.

Mr. Del Guercio: We will telephone at the expense of the Defense.

The Witness: Who is going to pay for the phone call?

Mr. Grossman: We will pay for it.

(Remarks outside the record.)

Presiding Inspector: Then we will take a recess until Monday morning at 10:00 o'clock.

(Whereupon at 4:00 o'clock P. M. an adjournment was taken until 10:00 A. M. Monday, June 9, 1941.) [6811]

Court room 276;  
Federal Building,  
San Francisco, California,  
June 9, 1941.

Met, pursuant to adjournment at 10:00 A. M.  
[6812]

### PROCEEDINGS

Presiding Inspector: Mr. Del Guercio, you may proceed.

The witness, Cannalunga, was excused, wasn't he?



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Mr. Del Guercio: I believe he was to appear on redirect this morning.

Presiding Inspector: Oh.

### MAURICE J. CANNALONGA

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:

#### Redirect Examination

Presiding Inspector: I will say to the witness that he understands, I suppose, he is still under oath?

The Witness: Yes, sir.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Now, Mr. Cannalunga, had you, prior to Saturday, May 3, 1941, been in a hotel room with an attorney like Mr. Gladstein and a 225 pound man?

A. No, sir.

Q. Had you, prior to May 4, 1941, that is on a Sunday, been in an office with an attorney, with two attorneys like Mr. Gladstein and Mr. Lord, a court reporter and a 225 pound man?

A. No, sir.

Q. Now, when you testified on cross examination that you were being watched while you were on the West Cussetta, who did you have in mind watching you? [6813]

A. Well, I knew it wasn't any Government men; private individuals.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. Well, now, did you think that they were friends of Mr. Gladstein and the alien here?

A. I don't know, sir.

Q. And did you ever see this carload of men — I believe you said "carload"? A. No, sir.

Q. Who called your attention to the fact that there was a carload of men there?

A. The night Mate.

Q. Just what did he tell you about that?

A. Well, it is like I said when I was cross examined, that the night Mate said there was a carload of fellows that had been watching me ever since we were at that particular pier; that is, Terminal 1. [6814]

Q. Is that where your ship was docked?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, is there any doubt in your mind, or — strike that, please.

I believe you also testified on cross examination that you left the West Cussetta for Seattle because you were afraid of the Communist Party: is that correct? A. Correct.

Q. Is there any doubt in your mind but that the Alien here is connected with the Communist Party?

Mr. Gladstein: I object to that as being immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think that is material.

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, it is material in this way, that the —

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Well, you have already been over it.

Mr. Del Guercio: (Continuing) —disappearance of the witness from the West Cussetta was due to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I know, but whether he has any doubt or not, I think is immaterial.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Now, do you know where the West Cussetta is at the present time?

A. I believe she is out around Shanghai right now.

Q. When did she leave Portland or any U. S. port, if you know? [6815]

A. She left after I left the ship, one or two days later.

Q. One of two days later. Now, I believe you have testified that Craycraft and Mowery and another person saw you, or came to see you on the West Cussetta on Monday and Tuesday and that you were in communication, or had seen Craycraft on Wednesday morning; is that correct?

A. I didn't see Craycraft Wednesday morning because I had left the ship.

Q. Did you see anyone Wednesday morning?

A. No, sir.

Q. That is, anyone connected with Mr. Gladstein?

A. No.

Q. Well, now, when you saw Craycraft Monday

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

morning did he say anything to you about wanting to show you a transcript of the alleged statements you made in the hotel? A. No, sir.

Q. Did he say that Mr. Gladstein wanted you to read over what you were alleged to have stated in that lawyer's office on Sunday morning?

A. No, sir.

Q. Now, you saw Mr. Craycraft again Tuesday? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did he at that time say that Mr. Gladstein wanted you to read over the alleged statement that you made on that [6816] Sunday in the attorney's office? A. No, sir.

Q. Did he say Mr. Gladstein wanted you to sign that statement that you were alleged to have made in the lawyer's office on Sunday?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone else, anyone either on Monday or Tuesday—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Is there any such claim?

Mr. Del Guercio: Sir?

A. No.

Presiding Inspector: Is there any such claim?

Mr. Del Guercio: No, there is not.

Presiding Inspector: Well, you are negating something which isn't in the evidence. I wouldn't devote much time to it.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Now, you have been in the protective cus-

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

tody of Agents of the FBI since Thursday, is that correct?      A. Yes, sir.

Q. Since last—well, a week ago from last Thursday, is that correct?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. Until you appeared here on the stand to testify?      A. Yes, sir. [6817]

Q. During that period of time have you been free to go and come?      A. I have.

Q. Has your custody, so-called custody been voluntary?      A. It is.

Q. Who paid for your expenses while you were in such custody?      A. Myself.

Q. Who paid for your room?      A. Myself.

Q. Who paid for your amusements?

A. Myself. [6818]

Q. Who paid for your food?      A. Myself.

Q. Why were the agents with you during all this period of time?

A. For my own mutual protection.

Mr. Grossman: May I have the answer?

The Reporter: I am not sure I caught it correctly—"for my own mutual protection".

Presiding Inspector: No, no. The answer was: "Because they thought I needed protection."

The Witness: Yes.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Was that your answer?      A. Yes.

Q. Because you thought who needed protection?

A. No—I said because the Bureau thought I needed protection.

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

Presiding Inspector: I think he used the word "they" instead of "Bureau".

The Witness: Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: That is all.

Recross Examination

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. When you say "Bureau" you mean the FBI agents, don't you? [6819] A. I do.

Q. Are you sure you saw Craycraft on Tuesday, May 6th?

A. No, I am not, Mr. Gladstein.

Q. As a matter of fact, you didn't see him at all on that day, did you?

A. No, I don't—I don't recall if I saw him.

Q. The last time you saw him was Monday evening, May 5th, when he told you he was going to San Francisco, isn't that correct?

A. I don't believe he told me he was going to San Francisco.

Q. That is the night you gave him the note to Harry Bridges—that is the last time you have ever seen him, isn't it?

A. I believe that is it.

Q. Now, you say the ship was to leave a day or so after the date when you left it?

A. I don't know when she left. I didn't know the itinerary, the exact day she was to leave the Columbia River.

Q. But your understanding, that is on the day you left it, that is, Wednesday morning you left



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

the ship, your understanding was the ship was going to leave port in a day or so?

A. That she was going to move down, was supposed to move down to the mouth of the Columbia, Astoria.

Q. And then to the Far East? [6820]

A. No. She was to come back up the river again to a lumber dock.

Q. And ultimately to the Far East?

A. Yes.

Q. You say you were afraid of the Communist Party and that is why you left the ship?

A. Yes.

Q. Why didn't you stay on the ship? Did you think the Communist Party was going to come on the ship and hurt you?

A. Yes; they could have come aboard the ship.

Q. Did you really feel that? A. I did.

Q. Who did you have in mind as possibly coming on the ship?

A. Nobody in mind; no particular person.

Q. Now, the first Mate, or the night Mate, who talked to you about this, about this carload of men, did you regard him as your friend?

A. I did.

Q. Were you sober when you were discussing this with him? A. I was.

Q. Were you aware of the fact that there were Government agencies to whom you could appeal?

A. I was.

Q. Did you do that? A. No. [6821]

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

Q. How long had this ship been at Terminal No. 1 before you left it?

A. Oh, three or four days.

Q. During all that time you had come off and on the ship a number of times, is that correct?

A. No.

Q. You had been to town on a Saturday, you had been to town on a Sunday, you had been to town on a Monday—aren't those things correct?

A. You say I was at town Monday? I went up to the electrical shop, yes.

Q. I mean you were off and on that ship from time to time during the period that the ship was at Terminal No. 1, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And prior to that time, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you, yourself, never saw any carload of men watching you, did you?

A. No; I never gave it any particular notice.

Q. How did you know you weren't watched by Government men?

A. I don't know.

Q. Didn't the Mate tell you that an Immigration man had made inquiry concerning you?

A. He did. [6822]

Q. When did he tell you that?

A. He said that the Immigration man came aboard ship to see that I was all right.

Q. When did he tell you the Immigration man had come aboard ship?

A. During the night when I was asleep.

Q. Did he tell you who the man was?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

A. No, he didn't.

Mr. Del Guercio: We have been all over this before.

Mr. Gladstein: This is something brand new.

Mr. Del Guercio: The testimony was it was a Custom man.

Mr. Grossman: The testimony is both, a Custom man and an Immigration man.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. What else was said in this conversation?

Presiding Inspector: Haven't you been over that?

Mr. Gladstein: It is true; I think so.

Presiding Inspector: They haven't gone into that. I think you have been over it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. You testified that you took a Greyhound bus to Tacoma? A. Yes. [6823]

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that. It has all been gone over before.

Mr. Gladstein: I want to ask one or two questions on this, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: If you want to add something I will allow it.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalonga, we have the information that the Greyhound Bus people do not run a bus on the route that you say you took one. Would

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

that in any way affect your testimony—do you want to change it?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that. There is evidence here—

A. (Interposing) Well, Mr. Gladstein—

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute.

Presiding Inspector: I will let him inquire as to how he knows it was a Greyhound bus.

A. It is a subsidiary of the Greyhound, the North Coast—it was the North Coast. That is the outfit that runs between Portland and Seattle.

By Mr. Gladstein:.

Q. It wasn't the Greyhound bus, but some other kind?

A. The regular bus outfit that runs between Portland and Seattle. [6824]

Q. Did you bring your discharges?

A. Yes.

Q. May I see them?

A. (Producing discharges) You may—and if you want a copy of them you can, Mr. Gladstein. But like I told you, these papers are—

Q. (Interposing) I won't deprive you of them. You don't mind if I take them to the desk?

A. No.

Q. There are three of them?

A. Three of them; yes.

Q. You have handed me three certificates of discharge, Mr. Cannalunga, in response to our request that you produce your discharges?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Yes, sir.

Q. ~~Are these all of the certificates of discharge~~ which you have for the years 1940 and 1941 to date?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, for the record—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Is there 1941 there?

The Witness: Yes; there is a 1941 here.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. For the record, I want to show that the witness has a discharge—

A. (Interposing) Here is the first one. (Indicating). [6825]

Q. Which one? A. This one here.

Q. The earliest date is—

A. (Interposing) 5/31/40.

Q. May 31, 1940 to August 5, 1940?

A. Correct.

Q. On the ship—

A. (Interposing) David—

Q. (Continuing) —David W. Branch. The next—

A. (Interposing) Here (indicating) is the next one.

Q. The next is also on the ship, David W. Branch, and dated from August 12, 1940 until September 2, 1940? A. Yes.

Q. And the third is on the Clevdon—

A. (Interposing) Date of shipment—

Q. (Continuing) The shipment was from November 7, 1940 to April 16, 1941?

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

A. Correct. [6826]

Mr. Gladstein: That is all. Just a moment. I have one or two more things.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Mr. Cannalunga, do those three discharges that I have just read from into the record represent all the ships on which you sailed or worked during the years 1940 and 1941 to date?

A. Yes, with the exception of the West Cussetta.

Q. Yes, and the only time that you were ever on the West Cussetta is the date you have indicated in your testimony heretofore?

A. Yes, correct, and I called up the Union Hall and they are making out my shipping card and it has not come down yet.

Mr. Gladstein: Now, your Honor, we would like the letters of Mr. Bonham for the purpose of asking a question or two of this witness if those letters are now available.

Presiding Inspector: What letters are these?

Mr. Gladstein: Well, there was one letter of Mr. Bonham which referred to this question of Mr. Cannalunga—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Are these the letters that I was supposed to have?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Have you found them?

Mr. Del Guercio: I don't have them. Now, the letter that he refers to from Mr. Bonham is one



(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalunga.)

in which Mr. Bonham advised me, as I recall it, that he was continuing to make [6827] efforts to locate Mr. Cannalunga and that, in his opinion, Mr. Cannalunga's disappearance was caused by the Communist Party, and this was the usual—

Presiding Inspector (Interposing): Well, we can't take the evidence—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) That is what counsel has in mind. He may question the witness on that.

Mr. Grossman: We wonder why the carbon copies of the letters haven't been produced because Mr. Del Guercio assured us he could at least get carbon copies of these letters since they were sent by Mr. Bonham and Mr. Norene.

Presiding Inspector: Can you produce carbon copies?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will apply for copies of them.

Mr. Gladstein: Don't you have any now, Mr. Del Guercio?

Mr. Del Guercio: No.

Mr. Gladstein: Your Honor, it is simply my recollection in the Bonham letter—I am not sure about the Norene letter—I think it is also true of the Norene letter—there is a reference of a specific character regarding the search and my recollection is that Mr. Bonham names at least one person to whom he has made inquiry concerning Mr. Cannalunga, and that this occurs in the letter prior to his final conclusion in which he makes the kind of statement that Mr. Del Guercio has just made. It is that

(Testimony of Maurice J. Cannalonga.)

information contained in that letter that I desire to ask this witness about.

Presiding Inspector: Well, do you want to ask him now? [6828]

Mr. Gladstein: I don't have the letters, your Honor, so I can't ask him specifically. I do not recall whether Mr. Bonham said——

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Mr. Bonham did not say in that letter——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) You better produce the copies. You can do that in a few minutes. Can't you send for them?

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes, I can send for them.

Presiding Inspector: If you will do that.

Mr. Gladstein: I don't have any questions other than those.

Mr. Del Guercio: One was from Portland and one was from Seattle.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. If you will produce the copies, that is all right.

You step aside, then, Mr. Cannalonga. The next witness.

The Witness: Do I leave the Court Room now, your Honor?

Presiding Inspector: No. You can sit over there. I want you about because they want to ask you a few questions when they get these letters.

The Witness: All right, sir.

Presiding Inspector: Do you want him to leave the Court Room?

Mr. Del Guercio: Those letters will have to come down from Portland and Seattle.

(Witness temporarily excused.) [6829]

Presiding Inspector: I thought you said you had copies of them.

Mr. Del Guercio: No; I said we would send for copies.

Mr. Grossman: It seems to me we can get them over the telephone with a stenographer on each side taking them down.

Presiding Inspector: Perhaps you could do that this noon. I would like to get through with this witness.

Mr. Gladstein: We would too.

Presiding Inspector: You have taken a lot of time about it.

Your next witness.

---

**J. W. FARMER**

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Presiding Inspector: Give your name and address to the reporter.

The Witness: J. W. Farmer, F-a-r-m-e-r.

Presiding Inspector: Now, Mr. Del Guercio.

**Direct Examination**

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Where do you reside, Mr. Farmer?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. Seattle, Washington.

Q. How long have you lived in Seattle, Washington?

A. Since about '32.

Q. Since 1932? [6830]

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Continuously?

A. Beg your pardon?

Q. Continuously?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. How old are you, Mr. Farmer?

A. Born September 21, 1908; 32.

Q. Are you married?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you have any children?

A. Two.

Q. Two children. Where does your family live?

A. Beg your pardon?

Q. Where does your family live?

A. In Seattle.

Q. What is your present occupation?

A. District Manager of the Seattle Star.

Q. That is in Seattle?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And how long have you had that position?

A. About five and a half years.

Q. And what were you doing before that time, Mr. Farmer?

A. Well, I was a musician.

Q. Musician. Do you belong to any unions?

A. Yes, I belong to the American Newspaper Guild. [6831]

Q. And for how long have you been a member of the Newspaper Guild?

A. Since the last of 1936, as I recall it.

Q. And you are at present a member?

A. That is right.

Q. And what local of the Guild?

A. It is Charter 82 of the Seattle Local.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. Well, do you know a person—do you hold any position in the Guild?

A. I am president of the Seattle Guild.

Q. And were you elected president?

A. That is right.

Q. When were you elected president?

A. January 1941.

Q. When does your term expire?

A. January 1942.

Q. Prior to that time did you hold any other position in the Guild?

A. Yes, I was secretary of the Star Unit of the Seattle Newspaper Guild in 1937, and a member of the Executive Board.

Q. Are you acquainted with Nathaniel Honig?

A. Yes.

Q. For how long a period of time have you known him? A. Oh, since 1937. [6832]

Q. Is he a member of the same guild of which you are a member?

A. That is correct, the same Chapter.

Q. Did he ever hold any official position in the guild of which you are a member?

A. Yes; he was Chairman of the Industrial Unit and also was an Executive Board member.

Q. And have you had occasion to discuss with Guild members the reputation of Nathaniel Honig for truth and veracity? A. Very frequently.

Q. And what is Nathaniel Honig's reputation for truth and veracity?

A. I would say it is very good.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. Would you believe him under oath?

A. Yes, I would.

Q. Is Nathaniel Honig a member in good standing of the Guild at the present time?

A. So far as I know, yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: You may cross examine.

Mr. Grossman: May we have about one minute, please, your Honor?

Presiding Inspector: Yes, of course.

Cross Examination

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Farmer, how did it happen that you heard these [6833] discussions or had these discussions with respect to the reputation of Mr. Honig for truth and veracity?

A. William Raymond, who is the Executive Secretary of the Seattle Newspaper Guild, died, I believe, in February, and at that time we wanted to appoint an interim secretary to take his place. Nat Honig had volunteered to serve in that capacity without pay and it was discussed among the Executive Board members in the Executive Board meeting. He was subsequently asked to serve as interim secretary, that is, Executive Secretary of the Seattle Guild.

Q. Is that the occasion when there was a discussion of his truth and veracity?

A. There was very much discussion then.

Q. Isn't it unusual to discuss truth and veracity in considering whether a man will make a good secretary of a labor organization?



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. Not at all; it is very important, I would say.

Q. And how many people on that Executive Board expressed opinions as to the reputation for truth and veracity of Mr. Honig?

A. Oh, I would say six or eight, something like that.

Q. Did any express the view that his reputation for truth and veracity was not good?

A. Yes, there was one person who made a remark that she questioned—she personally questioned whether he would [6834] make a good secretary or not.

Q. I am talking about his reputation for truth and veracity.

A. I don't recall that any remark was made against him, no, in that respect.

Q. Is it your testimony that six or eight people there said that Honig had a good reputation for truth and veracity?

A. I would say that was implied. I don't know whether they used those exact words or not.

Q. By what was it implied?

A. By things that they said.

Q. What did they say?

A. That Nat had been a good Guild member and that he was working part time on the P.I., had plenty of time to devote to the job.

Q. Now, was there any member of that Executive Board who made the statement either in these words or in substance that Nat Honig has a reputa-

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

tion, a good reputation for truth and honesty, or rather, truth and veracity?

A. I don't recall that those words were used.

Q. In substance?

A. I mean certainly it was implied that he did have.

Q. What do you mean by truth and veracity?

A. I mean that the man could be believed under oath if he made any statement under oath or that his spoken word could be believed. [6835]

Q. All right. Now, can you remember what any single individual at that Executive Board meeting said?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All right, name his name and state what he said.

A. I recall one was Burt Sparks, an Executive Board member. When we were discussing who we should have and whether or not Nat would be all right he said that he had known Nat for a long time and certainly thought that he would be very capable in that job.

Q. Do you remember anything else he said?

A. Not at the moment.

Q. Can you think of anything that anyone else in that Executive Board said on this question of truth and veracity?

A. Yes, I can recall that Bill Schultze said substantially the same thing, that he was—Bill also stated that he enjoyed a very good reputation among the fellow workers on the copy desk of the Post-Intelligencer.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. Reputation for doing what?

A. As a newspaper man.

Q. Can you think of anything that anyone else there said?

A. Well, they all said—I mean the whole trend of the conversation was substantially the same, but I recall those two particular ones. [6836]

Q. Was there any other occasion when you heard any discussion of the reputation of Nat Honig for truth and veracity?

A. At what particular time?

Q. Any other time? A. Yes.

Q. What was the occasion?

A. I recall one time that Nat Honig had come out to my house, at his own invitation, and at that time, that night, it seems to me there were five or six persons there, other persons there—Burt Sparks was there, Fred Niendorff—N-i-e-n-d-o-r-f-f—was there, Al Brock was there, and Bill Schultze—S-c-h-u-l-t-z-e—was there, and Howard Barnes, who is now Advertising Manager for the Seattle Star, was there. This was prior to the convention, the Guild Convention at Memphis, and we were discussing candidates, and Nat Honig joined in the conversations.

I remember there were a few of us who went into the kitchen, and we were talking in the kitchen and Nat was out in the living room. At that time we discussed Nat, just how he stood: we discussed whether or not, because of his acknowledged change of affili-

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

ation, if he was sincerely a good Guild member, if he could be trusted, if we could believe things he said that he had told us at that meeting. It was the opinion among all of us then that that was true, we could.

Q. That what was true?

A. That he could be believed. [6837]

Q. Who was in that kitchen?

A. Fred Niendorff, Burt Sparks, Al Brock, myself, and there may have been others, but I don't recall who.

Q. Did everyone in the kitchen agree on all those things?

A. That is correct.

Q. Do you think of any other occasion—let me ask you this before you answer the last question: Has there been any discussion since this testimony here in this Bridges' hearing concerning his reputation for truth and veracity?

A. Yes, sir; there has been informal discussions.

Q. Have you discussed with anyone his reputation for truth and veracity after he testified here?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Can you name an occasion?

A. Yes; it was after a Guild meeting—I don't recall which meeting—that there must have been, or, 25 or 30 of us went up to a restaurant after the meeting. We sat at a big long table, and were all seated together, and Nat Honig and his testimony down here was the subject of conversation.

Q. I am concerned with whether his reputation for truth and veracity was discussed?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. I don't recall whether it was or not. It was generally conceded by all of us.

Q. What do you mean by "It was generally conceded by all of us"?

A. We never had occasion to doubt anything that Nat [6838] Honig had ever said.

Q. You mean you had never had any occasion to doubt his truth and veracity? A. Right.

Q. Do you know whether the other 25 there had had any occasion to doubt it?

A. I couldn't speak for them. I can speak only for myself.

Q. You are only speaking for yourself when you say that.

A. In that particular case; yes.

Presiding Inspector: Did they express any doubt?

The Witness: No. There was no doubt expressed.

Presiding Inspector: And so that far you speak for all of them?

The Witness: Yes.

Mr. Grossman: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: They didn't express any doubt?

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Have you ever heard that Nat Honig was accused of stealing books from the Frederick & Nelson Department store? A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever hear that he had been accused of stealing books for a long period of time from that department store? A. No.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, I object to that unless [6839] counsel states what he means by "accused." Certainly the testimony here is that there was no charge made against Nat Honig?

Presiding Inspector: I think I will allow it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you ever hear of an occasion when Nat Honig was arrested, or taken into custody, by a store detective in connection with a charge of stealing books from the Frederick & Nelson Department Store?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: That isn't quite the evidence.

Mr. Del Guercio: That is not the evidence.

Presiding Inspector: As I understand it, a definite statement was made by Honig that he was not arrested.

Mr. Grossman: I said "taken into custody."

Presiding Inspector: That is "arresting"—I don't understand the difference.

Mr. Grossman: Detained, then.

Presiding Inspector: No; that he voluntarily went to the Police Station.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you remember hearing about an occasion—

Presiding Inspector: Isn't that right?

Mr. Grossman: I will put it that way—I want to describe the occasion simply for the present.



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you ever hear of an occasion when Nat Honig, in connection with a charge of stealing some books, went to the Police Station and the books were supposed to have been stolen, if from anyone, from the Frederick & Nelson Department Store? Do you remember that occasion, or have you ever heard of it?

A. I remember an incident of that type; yes.

Q. Did you ever hear of it? A. Yes.

Q. Was there ever any discussion among these people whom you have mentioned as having discussed his reputation for truth and veracity of that incident?

A. Yes; no lengthy discussion.

Q. Did you ever discuss his reputation for truth and veracity after that incident?

A. I don't know whether there had—I don't recall any occasion where that came up.

Q. Did you ever hear, that before this occasion that I have referred to, Nat Honig had taken books regularly from the Frederick & Nelson Department Store without paying for them?

Mr. Del Guercio: That is not in evidence at all.

Presiding Inspector: There is no such testimony.

Mr. Del Guercio: There is no such evidence in the record and— [6841]

Presiding Inspector: I have ruled in your favor, Mr. Del Guercio.

Mr. Grossman: Just a moment, your Honor.

On the question of whether in cross examining a

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

character witness who testifies as to the good character of a witness, whether you can go into matter that hasn't yet been proved, which may be rumor, so far as this witness is concerned, Mr. Wigmore has quite a bit of discussion——

Presiding Inspector: I don't care what Wigmore says.

Mr. Grossman: Mr. Wigmore states the general rule, and let me state the reasons why he takes——

Presiding Inspector: Let me see that.

Mr. Grossman: Section 988.

(“Wigmore on Evidence” was passed to the Presiding Inspector.)

Mr. Grossman: As a matter of fact, he doesn't agree with part of the rules——

Presiding Inspector: Pardon?

Mr. Grossman: Mr. Wigmore states it is the rule in many jurisdictions, though he disagrees with part of them. I am not quoting Wigmore, but his quotation of decisions, rather.

Presiding Inspector: You haven't established that he knows of any such rumors.

Mr. Grossman: I have a right to ask him.

Presiding Inspector: Why don't you ask him? You [6842] haven't asked him.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Mr. Farmer, have you ever heard of a story, or a rumor, or a charge, that Nat Honig had taken many books, without paying for them, from the Frederick & Nelson Department Store before this occasion when he went to the Police Department?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. No.

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to the question.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it. He said "No."

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Have you ever heard that mentioned?

Presiding Inspector: He said "No."

Mr. Del Guercio: That has been asked and answered.

Presiding Inspector: Go ahead.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Have you ever heard, Mr. Farmer, that Nat Honig asked certain Executive Officers of the National Office of the Newspaper Guild to write a reference for him that he had worked for certain newspapers, for whom he had not worked?

A. I will say on that, that I read an account of it in the paper.

Q. When did you read an account of it in the paper?

A. I don't remember the date. It was during his testimony here at this trial. [6843]

Q. Did you ever check that story after reading about it?

A. I had no occasion to.

Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Honig about it?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever discuss it with any of these people that expressed to you, according to your testimony, the view that his reputation for truth and veracity was good?

A. No.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. Mr. Farmer, can you state to me how you can testify here that his reputation for truth and veracity is good without having investigated such a story when you read it?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to the question, if your Honor please, as being improper.

Mr. Grossman: Let me ask a preliminary question and I will come back to that.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you consider that if Mr. Honig did what you read in the newspaper he did, that it was consistent with his being a man of truth and veracity?

A. Are you asking for an opinion?

Q. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: That isn't in evidence, and that isn't what the newspaper said.

Presiding Inspector: I don't know about that, but I will allow this question, although I don't know how you are going to [6844] go any further with it.

Go ahead. I will allow this anyway.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. If what you read in the newspaper was correct about a false reference, would you say that was consistent with the truth and veracity of Mr. Honig?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that unless counsel tells the witness that this so-called slander appeared in a Communist newspaper.

Presiding Inspector: Oh, no, Mr. Del Guercio.

Mr. Grossman: Let the witness testify now, and perhaps he will so testify after hearing that.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Presiding Inspector: I think both statements are out of order.

I will allow the question.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you remember my question?

A. No.

Q. I ask you whether such an application for a false reference would be inconsistent with the truth and veracity of Mr. Honig as you knew it?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to the form of the question.

Presiding Inspector: I will state it—not inconsistent with his reputation, but inconsistent with being a man of truth and veracity. [6845]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Whether you would think that he could be a man of truth and veracity and do that?

Mr. Del Guercio: There is no evidence on that.

Presiding Inspector: But he is testing his idea of what truth and veracity is. I will allow it.

A. May I answer it in this way: That if a man had given me his spoken word on something, and later had been proved that what he told me was not true, then certainly I would question his truthfulness.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You haven't answered the question.

Mr. Del Guercio: That answers the question.

A. (Continuing): You are asking an academic question.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Assuming the truth of what you read in the newspaper, would you say that Mr. Honig was a man of truthfulness and veracity?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to the form of the question.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is highly improper.

Mr. Del Guercio: What newspaper is he referring to?

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. I am going to repeat the facts and forget the newspaper. Would you say that a man could ask for a reference from the National Offices of his organization to the effect that he had worked on newspapers that he had not worked on, [6846] and still be a man of truth and veracity?

A. Not if that were true.

Q. Now, you say you made no investigation whatsoever as to the truth of this charge—

Presiding Inspector: That is what he said.

Mr. Del Guercio: What charge?

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Are you friendly with Nat Honig?

A. What do you mean "friendly"?

Q. How friendly are you with him?

A. I have known him over a long period of time. I have known his wife very well. I knew her when she was working at the Star before they married.

Q. How long have you known her?



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. I would meet him at Guild meetings and various caucus meetings where I met Nat. I discussed—we were fellow delegates to the Washington State CIO Convention in 1941. He rode with me in my car to that convention. We were together all the time during that convention. I think we were there a day and a half.

Q. Have you ever met him socially?

A. Yes. I have had dinner at his house.

Q. How many times? A. I don't recall.

Q. About how many times? [6847]

A. Four or five times, I would say.

Q. Did he ever eat at your house, come to your house?

A. Yes.

Q. About how many times?

A. Four or five. I might add that my dinner dates, because of the hours that I work, I very seldom have friends in for dinner or go out. I work right over the normal dinner period. I work from 12:00 to 9:00.

Q. That being true, this would be a large number of occasions, considering your program wouldn't it?

A. I would say so.

Q. Then you would consider yourself rather friendly with him, by your definition of the word "friendly"?

A. That is correct.

Q. Did you know his wife before he married her?

A. Yes.

Q. Were you friendly with her before you ever met him?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. What do you mean by that?

Q. Your own definition of "friendliness."

A. I never had dinner with her; no.

Q. No implications. I want to know whether you considered yourself a friend of hers before you ever met him?

A. I organized her into the Guild.

Q. Have you ever run on the same slate in the union with Mr. Honig? [6848]

A. Yes; yes.

Q. How many times?

A. Twice, as I recall.

Q. When was the last time?

A. I think the last time was for delegates to the Washington State CIO Council, as I recall.

Q. When was the first time?

A. I believe he was on the—he was on the slate of officers. He was nominated for Secretary. I believe, for the Guild in 1941.

Q. When was that? A. 1941.

Q. 1941?

A. That is right. The election was held in January of 1941.

Q. It was an election when you were elected?

A. That is correct.

Q. Was the entire slate elected?

A. Well, I don't quite understand your definition of "slate." I mean all these persons were nominated for office.

Q. I thought there might have been a slate of candidates, that is, all you candidates got together

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

and said, "We are all running on the same program and believe in the same things. Vote for all of us." Did that take place?

A. As I recall it, there was a pamphlet, or something of that type, gotten up. I didn't participate in it. I [6849] didn't know of any candidate for office who did participate in it.

Q. I see. Was everyone on that list elected?

A. I don't recall if I saw the list.

Q. Was Mr. Honig elected Secretary?

A. No.

Q. When did you first believe that Mr. Honig had a good reputation for truth and veracity?

A. Well, that is rather hard to answer because I never thought otherwise. I never had had any occasion to doubt whether he was. I mean, as far as I am concerned, a man's truth and veracity is above question until it is proven otherwise.

Q. That being true, can you explain why there was a discussion of why he was a man of truth and veracity in this Executive Board meeting?

A. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: There is no such testimony.

The Witness: I think I answered that as a matter of going to the qualifications of a person for that job.

Presiding Inspector. I will take it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. How long have you known Mr. Honig?

Mr. Del Guercio: He has already testified to that.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. Since 1937, approximately. [6850]

By Mr. Grossman.

Q. Do you know Mr. Honig was a Communist during part of the time you knew him?

A. Do I know he was a Communist?

Q. Yes. A. I had heard he was.

Q. When was the first time you heard he was a Communist?

A. I don't recall the occasion.

Q. Well, you believe that he was a man of truth and veracity during the time that you heard he was a Communist, isn't that correct?

A. I had heard nothing to the contrary.

Q. Who talked to you for the first time about testifying in this proceeding?

A. Mr. Roth.

Q. Mr. who? A. Roth.

Q. Do you know who he is A. Pardon?

Q. Do you know who he is?

A. He said he was a special agent from the FBI.

Q. When did he first talk to you?

A. Last Friday.

Q. Did he, or anyone else, tell you how he happened to go to you? A. No. [6851]

Q. What was the first thing he said to you?

A. He questioned me about a story that had appeared in the Guild Reporter. The story in the Guild Reporter—I mean, there was a tag line on another story. It was sent in by a Seattle newspaper. As President of the Newspaper Guild, I

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

sent the story to Fred Meyer, who was editor of the Guild Reporter. My name appeared in that story as having sent the story to the Guild Reporter.

Q. What did he say about that story—how did he say that the story—withdraw that.

What was your discussion on this story, in addition to what you have stated?

A. Whether or not the story had been written, whether or not it was approved by the Chapter meeting.

Q. When did he begin to discuss with you your testifying here?

A. Well, it was during that same conversation. He asked me about the story, I mean the meeting at which the story was approved and passed by the membership, and if I had seen the story in the Guild Reporter as it came out and was published.

Q. Are you leading up to the question of how he mentioned your testifying here—that is what I am concerned with? A. Yes.

Q. And that is when he first mentioned you testifying here? A. Yes. [6852]

Q. What was his first words here with reference to your testifying here?

Mr. Del Guercio: If the witness remembers.

A. As I recall, he asked if I would testify here.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. As to what?

A. As to the story as it appeared in the Guild Reporter. And he also asked about Nat Honig,

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

asked how long I had known Nat Honig, what I knew of him, how long he had been a member of the Guild, what was his record in the Guild. And after I made these statements he asked if I would testify to that effect.

Q. Did he ever use these words—withdraw that. Did he ever ask you what the reputation of Nat Honig was for truth and veracity in those words?

A. I don't recall whether he did or not in those words. [6853]

Q. Did he ever ask you whether you had discussed with anyone whether Nat Honig was a man of truth and veracity?

A. I don't recall that he asked it in that way. He asked if I had ever had any discussion with any Guild members about Nat and I told him yes, we had had much discussion.

Q. Is he the only one that you discussed the question of testifying with?

A. Before I got to San Francisco?

Q. Yes. A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever discuss it with Nat Honig?

A. No.

Q. You are sure of that?

A. I told—no, there was no discussion. Nat was working on the desk. I might state that when Mr. Roth asked if I would testify I told him that I was awfully busy—it was just before the Guild Convention—I was awfully busy and that I would go if I was subpoenaed. I would have to go. I mean, there was nothing else I could do.



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

**Q.** I am concerned with Nat Honig now.

**A.** When I saw Nat it was late Friday afternoon. He was on the copy desk on the P. I. I didn't go up to see Nat; I was up there to see the Chairman of the P. I. Unit, Bernie Jacobson.

**The Reporter:** Spell that, please.

**The Witness:** B-e-r-n-i-e Jacobson.

We were discussing some contract matters on the P. I. Nat [6854] was sitting over on the copy desk. I went over and spoke to him and some of the other boys on the desk. I told him that Mr. Roth had been to see me and had said that I might be subpoenaed to testify in this hearing.

**Q.** Have you had any social contact with Mr. Honig after he testified here?

**A.** When did he testify here? Sometime in May, wasn't it?

**Mr. Grossman:** Do you know, Mr. Gaiser?

**Mr. Gaiser:** I can probably approximate it from this list of the reporters.

**Mr. Gladstein:** It was in April.

**Mr. Grossman:** It would be in April.

**Mr. Gaiser:** The first document introduced on Honig's testimony was April 21st.

**Mr. Grossman:** Approximately April 21st.

**The Witness:** Yes.

**By Mr. Grossman:**

**Q.** Could you describe those occasions for us?

**Mr. Del Guercio:** If the Court please, I don't believe that is necessary.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. As I recall it——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I will take it briefly.

The Witness: Beg your pardon?

Presiding Inspector: Have you been to his house? [6855]

The Witness: Not to his house.

Presiding Inspector: Or the theatre with him? Had luncheon with him?

The Witness: Yes, after Board meetings and after Guild meetings we would all go out and have coffee together.

Presiding Inspector: These are merely suggestive kind of things.

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Have you had any social contact with him outside of social contact after Guild meetings?

A. No.

Q. You haven't had lunch with him?

A. No.

Q. He hasn't been to your house for dinner or vice-versa?

A. No; I mean, I am in the midst of moving so I don't have any place where anybody could come.

Q. You haven't been to his house?

A. No; he just moved and I don't know what his present address is.

Q. Have you ever worked with Nat Honig?

A. What do you mean by that?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. Worked closely with him in the newspaper business?

A. No; he has always—I have always been on the [6856] Star while Nat was in Seattle.

Q. And he has always been——

A. (Interposing) On the Timber Worker or the Post Intelligencer.

Q. He has never worked on the Star?

A. No, not so far as I know; I mean, he has not in the last five and one-half years.

Q. You stated that you had participated in certain caucuses with Nat Honig. What were these caucuses?

A. Well, they were caucuses of Guild members. They were not in any sense of the word closed caucuses. They were usually held, in most places—I mean, they were held before a Guild meeting or between Guild meetings. The policy was discussed, organizing problems were discussed.

Q. Were these official meetings of the Union?

A. No; they were caucuses.

Q. Well, they weren't recognized by the Union as an official part of its activities?

A. I don't understand what you mean by that.

Q. I mean, the Union—let me put it this way:—

A. (Interposing) It was not called by the—I mean, I didn't call the caucuses as President of the Guild.

Q. Let me put it this way: There are many different types of Union meetings. There are meetings where the whole Union meets in a membership meet-

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

ing. Then, you may have committee meetings of the Union. You may have units, the Post Intelligencer and Star Units. All are official meetings of the Union although they may not take in all the members.

Q. In that sense were these caucuses official meetings of the Union? A. No.

Q. These caucuses were meetings of certain members of the Union to draft and put over a certain policy of the Union; is that what they generally were for?

A. What do you mean by "draft and put over"?

Q. What were they for?

A. Nothing could be passed without the majority of the membership.

Q. What was the reason for these caucus meetings?

A. Discussion of organizing problems.

Q. What was the need for meetings?

A. There is always a very great need in any Union to discuss organizing.

Q. What was the need of discussing these things in non-Union meetings?

A. Many times—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Your Honor, I object.

The Witness: (Continuing) —particularly in the copy section of the Post Intelligencer where there are twenty men, there they are not only able to get to—

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

By Mr. Grossman: [6858]

Q. (Interposing) This was activity conducted with Mr. Honig. I want to know its detail.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is perfectly obvious a group met previous to meetings.

Mr. Grossman: I want to know the detail, what kind of a group it was.

Presiding Inspector: Why don't you ask him that?

Mr. Grossman: How closely it worked, and so on. I think it is of some relevance.

Presiding Inspector: We had the same thing, in a way, in these Albion Hall caucuses.

Mr. Grossman: Yes, and we went into detail. I want a little more detail here.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What was the need for these meetings?

Presiding Inspector: Not the need of them.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What did you feel to be the need?

Presiding Inspector: Whom did they consist of? How was this caucus gotten up?

Mr. Grossman: Answer the Judge's question, now, to take the place of mine.

The Witness: How were the caucuses called?

Presiding Inspector: Who were the members of it?

The Witness: They were called. There is no membership [6859] in a caucus, or these cau-

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

causes. Any person who is a Guild member may attend these caucuses. They are pretty widely known, I mean, it is known through all the plants that a caucus is going to be called to discuss certain matters, organizing, for instance, or what we shall do on the current time situation. Some situation will come up, some particular situation will come up and some of the boys on the P. I. or the Times or the Star will contact other persons and ask them to invite other people; I mean, it is rather a mushroom effect to discuss particular problems which come up.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Were there no limitations or qualifications as to who could attend and participate in these caucuses?

A. Yes, limitations and qualifications in that they must be Guild members.

Q. That is the only one? A. That is right.

Q. They didn't have to believe in a certain policy or anything like that? A. That is right.

Q. Did Nat Honig play any active part in these caucuses?

A. As I recall, he attended most of the caucuses. When he was not working he would attend the caucuses.

Q. Who arranged the caucuses? Did you?

[6860]

A. No.

Q. Did Nat Honig? A. No.

Q. Was it out of such a caucus that this slate came into being that we were talking about?



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. I don't know——

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Will you read that, please?

Presiding Inspector: "Was it out of such a caucus that this slate came into being that we were talking about"?

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You stated some printed matter was put out listing all these candidates, all these particular candidates and asking that they all be voted for. Do you know whether the caucuses had anything to do with printing that printed matter?

A. No caucuses that I ever attended did.

Q. Well, do you believe that the caucuses had something to do with that?

Mr. Myron: He said he didn't.

A. Do I what?

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you believe that the caucuses had something to do with that?

Mr. Myron: I object to that question.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it.

A. I don't know of every caucus there is in Seattle. [6861]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Do you believe that the caucuses had something to do with putting out that printed matter?

A. I have no belief that a caucus did that.

Q. Have you any idea as to who put out that printed matter establishing a slate?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that, your Honor, as being immaterial and irrelevant, not even remotely connected.

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it.

Can you tell anything about how this slate got out or where it came from?

Mr. Del Guercio: He testified that there wasn't any slate, if your Honor please.

Presiding Inspector: He said that certain people were nominated, and we popularly call those who are nominated the slate if they were nominated by a group at all.

A. I don't—I mean, as far as that printed matter is concerned I don't recall whether it carried a name. I think it did carry a name of some kind as to who put it out. If we had a copy of it, I mean, I would recognize what it was.

Presiding Inspector: You don't recall?

The Witness: No.

Presiding Inspector: Did you have anything to do with it?

The Witness: No. [6862]

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you object to it when you saw it?

A. No, I didn't object to it because there was printed matter, I think—oh, at various times in the Guild there has been printed matter which has been distributed.

Q. Did these caucuses meet regularly.

A. No.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. About how many caucuses would you say you have attended with Nat Honig?

A. I have attended with Nat Honig?

Q. Yes.

A. Over what period?

Q. Since you have known him?

A. Beg your pardon?

Q. Since you have known him?

A. Well, I don't have any way to determine that.

Q. How long have these caucuses been in existence?

A. As long as the Guild, I would imagine.

Q. Well, do you know how long you have known about them?

A. Since I have been a Guild member I have known there has always been caucuses.

Q. Have you attended caucuses since you have been a Guild member?

A. Yes, off and on.

Q. The same kind of caucus that you are now describing? [6863]

A. Yes, I mean, where a group of individual members of the Guild will get together. I mean, we call it a caucus, if four or five Guild men were to meet on the street, or get through their run in a particular time and they go down to have a cup of coffee together and they discuss Guild matters; that would be a caucus.

Q. Now, did these caucuses ever decide on a program to carry out in the Union meeting?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. Well, a caucus itself has no standing so far as legality of the Union is concerned. When they meet together they meet to discuss certain problems which they think will probably come up, or which have developed, and they get a general agreement among those persons who attend the caucus. Thus caucuses—I recall one caucus where, I think, there was some 80-odd Guild members present at this caucus. It so happened that that caucus was larger—the caucus itself was larger than a previous membership meeting. At this discussion that took place it was decided—certain things were decided, I mean, one of which was that the organizing drive on a particular paper must take place. That discussion was held before the—during—in this caucus before a general membership meeting so that when they attended the general membership meeting these persons had the benefit of discussion previous to the meeting.

Q. Didn't you understand that all the members of the [6864] caucus were to carry out the decision of the caucus in the membership meeting?

A. Not at all, not at all. There is nothing binding at all.

Q. Did you feel that it bound you?

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please—

A. (Interposing) Not at all.

Mr. Del Guercio: (Continuing) I think we have reached the limit here in connection with this. We have been very patient.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Presiding Inspector: Well, there is no question pending now.

Mr. Del Guercio: It is not even remotely connected with the subject under inquiry, your Honor.

Mr. Grossman: Apparently this witness and Mr. Honig have been working together in a type of work—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) You have got that all shown.

Mr. Grossman: (Continuing), —in a type of organization. I am concerned with exactly how much of an organization it was.

Presiding Inspector: How does that make any difference? Isn't that pretty remote?

Mr. Grossman: I don't think so. I think that is the reason he is testifying here, your Honor. I think that connection with Mr. Honig carried through in various ways, his [6865] social life, his trade union and so on.

Presiding Inspector: If he weren't connected with Mr. Honig he wouldn't know anything about his reputation.

Mr. Grossman: There is much more to it than that.

Presiding Inspector: That is your contention, of course, that there is more to it than that.

Mr. Grossman: I mean, there is a lot closer connection than just—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) Why don't you bring it out instead of beating around the bush.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Grossman: Then don't object to it when I am bringing it out.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Don't you know, as a matter of fact, it was a theory of this caucus after a decision was made that the members of the caucus, or most of them, or the good members of it would carry out the decision in the Union meeting?

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that.

Presiding Inspector: I will take that.

A. Absolutely not.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you ever go into a meeting and take a different position than this caucus took?

A. Frequently.

Q. Do you know whether Nat Honig did? [6866]

A. Frequently.

Q. Can you explain why you took a different position in the Union than you took in the caucus?

Mr. Del Guercio: Is it necessary to go into the reasons also as to why he took a different attitude—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I will take it. I think it will be shorter.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Why did you depart from what decision there may have been in the caucus in the Union meeting?

A. Because I didn't believe the same as some people.

Q. Why did you hold the caucus in the first



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

place if you weren't going to carry out the decision reached in the caucus in the membership meeting?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is within the scope of this inquiry.

The Witness: Will you restate the question again, please?

Mr. Grossman: Will you read it, please?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. A caucus was held, as I think I said—

Mr. Myron: (Interposing) I object. He has already stated the purpose of the caucuses, what happened in the caucuses. [6867]

Presiding Inspector: State it again.

The Witness: The caucus was for general discussion.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Are you claiming you couldn't have general discussion in your membership meetings of your Union? A. Not at all.

Q. Why couldn't that discussion suffice without a private meeting to have such a discussion?

A. Because of the length of time—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) I object to that as being a debate between counsel and the witness.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

The Witness: There is a matter of time involved. Meetings are called at 8:30 and there are many times when discussions—you can have previous dis-

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

cussions on any subject, and then, you can go in informed on all sides of that particular question. It takes up less time in the membership meeting. However, our meetings have not been too notable for their lack of time or their shortness of duration.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. When you had caucuses did you take steps to get all sides of the questions you intended to discuss represented in your caucuses?

A. Did I take steps? [6868]

Q. Did anyone who formed the caucuses, to your knowledge?

A. There were many differences of opinion.

Q. I am asking you whether any steps were taken to see to it that the opposite views on the questions you were going to discuss would be represented in the caucus?

A. I would say yes.

Q. Did the Union ever approve the policy of holding caucuses before the meetings?

A. Yes, that has been generally approved. I don't know that it ever was put as a motion and accepted.

Q. You know how things are decided in Unions, don't you.

A. By membership vote, yes.

Q. That is right. Did your Union ever officially take any action approving the policy of holding a caucus before or between meetings?

A. I think I might state that the policy—

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Q. (Interposing) Just a moment. Answer yes or no.

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a minute.

Mr. Grossman: Then you may explain all you wish.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is proper.

Mr. Grossman: Yes or no first. Then you may explain if it needs explanation.

The Witness: Whether or not—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) I don't think he can [6869] answer yes or no.

Presiding Inspector: If he can't, he can say so.

The Witness: I can't answer yes or no to that.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did the Union ever pass a motion or resolution approving the policy of holding caucuses between meetings or before meetings?

A. I should say that it has been generally approved.

Q. Yes or no?

A. I can't answer yes or no to that.

Q. You don't know whether a motion or resolution was passed?

A. Approving caucuses?

Q. Approving these particular caucuses, yes?

A. I mean, the question is negative in itself.

Q. All right. You can't answer the question, is that it, yes or no?

A. I can answer it, yes, if you give me an opportunity—

Q. (Interposing) Can you answer it yes or no? That is the question.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, he is not required to answer a question yes or no.

Mr. Grossman: I am not required to listen to an explanation if he says it can't be answered yes or no. [6870]

Mr. Del Guercio: If you don't want an answer to the question—

Mr. Grossman: (Interposing) If he doesn't know if a motion was passed by the Union I don't want his answer.

Presiding Inspector: You say you don't know whether or not a motion was passed?

The Witness: Well, a motion was never made, to my knowledge; a motion was never made to allow or disallow caucuses.

Mr. Grossman: All right.

The Witness: May I add to that question? May I add to the answer?

Presiding Inspector: Your answer, yes.

The Witness: I recall that a recess was called at a meeting so that a caucus might be held and that motion was made, put on the floor and was seconded and was passed and a caucus was held.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You mean a temporary recess in a meeting?

A. That is correct.

Q. For a few minutes?

A. I don't know that there was any stated time.

Q. Do you consider that approval of this policy of conducting caucuses that you were holding?

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

A. I would say so.

Q. All right. What was the question that was to be [6871] discussed at this caucus?

A. I don't recall.

Mr. Del Guercio: Your Honor, now we are going pretty far afield, I believe, certainly nothing connected with the——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) He says he doesn't recall.

Mrs. King: If your Honor please, I think we could proceed more rapidly if we had the recess now.

Presiding Inspector: No, I think we will go right along and finish this subject, if we can.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did the Seattle Newspaper Guild ever pass a resolution against caucuses? A. No

Q. You are sure of that now?

A. Not that I recall. I beg your pardon?

Q. You are sure of that?

A. To my knowledge no resolution has ever been passed against caucuses.

Q. Is there some doubt in your mind?

A. No.

Q. Have you yourself ever taken any position openly before the meetings of the Seattle Guild opposing caucuses before or between meetings?

A. No. [6872]

Q. You are sure of that now? A. Positive.

Q. I am not concerned with opposing your particular policies——

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) He has just answered the question.

Presiding Inspector: He is asking if he is sure of it.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. I am not referring to your caucuses. I am referring to the question of caucuses generally. Have you ever taken the position against the holding of caucuses between meetings or before meetings?

A. No; I have always believed it a very democratic procedure.

Q. Do you know whether Honig has ever taken that position in your local Union?

A. I don't know whether he has ever taken any position on it at all. I don't know that it ever came up.

Mr. Grossman: No more questions.

Presiding Inspector: Anything further?

Mr. Del Guercio: Just one question. Counsel referred to a story.

Mr. Gladstein: Counsel did not refer to a story, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: No, he referred to a rumor.

[6873]

Mr. Del Guercio: No, I have in mind, if your Honor please, when counsel cross examined as to when he was first contacted by an FBI Agent and the witness said it was because of a story that appeared—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Oh, yes.



(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Del Guercio: (Continuing)—In some paper. I am asking him about that story.

Mr. Gladstein: The witness mentioned it; counsel did not.

Presiding Inspector: Do you think that is of any materiality?

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes, your Honor.

**Redirect Examination**

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. What story was that, Mr. Farmer?

Mr. Gladstein: I object to that as immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think we want to go into that. Show whether or not it referred to Honig.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Did it refer to Honig? A. Yes.

Q. In what way did it refer to Honig?

Mr. Gladstein: That is immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: No, we can't get into that.

By Mr. Del Guercio: [6874]

Q. Was it in connection with a resolution passed by the Seattle Newspaper Guild?

Presiding Inspector: I will exclude it.

Mr. Gladstein: It is immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: We don't know anything about it. It doesn't matter.

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, on cross examination counsel there asked a question as to whether or not Honig's reputation for truth and veracity had ever been brought up.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, can't I go into that matter?

Mr. Gladstein: In so far as redirect refers to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) In so far as they went into it, but they haven't gone into—

Mr. Guercio: (Interposing) They brought out this story.

Presiding Inspector: No, they didn't bring out the story. They said simply there was something in the newspaper that brought this man into contact. Now, it doesn't make any difference what it was. It may be that he kept a chicken farm; we don't care; it doesn't make any difference.

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, I desire to make an offer of proof at this time.

Presiding Inspector: Very well. [6875]

Mr. Del Guercio: I desire to show through this witness that at a certain time—

Mr. Gladstein: (Interposing) One moment. I will object to the offer of proof as being immaterial and improper.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think you should do anything more. Do you want to offer the statement in the newspaper?

Mr. Del Guercio: Not the statement in the newspaper, if your Honor please, but a resolution that was passed by the Seattle Newspaper Guild relative to Nat Honig with reference to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) We can't take such proof; it would be hearsay.

(Testimony of J. W. Farmer.)

Mr. Grossman: We can produce lots of resolutions.

Presiding Inspector: We could not take a resolution of that kind.

Mr. Del Guercio: No more questions.

Mr. Grossman: That is all.

(Witness excused.)

Presiding Inspector: Now, we will take a recess.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

Mr. Del Guercio: The next witness is being called.

Presiding Inspector: Are you a witness?

Mr. Eigner: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Come right over here.

[6876]

---

W. A. EIGNER

called as a witness in rebuttal for the Government,  
being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct Examination

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. What is your name?

A. W. A. Eigner.

The Reporter: Spell it, please.

The Witness: E-i-g-n-e-r.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Where do you reside, Mr. Eigner?

A. In Portland.

Q. And a subpoena was served upon you to bring a certain document. Did you bring it?

(Testimony of W. A. Eigner.)

A. Yes sir.

Q. May I see it?

A. (Handing document to Mr. Del Guercio).

Q. I will ask you first where are you employed?

A. At the State Liquor Store.

Q. State Liquor Store where?

A. Broadway, Portland, Oregon.

Q. How long have you been so employed?

A. Two months.

Q. And where is that store located?

A. On Broadway and Davis. [6877]

Q. Broadway and—

A. (Interposing): Davis.

Q. Broadway and Davis. Were you so employed on May 3rd, 1941?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And at what hours were you employed at that place on that date?

A. From 3:30 in the afternoon until 11:00 at night.

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please, I have a document here from the Oregon Liquor Control Commission dated May 3, 1941; 41887-36 to Store No. 23 showing that on that date there was sold—I will ask the witness here.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. This document shows—what does it show was on that day, Mr. Eigner?

A. No. 495-B, that is a Government House rum.

Q. How much?

A. A Fifth, Government House rum.

(Testimony of W. A. Eigner.)

Q. Government House rum. Who purchased that?

A. A fellow by the name of Craycraft.

Q. Now, did Craycraft sign that receipt?

A. Yes sir, as far as I know.

Q. Now, under the law of the State of Oregon can anyone—who could purchase liquor?

A. Nobody unless they got a permit.

Q. Does the permit have the name of the purchaser, [6878] the person? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Does it have the signature?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you compare the signature on the permit with the signature on that slip?

A. No, we don't hardly look at the name on the permit.

Mr. Del Guercio: I offer this in evidence, if your Honor please.

Mr. Grossman: We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial. Putting in evidence like this which has only the purpose of corroborating a minor part of the witness' story we think is completely irrelevant in any case.

Mr. Del Guercio: Is it denied that—

Mr. Grossman: You are making a legal argument, Mr. Del Guercio.

Presiding Inspector: I will exclude it at present. It isn't disputed.

Mr. Grossman: It hasn't been testified; it isn't disputed.

(Testimony of W. A. Eigner.)

Mr. Del Guercio: When Craycraft was on the witness stand he didn't say anything about purchasing some liquor for Cannalonga, as a matter of fact, he said he never purchased a drink for Cannalonga. He was asked that question. [6879]

Mr. Grossman: Does this prove he purchased liquor for Cannalonga?

Presiding Inspector: No; it proves that he purchased liquor—

Mr. Del Guercio: (Interposing) He purchased liquor on May 3, 1941.

Mr. Grossman: It has not been disputed, your Honor.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think there is any dispute. I don't think it reaches any issue in the case.

Mr. Del Guercio: It contradicts, I think, in a way, Craycraft's testimony.

Presiding Inspector: Have it marked for identification. I will consider whether I will receive it or not.

Mr. Del Guercio: May we ask that a photostatic copy be substituted?

Presiding Inspector: Certainly.

Mr. Del Guercio: This is a public document.

Presiding Inspector: I know, but I don't see its materiality.

Mr. Del Guercio: It is to contradict Roscoe Craycraft's testimony on the stand here that he at no time purchased a drink for Cannalonga.

Presiding Inspector: This doesn't show that.



(Testimony of W. A. Eigner.)

Mr. Del Guercio: In a way. Cannalonga's testimony is that Rosco Craycraft stopped at a certain liquor store in [6880] Portland, Oregon, and purchased for him a quart of Government House rum. Now, on May 3, 1941, we have here a document which shows that on that day Rosco Craycraft did purchase some Government House rum. It doesn't show, of course, that he purchased it for Cannalonga.

Presiding Inspector: No.

Mr. Del Guercio: But it does have some connection.

Presiding Inspector: Very remote, very remote, indeed.

Mr. Del Guercio: Well, there is some connection certainly. I believe we are entitled to show and to produce this evidence even though it may be a little remote.

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, may I suggest what this opens up? If this is permitted, then there has been no contradictory evidence on this, it means that Mr. Craycraft, for example, for all the details of his testimony can produce such corroboration, produce a street car conductor to show he was on a street car, produce a gas station man to show he stopped and bought some gas if he happened to testify to it. We think it is completely irrelevant, not only remote, unless it is in dispute and it isn't at the present time.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think it is in dispute.

Mr. Del Guercio: I thought counsel wanted the whole truth.

(Testimony of W. A. Eigner.)

Presiding Inspector: I don't think there is any dispute. [6881]

Mr. Del Guercio: Will that be stipulated?

Presiding Inspector: You don't expect to put in testimony denying that Mr. Craycraft purchased rum at the——

Mr. Grossman: (Interposing) We assume not. We will ask him to identify——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Is Mr. Craycraft going to be here?

Mr. Grossman: He will be here.

Presiding Inspector: I will reserve that until Mr. Craycraft comes. If he denies it it can be introduced in evidence.

Very well, have it marked for identification.

(The document referred to was marked Government's Exhibit No. 291 for identification.)

Mr. Del Guercio: Do you want this back? Are you required to return this ship?

The Witness: Well, you can send it back.

Presiding Inspector: You can send it back. Is that all from this witness?

Mr. Del Guercio: Yes, that is all.

Mr. Grossman: No questions.

Presiding Inspector: You may be excused.

(Witness excused.) [6882]

Presiding Inspector: Next witness.

You have been sworn, haven't you, before?

The Witness: No.

Presiding Inspector: I mistook you for another witness.

**LAWRENCE F. SHIRLEY**

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

**Direct Examination**

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. What is your name? A. What?

Presiding Inspector: State your name.

The Witness: Shirley.

Presiding Inspector: Where do you live?

The Witness: Seattle.

Presiding Inspector: What is your first name?

The Witness: Lawrence F. Shirley.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. By whom are you employed?

A. Libby, McNeil & Libby.

Q. Where is that?

A. They are out on Lake Union in Seattle.

Q. In what capacity are you employed?

A. Assistant Office Manager out there.

Q. What kind of an outfit is that Libby, O'Neil & Libby? [6883]

A. Well, they pack salmon.

Q. Is it Libby, McNeil & Libby?

A. Libby, McNeil & Libby.

Q. In what capacity are you employed there?

A. Assistant Office Manager.

Q. How long have you been so employed?

A. I have been with them around 25 years now.

Q. In what business is that firm engaged?

A. Salmon canning, in the branch that I am in.

(Testimony of Lawrence F. Shirley.)

Q. Now, Mr. Shirley, were you served with a subpoena to produce certain papers? A. Yes.

Q. Did you produce them?

A. Yes (producing papers).

(The papers referred were passed by Mr. Del Guercio to Mr. Gladstein.)

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Will you describe the document that you have produced, Mr. Shirley?

A. This (indicating) represents our journal, which we charge on the ledger from the bills that the Captain turned in after he came back from the end of the-voyage.

Q. What Captain? A. Captain Schade.

Q. On what ship? [6884]

A. The David W. Branch.

Q. The David W. Branch? A. Yes.

Q. For what period of time?

A. This is the last trip to Taku in the fall, September 2, 1940 to the 19th of September

Q. Will you speak a little louder?

A. Yes; I will try to.

Q. Now, does that show, the document you produced, show as to whether or not some amytal tablets were furnished to Captain Schade?

A. That is what the bill shows.

Q. What does it show?

A. That the Walker Drug Company, in Ketchikan, on September 16th, furnished to Libby, McNeil & Libby—because the Captain paid for it out of his

(Testimony of Lawrence F. Shirley)

own cash funds—1½ dozen tablets Amytal, 1½ gr.

Q. Does it show anything else?

A. It shows on the bottom here that Captain Schade was buying them for M. J. Cannalonga, Fireman on the D. W. Branch.

Mr. Del Guercio: I offer this in evidence.

Mr. Grossman: It is objected to as hearsay. It is obvious, if it has any credibility at all, it is only because it purports to show that someone, not one working in the office here, not showing in the office records, had given something to Mr. [6885] Cannalonga.

Mr. Gladstein: And as being immaterial.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. These records were kept in the usual course of business? A. Yes.

Presiding Inspector: I don't see how this is material. There is no dispute about it.

Mr. Del Guercio: There is a dispute. You will recall that when this alleged statement of Mr. Cannalonga was introduced in evidence——

Presiding Inspector: How does this witness know about it?

Mr. Del Guercio: This is a transaction in the usual course of business.

Presiding Inspector: Yes; between a man named Schade and this witness.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. What is your firm's connection with Schade?

A. He is the Captain of our vessel.

Q. And the vessel belonged to——

(Testimony of Lawrence F. Shirley)

A. (Interposing): Libby, McNeil & Libby.

Q. And he is required to submit to the Company all expenses and bills in connection with that ship?

A. Yes, sir. [6886]

Presiding Inspector: I don't see that it is material, but if you think it is material I don't see that it will hurt anything. I will receive it.

Mr. Del Guercio: We do, and we ask that a photostat copy be substituted.

Presiding Inspector: Very well.

(The documents referred to were received in evidence and marked Government's Exhibit No. 292.)

Mr. Del Guercio: That is all.

Mr. Gladstein; No questions.

Presiding Inspector: You are excused.

(Witness excused.)

Presiding Inspector: Next witness.

Raise your right hand.

---

**JOHN L. MADALA**

called as a witness in rebuttal on behalf of the Government, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

**Direct Examination**

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. State your name, please?

A. John L. Madala—M-a-d-a-l-a.



(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. Are you an agent for the FBI?

A. I am.

Q. What is your position?

A. Special Agent. [6887]

Q. And for how long a period of time have you been so employed?

A. Eight and one-half years.

Q. Do you know Maurice Cannalonga?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. When did you first contact him?

A. The first time I saw him was October 5, 1940—  
October 7, 1940.

Q. And is that the first time you contacted him?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And who was with you at the time?

A. Agent Dickstein.

Q. I show you Government's Exhibit 208 and ask you if you witnessed Cannalonga's signature on that document?

A. (Examining document) I did.

Q. I show you Government's Exhibit No. 209 and ask you if you witnessed Cannalonga's signature on that document?

A. (Examining document) Yes, I did.

Q. How many times—strike that. Did you talk to Mr. Cannalonga on more than one occasion?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you at any time, while you were talking to Mr. Cannalonga, intimidate him?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did any one in your presence intimidate Cannalonga? [6888]

A. No, sir.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. Did you at any time hold a 20-year Mann Act charge over Cannalonga's head? A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone in your presence hold a Mann Act charge over Cannalonga's head? A. No, sir.

Q. Did Cannalonga make a statement to you on October 8, 1940, as shown by Government's Exhibit No. 208?

A. (Examining document) Yes, sir.

Q. Did he make that statement voluntarily?

A. Yes.

Q. What was Mr. Cannalonga's condition at the time he made this statement, or signed the statement?

A. He was perfectly normal.

Q. Was he under the influence of any drug, narcotic, or liquor at the time?

A. Not to my observation.

Q. Was he under the influence of a drug, Narcotic or liquor at any time that you contacted him or interviewed him? A. Not to my observation.

Q. I show you again Government's Exhibit 209 and ask you if Cannalonga made this statement to you? A. (Examining document) Yes, sir.

[6889]

Q. And what was his condition at that time?

A. Perfectly normal.

Q. Would you say that it was the same as his condition at the time he made this statement on October 8, 1940? A. I would say yes.

Q. Did Cannalonga at any time say to you that he would refuse to sign Government Exhibits 208 and 209 because they contained false statements?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

A. No, sir.

Q. Did he say that to anyone in your presence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did Cannalunga at any time say to you, or in your presence, that he refused to sign Government's Exhibits 208 and 209 because they contained statements to the effect that Bridges and Schneidermann were together at Communist Party meetings and because such statements, that is, Bridges and Schneidermann being at the meetings together, were false?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you at any time say to Cannalunga what had become of the girl that he, Cannalunga, picked up at Reno?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone in your presence at any time, any place, anywhere, make that statement to Cannalunga?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you at any time, any place, anywhere, say to [6890] Cannalunga, "You know the girl we are talking about?"

A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone in your presence say to Cannalunga, at any time, any place, anywhere, "You know the girl we are talking about?"

A. No, sir.

Q. Or words to that effect?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you at any time, any place, anywhere, say to Cannalunga, "Oh, yes, you know who we mean?"

A. With reference to what?

Q. With reference to the girl?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone in your presence say, "Oh, yes, you know who we mean" to Cannalunga?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence, say, use words to that effect, or substantially to that effect, or in any way implying that you knew that Cannalonga had a girl—did you say anything about a girl to Cannalonga?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did anyone in your presence say anything about a girl to Cannalonga at any time?

A. No, sir.

Q. Any place?

A. No, sir. [6891]

Q. Anywhere?

A. Still "No."

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence, at any time, any place, anywhere, describe to Cannalonga the itinerary with this, let us say, mythical girl, as follows: That Cannalonga traveled from the State of Nevada to the State of California, and to the State of Oregon, thence into the State of Washington?

A. No, sir.

Q. Or did you describe, or did anyone in your presence describe, any kind of an itinerary that Cannalonga is said to have had with any girl?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did Cannalonga ever say to you, or to anyone in your presence, that he, Cannalonga, knew nothing about Harry Bridges' membership in the Communist Party?

A. Will you repeat that?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. At no time did he say that.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Did Cannalonga ever tell you, or anyone in your presence, that he never attended any Communist Party meetings with Harry Bridges?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you, or anyone else in your presence, at any time, [6892] any place, anywhere, say to Cannalonga, or state to Cannalonga, how much he would get under the Mann Act? A. No, sir.

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence—

A. (Interposing) State that?

Q. State that? A. No, sir.

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence, and in the presence of Cannalonga, compute how much Cannalonga would get under the Mann Act?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence, and in Cannalonga's presence, compute that Cannalonga would get about 20 years under the Mann Act?

A. No, sir.

Q. At any time, in Cannalonga's presence, any place, anywhere, was any mention ever made by you, or by anyone in your presence, about the Mann Act? A. No, sir.

Q. Or of the Mann Act, say?

A. No, sir. You mean prior to the time he testified?

Q. Sir?

A. You mean prior to the time he testified?

Q. Any time. A. At any time—no, sir.

[6893]

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. Did you, or anyone in your presence, at any time, or any place, coerce Cannalonga?

A. No, sir.

Q. When was the first time that you heard anything about the Mann Act with relation to Cannalonga?

A. At the time Mr. Shoemaker testified to it from this witness stand.

Q. Do you have any knowledge of any investigation ever being conducted by the FBI against Cannalonga with reference to a Mann Act charge?

A. I know we never investigated Cannalonga for a violation of that type.

Presiding Inspector: What was the answer?

The Witness: The FBI never investigated Cannalonga for a violation of that type.

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Did you make such an investigation at any time? A. No, sir.

Presiding Inspector: What was the question?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

By Mr. Del Guercio:

Q. Who was with you when Mr. Cannalonga signed these statements, Government's Exhibits No. 208 and 209? A. Special Agent Dickstein.

[6894]

Q. What is his first name? A. Abraham.

Mr. Del Guercio: You may cross examine.

Presiding Inspector: The witness is yours.

Mr. Gladstein: One second, your Honor.



(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Mr. Grossman: Your Honor, we have this problem: We stated at the end of our case that because of the difficulty of getting Mr. Lord down here we would want to put him on afterward. Mr. Lord is now here and we wish, right after lunch, to ask your Honor if we couldn't put him on.

Now, this occurs to us: Whether, if we are going to ask that this witness go off and Mr. Lord go on, whether we should start our cross examination now or——

Presiding Inspector: Oh, yes; I think so.

Mr. Grossman: And then ask, after lunch, that this witness be taken off temporarily?

Presiding Inspector: Yes—however, I hope you will finish by that time. Won't you?

Mr. Grossman: I don't think so. We are going to have to get the transcript.

Presiding Inspector: What transcript?

Mr. Grossman: The transcript of the previous testimony. We don't have all of the transcript with us that we want to ask him about.

Presiding Inspector: We will furnish it to you. [6895] We have them here in the office.

Go ahead. There has been a narrow examination.

#### Cross Examination

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. How many different times did you see Mr. Cannalonga before he testified in this hearing?

A. Before he testified—I saw him on October 7th, I saw him on October 8th, I saw him on Oc-

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

tober 9th, and I may have seen him once or twice thereafter. Then I saw him when he was on the witness stand.

Q. What do you mean when you say you may have seen him once or twice?

A. My recollection is that I contacted him some time thereafter, but I don't know now whether I saw him in person or talked to him over the telephone.

Q. Do you recall what you contacted him about?

A. No. It may have been with reference to locating someone. After the October 9th interview I was through with him as far as his statements were concerned.

Q. How did you happen to talk to Cannalunga in the first place?

A. How did I happen to talk to him?

Q. Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that as immaterial and irrelevant.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it. [6896]

A. I wanted to determine if he had any information—

Presiding Inspector: That question has been asked so many times.

A. (Continuing) I wanted to determine whether he had any information in connection with this instant case.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Who told you he might have?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that, your Honor.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. How do you know he might have?

Mr. Del Guercio: You have noted my objection?

Presiding Inspector: Yes. I will take it.

A. I believe at the time I interviewed, a Mr. Davis, he indicated to me that Cannalonga had been connected with the Communist Party, and had been a waterfront organizer for the Party in Seattle, and that he may have some information about this case.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Which Davis is that?

A. John Ryan Davis, the man who testified at the previous hearing.

Q. When did he give you this information?

A. I interviewed him, I believe, on September 12, 1940.

Q. Is there any other reason why you went to see Davis except the information given you by Mr. Davis? [6897]

A. No. I believe Mr. Bridges testified in the previous hearing he knew Cannalonga as a waterfront organizer and I thought he may know something about Bridges.

Q. Any other reason?

A. No. I think those are the two basic reasons.

Q. Before you went to see Mr. Cannalonga did you have, or had you read, the report of previous investigations of Mr. Cannalonga?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

A. There were no previous investigations.

Q. By representatives of the Immigration and Naturalization Service?

A. Had I read about it—no.

Q. Had you read any report purporting to be a report of an investigation or meeting with Mr. Cannalonga before you went to see him?

A. No, sir.

Q. You are sure of that now?

A. I am positive.

Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Boyd, before you went to see Mr. Cannalonga, his discussions, or discussion, with Mr. Cannalonga?

A. Yes.

Q. When did you see Mr. Boyd on this question first?

A. That is hard to say; it was some time between the time I interviewed Mr. Davis and the time I interviewed Mr. [6898] Cannalonga.

Q. How did you happen to talk to Mr. Boyd about this?

A. How?

Q. Yes. Did you go to him, or did he go to you?

A. I was in frequent contact with Mr. Boyd during this period, and how his name came up I don't know. I do remember that he said that he had talked to Cannalonga at the time of the previous hearing and tried to get him to come down to testify; but that Cannalonga didn't want to become involved in this case and refused to come.

Q. Did he tell you that Cannalonga gave him

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

information before the previous hearing, that would have justified his testifying in this case?

A. No.

Q. Did he tell you that Cannalonga told him, when he talked to him, that he didn't know anything that would be of any use to the Government in this case?

A. No. I don't believe we went into detail as to the results of his contact with Cannalonga. I do remember him saying that he tried to get him to come down and testify, but that Cannalonga didn't want to become involved in this proceeding, or the previous proceeding.

Q. Didn't you make notes of what Mr. Boyd told you about Mr. Cannalonga?

A. No. There was nothing to make notes about.

[6899]

Q. Did Mr. Boyd tell you whether he had any written memorandum of his meeting with Mr. Cannalonga?

A. That Mr. Boyd had a written memorandum?

Q. Yes. Did he tell you whether he had any?

A. I am sure he didn't.

Q. You are sure because he didn't mention it to you, is that why you are sure, or have you some other reason for being sure?

A. I don't recall seeing a memorandum.

Presiding Inspector: I think you misinterpreted his answer—"You are sure Boyd didn't tell you such?"

The Witness: That he had a memorandum?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

The Witness: I know he didn't tell me that.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. You didn't mean to say that you were sure Boyd did not have a memorandum?

A. I don't know whether he did or not. I know I didn't see one.

Q. Did you ask Mr. Boyd for all the information he had on Mr. Cannalonga?

A. My recollection is that Mr. Boyd said that Cannalonga refused to discuss the matter with him. He had contacted him, but he refused to give him any information, and I would have no reason for asking for a memorandum. I believed him. [6900]

Q. How did you happen to get in touch—I mean, how did you happen to get in touch with Mr. Cannalonga?

A. Well, at the time I talked to Mr. Boyd I asked him to be on the alert for Cannalonga, and if he should get any information that might lead to his location to give me a telephone call; that at the same time Agent Dickstein and I would look for him up there in Seattle.

Mr. Boyd did call and told me that he had located Cannalonga.

Q. What did you do to arrange an appointment with him?

A. As I recall it, Mr. Boyd called the Seattle office on Saturday, October 5th, and left a message for either Mr. Dickstein or myself to get in touch



(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

with him. We were out of town that day. I got in touch with Mr. Boyd the first thing Monday morning on October 7th, at which time he told me that he had been in touch with Mr. Cannalonga, and that he had arranged for Cannalonga to come to his office at 9:00 or 9:30 that morning, and if we wanted to talk with Cannalonga we could find him over there.

So Agent Dickstein went over to Mr. Boyd's office and brought Cannalonga over to our office immediately.

Q. What day was this?

A. That was on Monday, October 7.

Q. At what time of day?

A. Shortly after nine.

Q. Then did you have a discussion with Mr. Cannalonga? [6901]

A. That day?

Q. Yes. A. Oh, quite a discussion.

Q. Immediately after he got there?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. About how long did this discussion last?

A. Well, we talked briefly at the office, and then it was suggested we go over to our room at the Roosevelt Hotel. We felt there would be more privacy over there; and Mr. Cannalonga at that time had a hip injury, and I thought perhaps the hard chair might be uncomfortable for him, so I suggested that we go over to the hotel where he would be more comfortable. We proceeded to the hotel.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. The entire conversation with him lasted how long?  
A. In the office.

Q. Yes, in the office.

A. Oh, twenty minutes, I would say.

Q. At the hotel, how long?

A. Well, on the way over to the hotel we stopped and had a cup of coffee—he is a great coffee drinker—and then we went up to the hotel and we got there about, oh, between 10:00 and 10:30. I talked to him up until noon. We had lunch. He said he had an afternoon appointment with Dr. Buckner and wanted to know if he could get off to keep that appointment. I said, "Sure." [6902]

I believe we left him go about 3:00 or 3:30.

Q. Did you take notes of what he said?

A. I took copious notes; yes.

Q. Do you take shorthand?

A. No, sir. I wrote it out in longhand.

Q. Now, who was present at all times during this stay?

A. All the time there was Cannalonga and Agent Dickstein present.

Q. No one else? A. No.

Q. Did Mr. Cannalonga seem willing to tell you everything that he said, or did he have some reluctance?

A. No; he didn't show any signs of reluctance. My recollection is that when we got down to the hotel we started right in interrogating him and he supplied the answers.

Q. Did you ask him, or did he explain to you,

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

why his attitude had changed between the last hearing, or before the last hearing, and before this hearing?

A. I didn't even bring up the previous contact he had with Mr. Boyd.

Q. Was that discussed at any time in the various conferences you had with him?

A. Yes; yes, he brought it up for the first time after he signed the second statement, which was on October 9th. At that time he pulled out a long-hand statement and showed it to me, and told me the circumstances under which that statement [6903] was taken. That was on October 9th, after he had signed the second statement, and was prepared to leave.

Q. Did he explain the difference between his attitude on the previous occasion and his attitude at this time when you were questioning him?

A. If he did, I don't recall. It wasn't important to me.

Q. You are familiar with this statement of October 8, are you not?

A. That is the first statement?

Q. Yes. A. Yes, very familiar with it.

Q. On the first occasion that you talked to Mr. Cannalonga in your office and in the Roosevelt Hotel, did he give you all the information which is contained in this statement?

A. All the information that is contained in that statement; yes, sir. Later on I talked to him and

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

he elaborated on some of the points which I didn't include in the statement.

Q. What do you mean by "later on"?

A. When I talked to him—probably the previous day, or the day after.

Q. When was this statement drawn up?

A. When was it dictated and transcribed, you mean?

Q. Yes.

A. On the evening of October 8—no, October 7, after the initial interview. [6904]

Q. Who drew it up?

A. I dictated it from my notes.

Q. Did Agent Dickstein participate in the dictation?

A. The dictated statement, no. I dictated the statement myself in its entirety.

Q. Now, is all the information that is contained in this statement information that was given to you on that day by Mr. Cannalunga?

A. On that day; yes, sir.

Q. At the time you talked to Mr. Cannalunga did you tell him that you already had information about this meeting in the Gowman Hotel?

A. No. We were told about that meeting in the Gowman Hotel and this was the first time I ever heard it.

Q. You are sure of that?

A. I am positive.

Q. When you talked to Mr. Cannalunga about a certain Top Fraction meeting in San Francisco, did

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

you tell him at the time that you already had some information about that meeting?

A. No; because that was the first time I had heard of that Communist Top Fraction meeting.

[6905]

Q. Before you talked to Mr. Cannalunga had you talked to any other persons that are in his statement said to have been in the Gowman Hotel meeting?

A. I talked to Laddie Hope and Edward Fisher about the Gowman Hotel meeting, which they confirmed, but that was after the interview with Cannalunga.

Q. I said before you talked to Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Oh, before? No, sir.

Q. Had you talked to anyone that, according to Cannalunga's statement, was at the Gowman Hotel meeting?

A. No, sir; not before.

Q. Had you talked to Eugene Dietrich before you talked to Cannalunga?

A. I don't know Eugene Dietrich.

Q. When did you see Cannalunga again?

A. What do you mean?

Q. Referring now to after your discussion with him in the Roosevelt Hotel?

A. The first day?

Q. Yes.

A. I saw him the next morning.

Q. You didn't see him any more that first day after he left to go to the doctor?

A. Not after he left to go to the doctor, no sir.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. Did you have an appointment with him to see you the [6906] next morning?

A. Yes, sir; I told him to be up at our hotel room at 10:00 A. M. the next morning.

Q. Did he show up at that time?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Who was present?

A. Agent Dickstein and I were in the room waiting for him.

Q. Did you have another discussion with him at that time? A. Yes, I talked with him.

Q. How long did it last?

A. Probably 2:00, 2:30. We took an hour off for lunch.

Q. Did you also take notes?

A. As to this second interview? Yes, sir.

Q. And did you dictate this second statement?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. When did you dictate it?

A. I dictated it that afternoon after he left.

Q. Is everything contained in the second statement information that was given you by Mr. Cannalonga at this second meeting?

A. With particular reference to Bruce Hannon, yes, sir. [6907]

Q. I asked you whether everything in that statement, rather, whether all the information in that statement was given you by Mr. Cannalonga on the second day that you talked to him?

A. No, some of the information was given to me at the time of the first interview. When he



(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

came back the second time he elaborated on some of that first information.

Q. Were all the facts contained in the second statement given to you by Mr. Cannalonga either in the first or the second interview?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. When did you see Cannalonga again?

A. The next day.

Q. Had you an appointment to see him again?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And where did you meet him the next day?

A. The next day I met him in our hotel room in the afternoon.

Q. Who was present?

A. Agent Dickstein and myself.

Q. What happened?

A. Well, I presented him with the second statement to read and certify and it was at that time that he told me about this statement that he had made for Mr. Meehan.

Q. When did he sign the first statement? [6908]

A. The first thing Tuesday morning, October the 8th. After he came into our room I gave him the statement to read and he signed it and then I questioned him as to the facts contained in the second statement.

Q. Did you question Cannalonga any further on the third occasion with respect to any matters except the statement that he had given Mr. Meehan?

A. Well, I may have; I don't know.

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Q. Did you make any notes of any other questioning? A. I may have; I don't know.

Q. At least, you don't remember any subject matter that you discussed?

A. No, I don't remember any particular subject matter that was discussed the third time. Everything that we wanted I fully covered in the first two interviews. I may have asked him one or two additional questions; I don't recall at this time.

Q. Are you a graduate of a college?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What college? A. Northwestern.

Q. When did you graduate? A. '31.

Q. Did you attend a law school?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you immediately after leaving college go to work [6909] for the FBI? A. Yes, sir.

Q. What generally has been your position with the FBI? Have you had any title of any kind?

A. I at first was a clerk; then, I was appointed Special Agent in January, 1933.

Q. For how long a period—withdraw that.

When did you first begin to work on investigation of the Bridges' case?

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, I will object to that as immaterial and irrelevant.

Presiding Inspector: That is this case, you know. I will take it.

Mr. Del Guercio: Only we produced this witness in connection with the Cannalonga matter. We

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

confined it to that. We think that the cross examination should be confined to that particular thing.

Presiding Inspector: I will take this.

When did you first——

A. (Interposing) On or about September 1, 1940.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you make the statement on direct examination that you know that Cannalonga was not investigated by the FBI for an alleged Mann Act violation?

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, that was not the [6910] question on direct examination.

Mr. Grossman: Did or did you not? I am entitled to have an answer.

Presiding Inspector: You are not entitled to ask him what the——

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. (Interposing) Do you know whether the FBI conducted an investigation of Mr. Cannlanga with respect to the Mann Act?

A. I know we did not.

Q. How do you know you did not?

A. Well, that information certainly would have come to my attention at the time I was working up there in Seattle.

Q. You mean when you went to talk to a witness about his testimony or possible testimony in this case you had before you any possible criminal investigation of that man; is that what you mean?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

A. Positively, yes.

Q. In other words, when you went to speak to a potential witness you had in your hands any criminal record he might have had or any investigation then being conducted by the FBI?

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, I will object to that.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it. It will be a clarification. [6911]

The Witness: Will you repeat that?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

A. No, I never had no such criminal record when I talked to Cannalonga.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. We are not talking about Cannalonga. I am talking about generally. A. No.

Q. Didn't you testify that the reason you know there was no investigation being conducted by the FBI was because you hadn't been told about it before you went to talk to Cannalonga?

A. I know there was not; I know there was no investigation conducted regarding Cannalonga.

Q. Didn't you testify, Mr. Madala, that the reason you knew there was no investigation was that you hadn't been given information about it before you talked to Mr. Cannalonga?

Mr. Myron: That was not his testimony.

A. No information was called to my attention.

Mr. Myron: He didn't say "before".

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. What was your testimony as to why you knew or how you knew that Mr. Cannalunga—

[6912]

A. (Interposing): I don't know how to answer that. I just don't know of any investigation. I know there was no investigation conducted.

Q. How do you know?

A. Because it was my responsibility up there to locate Cannalunga and interview him and I know I didn't conduct any investigation with respect to Cannalunga.

Q. Is that all you know about an investigation with respect to the Mann Act, that you didn't conduct it?

A. Yes; I know the FBI didn't conduct an investigation.

Q. How do you know the FBI didn't?

A. Because it didn't come to my attention.

Q. How would it have come to your attention?

A. Well, it would be in the file in this case.

Q. You mean in this case? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you mean that before you had gone to see Cannalunga if there had been an investigation already conducted or being conducted with respect to the Mann Act that that would be in the file of this case?

A. Not this case. Not at that time, no, but it certainly would have come to my attention.

Q. Where would it be? How would it have come to your attention?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, that is argumen- [6913] tative now.

Presiding Inspector: I will let him answer.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. How would it have come to your attention?

A. It would have been told to me for my information.

Q. You mean it was the practice to give you all information concerning criminal investigations of these witnesses or potential witnesses before you talked to them?

A. Criminal or other.

Mr. Del Guercio: I will object to that. It is obvious counsel is not questioning the witness regarding this matter but to find out how the FBI operates. We are not going to permit that.

Presiding Inspector: No, I don't think that is true at all.

Mr. Del Guercio: He should be limited and restricted.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think that is his purpose.

Mr. Del Guercio: He has no right asking questions of that kind.

Presiding Inspector: Oh, no, Mr. Del Guercio. This man has said that he had no information about it. Now, he wants to know if it happened whether he would have information. I think that is proper.

Mr. Grossman: Will you read the last question and answer?



(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

Presiding Inspector: That doesn't go into the general [6914] matters of the practices in general of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Of course, we are not going into that, but we are going to allow this witness to testify fully as to his knowledge about Cannalonga or any investigation.

You may answer that.

Mr. Grossman: Will you read the last question and answer?

(The question and answer referred to were read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Mr. Del Guercio: He is asking for the general practice.

Presiding Inspector: No; he is asking in relation to the Bridges' case.

Mr. Grossman: That is right.

Presiding Inspector: Only in relation to the Bridges' case.

By Mr. Grossman:

Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Cannalonga whether he would be called as a witness in this case? If so, when was the first time?

A. I probably mentioned it to him during one of the three interviews I had with him up there in Seattle on October 7th, 8th or 9th.

Q. Do you know what you said to him?

A. I said that if we have a hearing you will probably be called as a witness, yes.

Q. Did he reply to that?

(Testimony of John L. Madala.)

A. I don't recall what he said about it. He didn't [6915] have any reluctance at the time.

Q. Before he testified did he ever have any reluctance to testifying as a witness?

A. At the time—I didn't see him after—

Q. (Interposing): I don't care when you saw him. I am asking you whether you know whether he expressed any reluctance to you to testifying in this case?

A. No, I am sure he didn't.

Presiding Inspector: Now, I think we better stop here until two o'clock.

(Whereupon at 12:40 o'clock P.M., a recess was taken until 2:00 o'clock of the same day.)

[6916]

---

After Recess

2:00 O'clock P.M.

Presiding Inspector: Did you want to interrupt the present witness and put on Mr. Lord?

Mr. Grossman: Yes, we did. Mr. Lord is here now.

Presiding Inspector: I think we had better have Mr. Lord. We have not been very strict about the orderly procedure.

Stand up here and raise your right hand.

## WILLIAM P. LORD

called as a witness on behalf of the Alien, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## Direct Examination

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Will you state your name, please?

A. William P. Lord.

Q. Your residence?

A. Dunthorpe, Portland, Oregon.

Q. And your occupation or profession, Mr. Lord?

A. Attorney at law.

Q. How long have you pursued that profession?

A. I was admitted to practice, I believe, in the year 1908 by the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon.

Q. Of what courts, or to what courts are you admitted to practice? [6917]

A. The courts of Oregon, United States District Court of Oregon, the Court of Appeals to this District.

Q. Of this Federal Circuit?

A. Yes; the Federal Circuit.

Q. Do you hold a Commission as a Notary Public?

A. I do.

Q. How long have you held such a commission?

A. Those commissions are renewable. Mine has been renewed from time to time for many years. I wouldn't undertake to say how many years I have had a commission.

Q. Did you, on Sunday, May 4, 1941, hold a

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Commission as a Notary Public in the State of Oregon? A. I did.

Q. Mr. Lord, calling your attention to the 4th day of May, 1941, at approximately noon of that day, did a proceeding occur in your office involving yourself, myself, Rosco Craycraft, Ray D. Shoemaker and Maurice J. Cannalonga?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that question, if your Honor please, to the use of the term "proceeding." There is no such evidence that there was any such proceeding at all.

Presiding Inspector: It can't be, or should not be referred to as a legal proceeding in any sense. The question is whether, at that time, a statement of Mr. Cannalonga was taken. Whether it is a proceeding or not is a legal question.

By Mr. Gladstein: [6918]

Q. All right. Use the Judge's language. Would you answer that question, Mr. Lord?

A. There was a statement taken of Mr. Cannalonga under oath in my presence and in my office at suite 405 Guardian Building, Portland.

Q. At approximately what time of the day did the beginning of that statement or the beginning of the taking of the statement occur?

A. Well, it was around twelve o'clock.

Q. Before any statement was taken was Mr. Cannalonga administered an oath?

A. He was.

Q. By whom?

A. By myself.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. Will you state what occurred in that connection?

A. Well, he was sworn in accordance with the usual swearing of a witness to tell the truth, and nothing but the truth.

Q. Did you ask him to do anything?

Presiding Inspector: Ask him what?

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did you ask him to raise his hand?

A. Oh, yes, he raised his hand and was regularly sworn as a witness would be sworn in a court proceeding.

Q. Thereafter what took place? [6919]

○ A. You proceeded to ask him questions and he thereupon gave answers, or didn't give answers as it suited his whim or caprice.

Q. About how long did this entire incident take?

A. Well, I should judge it was concluded by one o'clock, possibly a little bit after.

Q. Did you have a good opportunity to observe whether Mr. Cannalonga appeared to be under the influence of liquor or stimulants or intoxicants of any kind?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Will you state what that opportunity consisted of?

A. Well, in the first place I don't use intoxicating liquors myself.

Mr. Del Guercio: Now, I move that be stricken, your Honor, as not responsive, as to whether he uses intoxicating liquors or not.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Presiding Inspector: I suppose that is so. I will strike that.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Will you proceed, Mr. Lord, and tell what that opportunity you had to observe Mr. Cannalonga consisted of?

A. Mr. Cannalonga was not six feet away from me at any time except as he may have left the room, so I heard him testify. I was very, very close by him, sitting in a chair or standing up while he was sitting down in one of these old-fashioned office chairs, and I observed him very closely as [6920] he was testifying. Now, if he had liquor on his breath I would have known it. If he seemed under the influence of drugs, I think, as a practical individual, I would have known whether or not he was under the influence of opiates or drugs of any kind, and I am convinced that he was not.

Q. At any time during the entire time Mr. Cannalonga was in that office giving that statement on Sunday, May 4, 1941, did he at any time appear to you to be drunk?

A. He did not.

Q. What would you say was his apparent condition as to sobriety during all of that time?

A. He was perfectly sober. His deposition speaks for itself.

Mr. Del Guercio: His what speaks for itself?

The Witness: Deposition or his statement.

Mr. Myron: I ask that be stricken out, your Honor.



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Presiding Inspector: Which?

Mr. Myron: That the deposition speaks for itself.

Presiding Inspector: I suppose it is a deposition in a sense; he deposes and says.

Mr. Myron: Well, that is a conclusion of the witness there.

Presiding Inspector: What difference does it make? It doesn't give it any greater significance. It is a statement made under oath.

Mr. Del Guercio: There is no evidence that he has seen [6921] the deposition.

Presiding Inspector: He doesn't have to.

Mr. Del Guercio: Or whatever it was.

Presiding Inspector: No.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. During the hour that Mr. Cannalunga was in your office did you have occasion at any time to talk with him personally?

A. I did out of the presence of yourself and Mr. Craycraft.

Q. Will you state how that occurred?

A. Well, I was rather disposed to feel that this individual was not responsive to the questions, or he was not answering the way that a witness ordinarily would answer a question that was put to him, so I then, the first opportunity I had, I got him aside in my law library and talked to him, and asked him if he was laboring under any sort of strain, that he didn't want to talk.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. How close were you to him when you had that discussion or conversation with him?

A. Oh, we were not but a few feet apart, I should say three, four feet apart.

Q. Tell us what that discussion or conversation consisted of, Mr. Lord?

A. Well, I had, as I say, a feeling that the man may not [6922] be a willing witness, that there was something that—in the back of his mind, that constrained him to answer the questions that you put to him with a degree of ease and frankness that a witness ought to reply to a question, so I wanted to find out if the man was being coerced in any way to come to the office and testify or give the statement that he was giving, and I thereupon asked him if he was, and he told me that he just—that he was not, that he was just kind of, you know—I can't explain it to you—he just simply didn't talk.

Mr. Del Guercio: Just a moment. We are relating a conversation here, your Honor——

Mr. Gladstein (Interposing): Just a moment. I object to counsel interrupting. If he has an objection to make he may make it.

Mr. Del Guercio: I do have an objection to make.

Presiding Inspector: Let him finish his answer. Mr. Del Guercio. You may then move to strike.

The Witness: I trust I am not indulging in an impropriety. It was a question of the demeanor of the witness, your Honor.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Presiding Inspector: He didn't say anything?

The Witness: He didn't exactly respond. At the same time there was an opportunity for the man to speak and he didn't speak and thereupon I told him that "If you are—" I had never seen the man before but I said "If you are—you [6923] probably don't trust me because you don't know me, but if you—but I am acting as a man giving you an oath here, but if you want to find out what your rights are, if you don't want to give this testimony you don't have to give it. If you want to consult with a lawyer I will select one of the leading lawyers of the Portland Bar who will be very glad to advise you, men who have been in the United States District offices or otherwise, and they will give you the advice that you need and you can speak out your mind freely to those men, if you want to."

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Did he reply to that?

A. He didn't. He just sort of, if I may be permitted to state my own conclusion about the matter, he just sort of remained mute.

Mr. Myron: I don't think we want his conclusion.

Presiding Inspector: Let it stand.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. After that discussion did Mr. Cannalunga return to the room and refuse to answer questions that I put to him? A. He did.

Q. Now, at any time while Mr. Cannalunga was there in that office did you, Mr. Craycraft or Mr.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Shoemaker, or I, do or say anything which appeared to you to be an effort to coerce or intimidate, or force Mr. Cannalonga in any manner? [6924]

Mr. Del Guercio: If your Honor please, I object to that. He is asking for a conclusion, and not asking for the acts.

Presiding Inspector: You asked the same question in relation to Mr. Madala.

Mr. Del Guercio: If, in his opinion.

Presiding Inspector: Yes. That is all he is giving.

Mr. Myron: He asked Mr. Madala if he did anything.

Presiding Inspector: Did he coerce him?

Mr. Myron: That is not his question.

Presiding Inspector: Well, he is asking, not whether it appeared to the witness to be a threat or coercion, but whether he did anything. He can say whether he saw anything which, in his opinion, amounted to intimidation or coercion.

That is all you want, isn't it?

Mr. Gladstein: Yes.

Presiding Inspector: Did you see anything on the part of any of those gentlemen, or in pairs or triplets, which, in your judgment, tended to coerce or intimidate Mr. Cannalonga?

The Witness: Not a thing. As a matter—

Presiding Inspector: That answers it.

The Witness: I might say that part of the time—

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): There is no question.

Presiding Inspector: Wait until the next question is asked. [6925]

The Witness: I want to make an explanation.

Presiding Inspector: You may make an explanation.

The Witness: The point is that for a period of time Mr. Craycraft was not in the office at all. He went down on the street to my automobile and talked to my wife down in the car because I knew she was sitting down there for quite a period of time and I asked him to go down and placate her.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. Now, Mr. Lord, do you recall whether, toward the end of the taking of this statement, Mr. Craycraft left the room, leaving yourself, Mr. Shoemaker and myself in the office?

A. That is correct; he did.

Q. And whether I completed a question in his absence, after he had got up and left the room, as I had begun that question?

Mr. Del Guercio: I object to that, your Honor, as leading and suggestive.

Presiding Inspector: There is no question about his being there?

Mr. Del Guercio: I don't know. I question everything that went on in that room.

Mr. Gladstein: At first Mr. Del Guercio even questioned whether there had been such a meeting.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

and he made a direct statement, Mr. Del Guercio did, which I can prove to your Honor in the record, that he questioned whether Mr. Cannalunga had ever been in that office. He made that statement [6926] directly. He said, "I don't believe he ever was there."

Presiding Inspector: I know, but assume that is so——

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): I still say it.

Presiding Inspector (Continuing): ——Mr. Cannalunga testified that he was there.

Mr. Gladstein: I know.

Presiding Inspector: The question is whether you recollect, Mr. Lord, such an occurrence as Mr. Gladstein has specified in his question. It is leading, but I will allow it, in my discretion.

The Witness: Yes, your Honor. There was a moment there when a question was being put to him, asking him certain details as to a conversation he had the night before, whereupon this individual got up from his chair and bolted out of the office and I assumed that that concluded the taking of his testimony.

By Mr. Gladstein:

Q. At all times prior to that incident, Mr. Lord, was Mr. Cannalunga present in that office while all my questions were asked of him?

A. As I recall it, there were two occasions when he left. You mean to say were you present when these questions and answers were given?



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. No. What I mean to say, with the exception of this last question, in the middle of which you testified Mr. Cannalunga got up and left the office, and I concluded that question [6927] for the record after he had gone from the office, with the exception of that last question, was Mr. Cannalunga present in your office at all of the times that I asked him questions during the taking of the statement?

A. He was.

Mr. Gladstein: You may cross examine.

Presiding Inspector: Cross examine.

Mr. Del Guercio: Mr. Myron will cross examine.

#### Cross Examination

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Mr. Lord, how long have you known Mr. Gladstein?

A. Well, I think I met Mr. Gladstein twice in my lifetime.

Q. When was the first time you met him?

A. I think the occasion of the trial in the United States Court in 1937. He was one of the attorneys, and I was an attorney for one of the defendants.

Then I met him in my office—

Q. (Interposing): Let us take that first occasion. Did you participate with Mr. Gladstein in the trial of that case?

A. Well, I might say Mr. Gladstein participated with me to the extent of one argument on a motion.

Q. Was this Mr. Gladstein's case?

A. No. It was a suit wherein the divers unions

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

and locals were involved. Who he represented it is not clear in my mind. I represented the Portland District—Columbia River District Council. [6928]

Q. Did Mr. Gladstein call you in?

A. He did not. I was employed by the District Council.

Q. Did Mr. Gladstein participate in that case?

A. You know, I don't know these two gentlemen well enough to answer that. It may have been Mr. Grossman for all I know.

Mr. Myron: Well, I do not think Mr. Gladstein will mind standing up.

Presiding Inspector: You see Mr. Gladstein?

The Witness: I saw a good many people—there were several attorneys in that case.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Mr. Gladstein is the third man there at the table.

A. I know him.

Q. Did he participate in that case?

A. My memory says he did. It may have been Mr. Grossman.

Q. Was he allowed to participate in that case by the Court?

A. I think he made an argument, if I recall correctly.

Q. Wasn't the purpose of your participating in this case because Mr. Gladstein could not participate and he asked you to come in and help him?

A. No, he did not; no, sir.

Q. You are sure?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. I am very very certain of it; very certain of it. [6929] In fact, I don't believe I spoke a half dozen words to Mr.—whichever member of their firm was there. I only saw them in the court room. I had no conference with them.

Presiding Inspector: This was in Oregon?

The Witness: In Oregon; yes.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. And this was before Judge Fee?

A. That was before Judge Fee.

Q. And your testimony is now that Mr. Gladstein did participate in that case representing a different client than you represented, is that right?

A. That is my recollection. You see, I don't know your name—

Mr. Del Guercio (Interposing): It isn't necessary.

Mr. Myron: I am the attorney for the Government.

The Witness: I understand, naturally.

Presiding Inspector: Myron.

A. (Continuing): I wanted to say that I don't know who represented the other people in that case, the other defendants and—

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Who did you represent?

A. I represented the Columbia District Council.

Q. Who did Mr. Gladstein represent?

A. I also represented Local 28-03, of Toledo.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. That is the case we are talking about before Judge Fee? A. Yes.

Q. Whom did Mr. Gladstein represent?

A. I don't know. I presume he represented the Committee of Industrial Organizations. I presume he did,—I don't know..

Q. Were these locals of the CIO—

A. (Interposing): Yes.

Q. (Continuing): —that you represented?

A. I represented the Toledo local and the Portland District Council.

Q. And Mr. Gladstein represented the CIO, is that right?

A. I don't know. I am only giving you what my assumption would be. I didn't discuss the matter with Mr. Gladstein, or whichever representative of Mr. Gladstein's office was present.

Q. Well, now, I just want to sum it up. Your testimony is now that you were not called in by Mr. Gladstein to take over, is that right?

Mr. Gladstein: That is objected to not as having been asked once or twice, but—

Presiding Inspector: I know—you are not bound by his answer—I will take it.

A. If he was I didn't know about it.

Presiding Inspector: Do you want to explain?

[6931]

The Witness: No, I don't see anything to explain. I have nothing to apologize for.

Presiding Inspector: Let it stand.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

By Mr. Myron:

Q. What type of law practice do you follow?

Mr. Gladstein: That is objected to as immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: I think that is immaterial.

Mr. Del Guercio: No, if your Honor please, it is not immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: I think it is immaterial, Mr. Del Guercio.

Mr. Del Guercio: May we argue the matter?

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

Mr. Del Guercio: To show that it is not immaterial.

Presiding Inspector: Why do you think it is not immaterial?

Mr. Del Guercio: We have a situation up here in this hotel room that, in my opinion, should be placed before the Oregon State Bar. We want to know everything that occurred.

Presiding Inspector: This man administered an oath. That is about all he has testified to.

Mr. Del Guercio: We want to know all the circumstances surrounding the taking of that so-called statement there in that hotel room, or all the circumstances in connection with it, this witness' connection with it, and his connection and [6932] association with Mr. Gladstein—all about it.

Presiding Inspector: I don't think there is anything to be gained. Go ahead.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Mr. Gladstein: I call your Honor's attention to the fact that the witness has not testified to anything taking place in any hotel room.

Mr. Del Guercio: His office?

Mr. Gladstein: He has only testified to the proceeding in his office.

Presiding Inspector: I know that.

What was the last question?

(The question referred to was read by the reporter as above recorded.)

Mr. Gladstein: I renew my objection.

Presiding Inspector: I will take it.

The Witness: Shall I answer?

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

A. I do a more or less general practice of the law. A great deal of it is centered around maritime employments. I have a great many personal injury claims. We have a compensation Act in Oregon, where there is a rejection, employers are allowed to reject the Act—many employees' cases.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Have you ever represented the Communist Party?

A. I certainly have not and will not. [6933]

Q. Have you ever participated with any other attorney in representing the Communist Party?

A. I certainly have not.

Q. Now, do your clients consist chiefly of the laboring class, longshoremen?



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. Yes—not necessarily longshoremen. There are many longshoremen; yes.

Q. Do you know Mr. Bridges?

A. Casually. I met Mr. Bridges a couple of times.

Q. When did you first meet him?

A. I think I first met him here in San Francisco when I was down here on some business.

Q. How long ago was that?

A. It was after the '34 strike.

Q. Do you have card rooms available for longshoremen?

Mr. Gladstein: That is objected to as immaterial.

A. What do you mean?

Presiding Inspector: I will allow it.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Have you provided rooms or space where card games are held by longshoremen?

Presiding Inspector: In his office, you mean?

Mr. Myron: In or out of his office.

A. I have not.

By Mr. Myron: [6934-5]

Q. When were you first contacted by Mr. Gladstein, or anybody else, in regard to making your office available and having you present?

A. Mr. Rosco Craycraft contacted me some time after nine o'clock.

Q. When was this, what date?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. What date was that deposition taken, may I ask? Was it May 2?

Presiding Inspector: It was a Sunday.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Do you know what day of the week it was when you were first contacted?

A. Yes. I know it was on Sunday; I can't recall the date.

Q. That was the first time that you knew anything about these arrangements, is that right?

A. The night before, on Saturday night, as I started to say, I was called by telephone by Mr. Craycraft asking me if I knew where I could get a reporter to take a deposition of somebody. I told him that I did, or would try and get him one. And that led up to the taking of this.

Q. That was all the conversation you had with Mr. Craycraft at that time?

A. Oh, I don't know. I know him well, you know. I might have had some— [6936]

Q. (Interposing) Tell us your entire conversation with him over the phone?

A. I can't remember the conversation I had with a good friend of mine that I had known for years.

Q. I mean, in regard to securing or making arrangements for this statement to be taken?

A. He said they wanted to take a deposition, or a statement, and he wanted me to secure a reporter.

Q. He said "they" wanted?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. Well, I don't know how he said it—whether he said he wanted to take it or “they”.

Q. Try to recall what was said?

A. He said Gladstein was there, or something to that effect—I don't know—and I was to arrange for a reporter. I was to take no part in the matter. It was a matter that didn't mean anything to me, but he wanted to get a reporter to take a statement, and he wanted it to be sworn to, and I said that I would secure him a reporter. That must have been around ten o'clock or it may have been after ten. [6937]

Q. This was the night preceding?

A. The night preceding, yes sir.

Q. And did you make arrangements to secure a reporter? A. The next morning I did.

Q. You made the arrangements the next morning? A. Yes, sir.

Q. And whom did you talk with the next morning?

A. I talked with Mrs. Roscoe Hunt.

Q. Mrs. who? A. Roscoe Hunt.

Q. Who is she?

A. She is the wife of the reporter, official reporter of one of the departments of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, Multnomah County, and then I called up Alfred P. Dobson who is Judge of the Circuit Court and asked him where I could get ahold of a young man by the name of Michelet who is assistant reporter in that department of the Circuit Court, and I couldn't—

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

he didn't know where I could get ahold of that gentleman, so I then called up Ray Shoemaker and eventually secured his services.

Q. Now, what time was this?

A. Oh, some time after nine o'clock, between nine—

Q. (Interposing) Sunday morning, is that right? A. Sunday morning.

Q. And Shoemaker agreed—

A. (Interposing) Reluctantly. [6938]

Q. What is that?

A. Reluctantly he agreed to.

Q. Now, how did you know where to have Mr. Shoemaker come? A. I beg your pardon?

Q. Where was Mr. Shoemaker to go?

A. I told him to go to my office.

Q. Who had made arrangements to have your office? A. I had.

Q. When?

A. Over the telephone the night before.

Q. Well, then, a part of the conversation the night before was to have the use of your office?

A. A part of the conversation was I would secure a reporter and he would come to my office and take the deposition or the statement and I would—

Q. (Interposing) Who asked you to make your office available for the taking of this statement? A. I think Mr. Craycraft did.

Q. Was there anything else said that Saturday night? A. Not that I can recall.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. There were only two things taken up then, that was first securing your office, the second a reporter, is that right? A. Yes, sir.

Q. And Sunday morning you secured the services of Mr. [6939] Shoemaker? A. Yes, sir.

Q. That was about 9:00 o'clock?

Presiding Inspector: That is what he said, I think.

A. It was between 9:00 and 10:00. I can't remember details so closely.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Now, did you get in touch with anyone after 9:00 or 10:00 o'clock when you secured Mr. Shoemaker's services? A. No, sir.

Q. Did you call Mr. Gladstein or Mr. Craycraft?

A. I did not. I didn't even know where Mr. Gladstein was staying at the time.

Q. Well, how did you let them know that you had secured a reporter?

A. They were coming in after. I thought 10:00 o'clock, but it seems that their appointment was 11:00 when they expected to be there, and they didn't get there until 12:00.

Q. Then, arrangements were made the night before, is that it, that Mr. Gladstein and Mr. Craycraft were to be at your office at a certain time?

A. I am not certain that I even knew that Mr. Gladstein was—maybe I did—but I told them my office would be available to them for the purpose of taking the deposition.

(Testimony of William P. Lord)

Q. You didn't know Mr. Gladstein was going to partici- [6940] pate in that, is that right?

A. Well, I didn't say that. You know, sometimes—

Q. (Interposing) Saturday night?

A. You know sometimes details like this are not very significant to you. I wouldn't undertake to—

Q. (Interposing) Was there any mention about Mr. Gladstein Saturday night in your conversation with Craycraft?

A. I am trying to—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) He is testifying about that.

A. (Continuing) I am trying to give you the information. I really don't know. I think it is fair to say I understood there was someone from San Francisco representing Mr. Bridges who was going to take a statement of somebody.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. What did Craycraft say about that?

A. Well, I don't know. He just simply wanted a place to take a deposition. He wanted somebody to swear a witness and he wanted a Court reporter.

Q. Craycraft himself?

A. Craycraft is the one that called up.

Q. And he wanted the room made available to him and a Court reporter made available to him?

A. Now, that isn't fair to—

Q. (Interposing) Well, I want to get the entire conversation you had. [6941]



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. You know, I wouldn't expect Mr. Craycraft to take the deposition; you know that, an attorney. I expected——

Q. (Interposing) Well, what was the conversation?

Presiding Inspector: He has given you the conversation two or three times.

Mr. Myron: He has not mentioned——

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) I beg your pardon. He mentioned that he understood that some one from San Francisco was going to be there and he had the impression it was Mr. Gladstein that was mentioned; he isn't quite sure.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Did you know Mr. Gladstein?

Presiding Inspector: Just a moment. You have been over that. You have searched his recollection on that.

Mr. Myron: My question now, your Honor, is did he know it was Mr. Gladstein who was coming there to take the statement.

Presiding Inspector: He has testified as to that. He has testified as to that, that he understood someone from San Francisco was coming and he has the impression that it was Mr. Gladstein. He isn't sure of it.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Did you get that impression from the conversation you had with Mr. Craycraft Saturday night?

A. Yes, yes sir.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. Now, what time was the appointment on Sunday morn- [6942] ing?

A. Well, I thought it was 10:00 o'clock so I—

Q. (Interposing) And when did somebody arrive in your office?

A. Well, I got the reporter there; he came there along a little after 10:00.

Q. Is that Shoemaker?

A. That was Shoemaker.

Q. Did he come with you?

A. He came to the office.

Q. With you?

A. Oh, no; he lives on the other side of the river from where I live.

Q. What time did you get to the office?

A. Oh, I should judge just around 10:00.

Q. What time did Mr. Shoemaker get there?

A. A few minutes later.

Q. Now, when did Mr. Gladstein arrive?

A. Well, they didn't get there until somewhere around noon.

Q. About 12:00?

A. Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q. Who was with Mr. Gladstein?

A. Well, I think he came in with Mr. Craycraft.

Q. Mr. Gladstein and Mr. Craycraft came into your office? [6943]

A. He may not have; I can't answer those questions definitely because I don't know. I didn't pay enough attention to it. It didn't seem signifi-

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

catnt to me and I didn't pay any attention to it as to who came in. I know that they all shortly arrived about the same time, that is, this man whose testimony was going to be taken and——

Q. (Interposing) Well, you know his name?

A. Well, I know it, but I can't say it very well. I don't speak——

Q. (Interposing) Were they all together when they came into your office?

A. Well, I don't know; I can't recall. They were there very shortly. If they may have come in together or not, I don't know.

Q. You don't recollect seeing Mr. Cannalonga come into your office, is that right?

A. Yes, I recollect the man coming in, yes.

Q. What was the first thing that took place in the office after they were all there?

A. Oh, the usual amenities were——

Q. (Interposing) Not the usual thing. I am talking about what happened at this meeting?

A. Well, I said "How do you do." They said "How do you do". And I said "How are you this morning, Rosco", meaning Mr. Craycraft, and they introduced me to this fellow Cannalonga.

Presiding Inspector: That is right, pretty nearly right, [6944] as right as I can get it.

The Witness: And Shoemaker and I were discussing some books and the deposition started. I swore——

By Mr. Myron:

Q. (Interposing) They all sat down?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. Well, it is pretty hard for me to answer that question. I know that Mr. Gladstein sat down and I know that this fellow Cannalonga—

Presiding Inspector: Not so good that time.

The Witness: (Continuing) —Sat down, and whether Mr. Craycraft sat down or not I don't know but I think he did.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. And then what happened?

A. I swore this fellow Cannalonga and the reporter sat on one side and Mr. Gladstein on the other, and Cannalonga over on the other.

Q. Did Mr. Gladstein speak to you before you swore Cannalonga in?

A. Not very much. He may have said a word or two.

Q. Did he tell you what the purpose of this meeting was?

A. I knew enough about it to know that they were going to take the testimony of a witness.

Q. Well, now, did he say anything to you?

A. Well, now, I couldn't answer that. You know how— [6945] I mean to say, he may have said something to me but there was no discussion as to whether this fellow was—he was a perfect stranger to me; I never saw him before.

Q. What is your best recollection? Did Mr. Gladstein say anything to you at all before you swore in Cannalonga?

A. He may have spoken in a friendly way, but nothing about who this individual was.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. Nothing about this statement? A. No.

Q. And after you swore Mr. Cannalonga what happened?

A. Well, I stayed there in the room and these questions were asked and Cannalonga answered as he came around to it, or got around to it. He sat there taking everything in and looking around the room and answering questions when he got around to it.

Q. Did Mr. Gladstein do all the questioning?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, when did Mr. Craycraft leave the room?

A. Well, I don't know. He left it after a few minutes.

Q. Had Mr. Gladstein started to question Cannalonga? A. Yes, he had.

Q. How many questions—your best recollection on this—how many questions had he asked before Craycraft left the room?

A. I think Craycraft had—or, I think, this individual had got down to the point where he had related the circum- [6946] stances of his connecting up with the Government Intelligence Department and explained in detail how he had met those men, been called upon, how he had been involved with some—

Q. (Interposing) Was that shortly after Mr. Gladstein started his questioning?

A. Oh, it was quite a little while. He got up and—

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. (Interposing) Now, had Mr. Cannalonga left the room prior to Mr. Craycraft at any time?

A. He left the room twice, according to my recollection.

Q. Prior to the time that Mr. Craycraft left the room, is that right?

A. Well, I sent Craycraft downstairs to the street to do—

Q. (Interposing) You sent him down?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Well, now, did you answer my question?

A. No, I hadn't. Cannalonga said he wanted to go to the lavatory so I told Mr. Craycraft where it was and to take him down there.

Q. Is that the only occasion—

A. (Interposing). Well, then, he came back and I said "I want you to go down and talk to my wife because she will be getting pretty hot under the collar having to sit in the automobile so long", and so on.

Q. Then— [6947]

A. (Interposing). So he went down there, you see, and then he came back after a while and he brought my wife back up into the office.

Q. Then, before Mr. Craycraft left the room Mr. Cannalonga went outside on one occasion?

A. Yes sir, one occasion.

Q. Is that right? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Who went with him?

A. Mr. Craycraft.



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. Was he the only one? A. Yes, sir.

Q. How long were they gone on that occasion?

A. Oh, I should judge two or three minutes.

Q. And this was shortly after Mr. Gladstein had started to question Cannalunga?

A. Well, he had gone through quite a number of questions and answers.

Q. Now, after Craycroft left the room did Mr. Cannalunga leave again?

A. Well, one time he went out and didn't come back, as I understand it. I forget.

Q. He went out and didn't come back?

A. He left abruptly. He was asked a question and he just picked himself up and got out.

Q. Was that the only occasion that he left the room [6948] after Craycraft left?

A. Well, I would like to be more specific but I can't. You know, the matter didn't register in that sort of a way that I could be definite about the details of a thing of that kind. I didn't think it of sufficient consequence. I saw the man there. I thought he was disturbed and I sought to advise him as any attorney would.

Q. Now, did Mr. Gladstein leave the room at any time?

A. No, I don't think—no, he didn't to my knowledge.

Q. Did Mr. Shoemaker leave the room at any time? A. No, he did not.

Q. Now, you said, you testified that you did

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

leave the room and go out into the hallway with Mr. Cannalonga on one occasion.

A. Well, I talked to Cannalonga twice.

Q. When was the first time that you talked with Cannalonga with reference to the time Craycraft left the room?

A. Well, now, with reference to—I got him out in the hallway leading into my office which comprises a library, and there I talked to him.

Q. That was after Craycraft left the room?

A. Well, I think Craycraft came back with him from the lavatory—I may be mistaken on it—but the sense of it is that I talked to him and Craycraft was not there. I think Craycraft came into my office.

Q. Well, as I understood your testimony before Mr. [6949] Craycraft left to go down on the street he went out with Mr. Cannalonga on one occasion?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. To go to the lavatory?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, after Mr. Craycraft went down on the street you went out in the hallway with Mr. Cannalonga on two occasions, is that true?

A. Well, I talked to him on two occasions. I don't know about the details, whether Craycraft was in my office or had gone down on the street or not, sir.

Q. Well, when you talked with Mr. Cannalonga in the hallway outside the room was Mr. Craycraft with Cannalonga or with you?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. Mr. Cannalonga and I talked alone, sir.

Q. You were alone? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, what was the occasion of Mr. Cannalonga going out with you the first time?

A. Well, I don't know; it isn't clear in my mind what it was. I think I may have asked him out there and talked to him.

Q. Well, why?

A. Why did I talk to him? [6950]

Q. Yes? What was the occasion for your going out in the hallway and talking with Cannalonga?

A. I wanted—I didn't want anyone to give a deposition or be a party to the giving of a deposition which might be coerced or be unwilling in any way to give a statement, or to give a statement that might be the result of any fear of any kind.

Q. And then you took Mr. Cannalonga out in the hallway and advised him of that?

A. I advised him that he didn't—yes; I will answer it that way, if you ask me if I advised him, yes, I advised him.

Q. You had the impression that he was being coerced and intimidated and you wanted to advise him that he didn't necessarily have to answer those questions?

A. Well, I didn't know whether he was or not. He was what you would call a reluctant witness.

Q. Well, that was your impression. That is the reason you took him out into the hallway and talked with him?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Mr. Grossman: What was his impression?

Mr. Myron: He can answer; he is an intelligent witness. Let him answer the question.

Mr. Grossman: Just a moment. I think we are entitled to know what Mr. Myron means when he says "that was your impression."

Presiding Inspector: Read the question before that. [6951]

Mr. Myron: I will withdraw it and ask another

By Mr. Myron:

Q. As a result of certain things that happened in that room you brought Cannalonga out in the hallway and had this conversation with him, is that right?

A. Not as the result. It is the result of his own demeanor, not of anything else; his own demeanor in answering questions. I thought that——

Q. (Interposing): Well, can you describe his demeanor?

A. Well, I can, yes; but I don't want to.

Q. Was he reluctant? A. Well, it is——

Q. (Interposing): Hesitant?

A. No, I wouldn't say that he was hesitant. It was the typical Commie complex that——

Q. (Interposing): What is the "typical Commie complex?"

A. Oh, I can't answer it; you know it as well as I do.

Q. I don't know it. I want to find out what it is.

A. Well, he knows what is going on; he knows all about everything and he is just feeling his way

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

around and not answering questions when he should answer them, and when he should answer them he won't answer them, or what-not. It is pretty hard to say.

Q. Well, was Mr. Cannalonga bound to answer any questions at this meeting? [6952]

A. Now—

Q. What is that?

A. I couldn't force him to answer any questions. If he wanted to be as he is it isn't my fault. I just—

Q. (Interposing): Well, did it appear to you as though somebody else was forcing him to answer questions?

A. I didn't know what was happening, what was in the back of his mind. He was a funny individual.

Q. Well, did it appear to you as though somebody in that room was forcing him to answer the questions?

A. Certainly not, or there wouldn't have been any questions answered, sir.

Q. Well, then, why did you take him out in the hallway?

A. Because I thought the man had been guilty of some crime or something and that he was going to get himself into trouble. I wanted him to tell the truth about himself, or about what had happened in respect to Mr. Bridges. If he had made a mistake or if he had done wrong I wanted him to rectify the wrong.

Q. Well, what questions were asked in that room

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

which indicated to you that he was guilty of any crime?

A. Oh, his general demeanor. I didn't know who the man was. He was a——

Q. (Interposing): This is Cannalonga's demeanor? [6953]

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What about his demeanor indicated to you that he might have been guilty, or thought he might have been guilty of any crime?

A. Oh, I don't know. It was just simply—I put that in. That is just that I didn't know what the man had been into. It struck me that something was funny about it.

Q. Then, why did you take him out in the hall and talk with him?

A. Because I want to see the man protected if there was anything wrong in his career.

Q. He needed protection there, didn't he?

A. He didn't need any protection, except if he needed protection, advice from a lawyer he should have it and he was going to get it. It was in my office and I was taking his deposition.

Q. The protection that you thought he needed was he needed a lawyer there?

A. If he couldn't—yes, I did.

Q. He was not in any condition, was he?

A. Certainly, he was in a condition. You mean to say what condition?

What do you refer to by "condition"?

Presiding Inspector: He means——



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

By Mr. Myron:

Q. (Interposing): To answer questions?

A. Oh, of course he was. It was perfectly——  
[6954]

Q. (Interposing): And he didn't want to answer any questions, did he?

A. Well, I thought he did and he didn't.

Q. Now, did Mr. Gladstein force him?

A. Oh, I should say not.

Q. To answer?

A. I should say not. Mr. Gladstein treated him as courteously and as decently——

Q. (Interposing): Well, did you do anything?

A. You heard what I have done.

Q. Did you do anything in that room to force him to answer these questions?

A. I certainly did not.

Q. Well, did Craycraft?

A. No; Rosco sat over on the side, or stood over by the window.

Q. Then, can you tell us why you took him out in the hall?

A. For the same reason that you would as an attorney.

Q. Well, what is that reason? If you want my answer and on the record, why, I don't know whether it would stand or not, but what is your reason?

Presiding Inspector: Hasn't he given it two or three times?

The Witness: I thought my—— [6955]

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Mr. Del Guercio: If the Court please—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) Wait, let's see if he has given it. He said he acted as a reluctant witness, there was something funny about him, and it impressed him that he might have some kind of psychological complex, he didn't understand it, and that it occurred to him that he might need advice.

Mr. Myron: Protection.

Presiding Inspector: That is all.

Mr. Myron: And protection, I think, he said.

Presiding Inspector: Protection, yes. So he suggested that he might want to see a lawyer and he would arrange it.

I think you have covered it.

Mr. Myron: All right, your Honor. I will go on to another subject.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Now, after this meeting in the hall, or conversation in the hall with Mr. Cannalunga, did you go out again with Mr. Cannalunga?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Before the meeting broke up?

A. Well, I don't know whether I did or not. I know I talked to him a second time.

Q. Where was that conversation held?

A. In the hall leading to the elevator. [6956]

Q. Who was present?

A. Myself and my wife, Mrs. W. P. Lord.

Q. And what did you tell Cannalunga, or what

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

conversation did you have with Cannalonga on that occasion?

A. I told him that I thought if he had done a wrong towards Mr. Bridges that he should rectify it, but if there was anything that prevented him from doing it that he ought to go and talk to an attorney about his rights and what was advisable for him to do, and I would be glad to name some lawyers who would give him the correct advice.

Q. And this was before the final question was asked?

A. Well, I think it was afterwards. I think that I went down the hall with him and my wife. We were anxious to get away. We had been there two hours and she was cold, it was a cold morning, and more than two hours had transpired, as a matter of fact.

Q. Where was Mr. Gladstein?

A. I left him in my office.

Q. And who was with Mr. Gladstein in your office?

A. Mr. Craycraft. Maybe Cannalonga was afterwards; I don't know; I can't—

Q. (Interposing): Was Shoemaker there?

A. That is right; it was Shoemaker; that is right. I left the office. Cannalonga walked down the hall to the elevator.

Q. Did Mr. Cannalonga go out on this occasion before [6957] you did?

A. No. As I recall it, he went out with me, but I may be mistaken on that. It was not a matter

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

that impressed itself on my memory at all, but I know I talked to him in the hallway there.

Q. Well, did you come back into the office after you had this conversation with Cannalonga?

A. No. The last conversation?

Q. This last conversation?

A. No, sir. I did not.

Q. You did not come back in the office?

A. No, sir.

Q. Where did you go?

A. Well, in order to make peace with my wife I took her up to restaurant—

Q. (Interposing): No, no. We are outside of your office near the elevator.

A. I went down the elevator and got into my automobile and drove up to a place called Henry Thiele's.

Q. Now, we are not—

Presiding Inspector: (Interposing) We are not interested in that.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. We are not interested in that. Did Cannalonga go down in the elevator with you?

A. I think he did; he may not have. [6958]

Q. Your wife was with you at the time?

A. Yes, sir.

Presiding Inspector: Craycraft?

The Witness: Craycraft was not, your Honor.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Then, you and Cannalonga and your wife went down in the elevator?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. That is my recollection.

Q. To the first floor?

A. That is my recollection.

Q. Did you talk with Cannalonga in the elevator?

A. I think I had finished my conversation with him.

Q. Where did you leave Cannalonga after you got off the elevator?

A. In the—I am not going to answer that because I don't know. It isn't definite in my mind about what took place at that stage of the proceeding.

Q. Don't you remember this conversation you had with Cannalonga?

A. I remember the conversation, its general import; that was up on the fourth floor of this building near the elevator, but what—whether he went down in the elevator with me or not I can't recall definitely.

Q. Do you recall seeing Cannalonga leave the building?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Well, in any event you left Craycraft, Shoemaker and [6959] Gladstein in your office?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you and Cannalonga out in the hallway?

A. He bolted out; we were through. He was not going to answer certain questions apparently and he went out, so I said to my wife "We will go now", and I says "If you need any library facilities here, Mr. Gladstein, they are here for you." And I went

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

down the hallway and there was Cannalonga waiting at the elevator and at that stage of the proceedings I talked to him again.

Q. Who told you the proceedings or the meeting there was over?      A. Nobody.

Q. How did you realize that the meeting was over?

A. Well, when he came to the point where he was asked as to whether or not he hadn't been threatened he sat there in his chair and stared, and all of a sudden he jumped up and started down the hallway out of the office, so I proceeded—

Q. (Interposing): Didn't say anything, just jumped up and walked out?

A. Yes; I think I waited a minute or two.

Q. Just a minute. I am getting Cannalonga. You say he jumped up and bolted out; is that right?

A. Well, he just left.

Q. Didn't say anything? [6960]      A. No.

Q. And then you took your hat and went out?

A. In a minute or two. When I got out he was there by the elevator and again I engaged him in this conversation.

Q. And you told Gladstein that he could have the use of your library and you left him with Craycraft and Shoemaker in your office?

A. That is my recollection, yes.

Q. Now, when you got outside where was Cannalonga?

Presiding Inspector: You mean outside of that?

Mr. Myron: Outside of his office.



(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Presiding Inspector: He told you where he was.

Mr. Myron: I want to find out where he first met him.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. Now, Cannalonga was out in the hallway, as I understand it?

Presiding Inspector: He has told you.

Mr. Myron: I would like to have it again, that one question.

Presiding Inspector: All right, tell him again.

A. I think he rang for the elevator. I was coming up to the elevator. The elevator was not very fast on Sunday and the result was that I talked to him again in front of the elevator door.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. How long a conversation did you have with him [6961] there?

A. Oh, just long enough to tell him that he was not compelled—you asked me how long? I should judge a minute and a half or two minutes. [6962]

Q. And you went immediately into the elevator and went downstairs?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And Cannalonga had rung for the elevator?

A. He had what?

Q. You had rung for the elevator?

A. He had rang for it; yes, sir.

Q. And the three of you—

A. (Interposing): I say "Yes, sir." He was in front of the elevator door.

Q. Now, does it refresh your recollection as to

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

whether he went downstairs with you in the elevator? A. It doesn't.

Q. You don't remember?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Have you talked—after you left the building did you get in touch with Mr. Gladstein again?

A. I didn't see him again.

Q. Did you talk with him?

A. You mean while he was in Portland on that trip?

Q. That is right. A. No, sir.

Q. Who had the key to your office?

A. I think it was turned over to—he had a key—I don't know whether I gave him a key or not. I might have [6963] given Craycraft a key.

Q. You gave Craycraft a key?

A. I might have. I can't recall whether I did or not.

Q. Did you talk to Craycraft about this meeting after you left the building?

A. What period of time are you referring to, sir?

Q. After you left the building.

A. On that day, no.

Q. Did you talk to him the next day?

A. I don't know. Those kind of matters are not clear in my mind. I don't think I did.

Q. Did he return the key to you?

A. He probably did; if he had it he did. I know I have it. I only have one key.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. You don't remember him returning the key to you? A. I don't recall the incident.

Q. You only have one key?

A. I only have one key and I know where it is right now.

Q. How did you get in the office the next day?

A. Oh, there are several other parties that have keys to my office. I don't get down there and open up the office myself, you know.

Q. When did you talk next to Mr. Craycraft about this incident?

A. I don't know. I may have talked to him.

[6964]

Q. When?

A. Well, I think he was in my office Saturday morning—Saturday morning I talked to him.

Q. That is the first time you talked to him since this incident on Sunday?

A. No, no. He came out to my house one night.

Q. When was that?

A. That was some time ago. It was before he came down here to testify?

Q. Right after this Sunday meeting?

A. Well, it was some time afterwards.

Q. You talked about this incident?

A. He and his wife and children came out, drove out one Sunday night, as I recall it.

Q. You talked about this incident on one of the previous Sundays?

A. I don't think so much was said about that. I may have made some comment on it.

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Q. You stated on direct examination that Mr. Cannalonga was laboring under—you asked Mr. Cannalonga if he was laboring under any strain. Was that the first occasion, first conversation you had with him? A. Yes, sir.

Q. How close were you at any time to Mr. Cannalonga while he was in the room? [6965]

A. As close as this gentleman (indicating) here is to me.

Q. And during the—

Mr. Grossman: For the record, that is Judge Goodwin, who is about three feet away, is that correct?

The Witness: That is about correct.

By Mr. Myron:

Q. And during the latter part of the questioning Mr. Cannalonga said nothing, is that right?

A. Yes; he refused—he didn't refuse, but he just looked through his glasses and didn't answer.

Q. And the questions which Mr. Gladstein was asking were leading questions, were they not?

A. I thought so, but that was a matter of opinion.

Q. Your opinion as an attorney is that they were leading questions, is that right?

A. They were.

Q. And it was in the course of this questioning that Mr. Cannalonga got up from his chair and bolted from the room?

A. Well, the word "bolted" is too descriptive.

Q. I thought you used that word?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

A. I may have used the word "bolted". He got up and out he goes.

Q. Now, while Mr. Craycraft was downstairs did Mr. [6966] Gladstein ask any questions?

A. Yes. The examination went right along the same.

Q. Well, how long would you say Mr. Craycraft was out of the room with your wife, I understood you to say.

A. Yes. Some little time.

Q. How long?

A. Quite some little time.

Q. How long would you say?

A. Oh, it would be very difficult to estimate.

Q. Half an hour?

A. No, sir.

Q. Twenty minutes?

A. That would be very liberal.

Q. About fifteen or twenty minutes?

A. I think about fifteen minutes; maybe not that long.

Q. How many times did Mr. Craycraft go out of the room with Cannalunga, other than the first occasion when he went out to the lavatory?

A. He only went out once to my recollection.

Q. That was the time he went out to the lavatory?

A. Of course, he went out with him once and then he went out to get my wife.

Mr. Myron: That is all.

Presiding Inspector: That is all.

Mr. Grossman: No more questions. [6967]

Presiding Inspector: You don't want this witness any further?

(Testimony of William P. Lord.)

Mr. Grossman: No, sir.

Presiding Inspector: You are excused, Mr. Lord.

(Witness excused.)

Mr. Grossman: May we have a recess?

Presiding Inspector: Yes.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

Mr. Del Guercio: I believe Mr. Madala was on the stand for further cross examination.

Presiding Inspector: Yes.